


# *European Commission*



**Draft Assessment Report prepared according to the Commission  
Regulation (EU) N° 1107/2009**

**PYDIFLUMETOFEN**

**Volume 1**

Rapporteur Member State: France  
Co-Rapporteur Member State: Austria

**Version History**

<b>When</b>	<b>What</b>
2017-07	Initial DAR

## Table of contents

<b>RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE: FRANCE.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 STATEMENT OF SUBJECT MATTER AND PURPOSE FOR WHICH THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE APPLICATION .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>1.1 CONTEXT IN WHICH THIS DRAFT ASSESSMENT REPORT WAS PREPARED .....</b>	<b>9</b>
1.1.1 Purpose for which the draft assessment report was prepared .....	9
1.1.2 Arrangements between rapporteur Member State and co-rapporteur Member State.....	9
1.1.3 EU Regulatory history for use in Plant Protection Products.....	9
1.1.4 Evaluations carried out under other regulatory contexts .....	9
<b>1.2 APPLICANT INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>9</b>
1.2.1 Name and address of applicant(s) for approval of the active substance .....	9
1.2.2 Producer or producers of the active substance.....	9
1.2.3 Information relating to the collective provision of dossiers .....	10
<b>1.3 IDENTITY OF THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE.....</b>	<b>10</b>
1.3.1 Common name proposed or ISO-accepted and synonyms .....	10
1.3.2 Chemical name (IUPAC and CA nomenclature).....	10
1.3.3 Producer's development code number.....	10
1.3.4 CAS, EEC and CIPAC numbers.....	10
1.3.5 Molecular and structural formula, molecular mass.....	10
1.3.6 Method of manufacture (synthesis pathway) of the active substance .....	11
1.3.7 Specification of purity of the active substance in g/kg .....	11
1.3.8 Identity and content of additives (such as stabilisers) and impurities.....	11
1.3.8.1 Additives .....	11
Confidential data see vol.4 .....	11
1.3.8.2 Significant impurities .....	11
Confidential data see vol.4.....	11
1.3.8.3 Relevant impurities .....	11
1.3.9 Analytical profile of batches.....	11
<b>1.4 INFORMATION ON THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT .....</b>	<b>11</b>
1.4.1 Applicant .....	11
Syngenta Crop Protection AG.....	11
1.4.2 Producer of the plant protection product .....	11
Syngenta Crop Protection AG.....	11
1.4.3 Trade name or proposed trade name and producer's development code number of the plant protection product.....	11
1.4.4 Detailed quantitative and qualitative information on the composition of the plant protection product.....	11
1.4.4.1 Composition of the plant protection product.....	11
1.4.4.2 Information on the active substances .....	11
1.4.4.3 Information on safeners, synergists and co-formulants.....	11
1.4.5 Type and code of the plant protection product .....	11
1.4.6 Function.....	12
1.4.7 Field of use envisaged .....	12
1.4.8 Effects on harmful organisms .....	12
<b>1.5 DETAILED USES OF THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT .....</b>	<b>12</b>
1.5.1 Details of representative uses .....	13
1.5.2 Further information on representative uses .....	16
1.5.3 Details of other uses applied for to support the setting of MRLs for uses beyond the representative uses .....	17

1.5.4	Overview on authorisations in EU Member States.....	20
<b>2</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE HAZARD AND OF PRODUCT RISK ASSESSMENT .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>2.1</b>	<b>IDENTITY .....</b>	<b>25</b>
2.1.1	Summary or identity .....	25
<b>2.2</b>	<b>PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES [EQUIVALENT TO SECTION 7 OF THE CLH REPORT TEMPLATE] .....</b>	<b>26</b>
2.2.1	Summary of physical and chemical properties of the active substance .....	26
2.2.1.1	Evaluation of physical hazards [equivalent to section 8 of the CLH report template] .....	28
2.2.2	Summary of physical and chemical properties of the plant protection product.....	28
<b>2.3</b>	<b>DATA ON APPLICATION AND EFFICACY .....</b>	<b>29</b>
2.3.1	Summary of effectiveness .....	29
2.3.2	Summary of information on the development of resistance .....	29
2.3.3	Summary of adverse effects on treated crops .....	29
2.3.4	Summary of observations on other undesirable or unintended side-effects.....	30
<b>2.4</b>	<b>FURTHER INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>30</b>
2.4.1	Summary of methods and precautions concerning handling, storage, transport or fire .....	30
2.4.2	Summary of procedures for destruction or decontamination .....	30
2.4.3	Summary of emergency measures in case of an accident .....	30
<b>2.5</b>	<b>METHODS OF ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>30</b>
2.5.1	Methods used for the generation of pre-authorisation data.....	30
2.5.2	Methods for post control and monitoring purposes .....	30
<b>2.6</b>	<b>EFFECTS ON HUMAN AND ANIMAL HEALTH.....</b>	<b>32</b>
2.6.1	Summary of absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion in mammals [ <i>equivalent to section 9 of the CLH report template</i> ] .....	32
2.6.1.1	Short summary and overall relevance of the provided toxicokinetic information on the proposed classification(s).....	34
2.6.2	Summary of acute toxicity.....	38
2.6.2.1	Acute toxicity - oral route [equivalent to section 10.1 of the CLH report template].....	38
2.6.2.2	Acute toxicity - dermal route [equivalent to section 10.2 of the CLH report template].....	39
2.6.2.3	Acute toxicity - inhalation route [equivalent to section 10.3 of the CLH report template] ...	40
2.6.2.4	Skin corrosion/irritation [equivalent to section 10.4 of the CLH report template].....	41
2.6.2.5	Serious eye damage/eye irritation [equivalent to section 10.5 of the CLH report template]..	41
2.6.2.6	Respiratory sensitisation [equivalent to section 10.6 of the CLH report template].....	42
2.6.2.7	Skin sensitisation [equivalent to section 10.7 of the CLH report template] .....	43
2.6.2.8	Phototoxicity .....	44
2.6.2.9	Aspiration hazard [equivalent to section 10.13 of the CLH report template].....	45
2.6.2.10	Specific target organ toxicity-single exposure (STOT SE) [equivalent to section 10.11 of the CLH report template] .....	45
2.6.3	Summary of repeated dose toxicity (short-term and long-term toxicity) [section 10.12 of the CLH report] .....	47
2.6.3.1	Specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure (STOT RE) [equivalent to section 10.12 of the CLH report template] .....	47
2.6.4	Summary of genotoxicity / germ cell mutagenicity [equivalent to section 10.8 of the CLH report template] .....	54
2.6.4.1	Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on genotoxicity / germ cell mutagenicity.....	56
2.6.4.2	Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding genotoxicity / germ cell mutagenicity .....	56
2.6.4.3	Conclusion on classification and labelling for genotoxicity / germ cell mutagenicity .....	56
2.6.5	Summary of long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity [equivalent to section 10.9 of the CLH report template].....	56
2.6.5.1	Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity .....	61

2.6.5.2	Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding carcinogenicity .....	62
2.6.5.3	Conclusion on classification and labelling for carcinogenicity .....	63
2.6.6	Summary of reproductive toxicity [equivalent to section 10.10 of the CLH report template] .....	63
2.6.6.1	Adverse effects on sexual function and fertility – generational studies [equivalent to section 10.10.1 of the CLH report template] .....	63
2.6.6.2	Adverse effects on development [equivalent to section 10.10.4 of the CLH report template]	66
2.6.6.3	Adverse effects on or via lactation [equivalent to section 10.10.7 of the CLH report template]	68
2.6.6.4	Conclusion on classification and labelling for reproductive toxicity .....	70
2.6.7	Summary of neurotoxicity .....	70
2.6.8	Summary of other toxicological studies .....	72
2.6.8.1	Toxicity studies of metabolites and impurities .....	72
2.6.8.2	Supplementary studies on the active substance .....	74
2.6.8.3	Endocrine disrupting properties .....	74
2.6.9	Summary of medical data and information .....	74
2.6.10	Toxicological end points for risk assessment (reference values) .....	75
2.6.10.1	Toxicological end point for assessment of risk following long-term dietary exposure – ADI (acceptable daily intake) .....	79
2.6.10.2	Toxicological end point for assessment of risk following acute dietary exposure - ARfD (acute reference dose) .....	79
2.6.10.3	Toxicological end point for assessment of occupational, bystander and residents risks – AOEL (acceptable operator exposure level) .....	80
2.6.10.4	Toxicological end point for assessment of occupational, bystander and residents risks – AAOEL (acute acceptable operator exposure level) .....	80
2.6.11	Summary of product exposure and risk assessment .....	80
<b>2.7</b>	<b>RESIDUE .....</b>	<b>81</b>
2.7.1	Summary of storage stability of residues .....	81
2.7.2	Summary of metabolism, distribution and expression of residues in plants, poultry, lactating ruminants, pigs and fish .....	82
2.7.3	Definition of the residue .....	90
2.7.4	Summary of residue trials in plants and identification of critical GAP .....	93
2.7.4.1	Apple and pear .....	97
2.7.4.2	Grape .....	97
2.7.4.3	Potato .....	97
2.7.4.4	Tomato .....	97
2.7.4.5	Cucumber, courgette, zucchini .....	97
2.7.4.6	Melon and watermelon .....	98
2.7.4.7	Flowering brassica – Broccoli .....	98
2.7.4.8	Flowering brassica – cauliflower .....	98
2.7.4.9	Leafy brassica – Kale .....	98
2.7.4.10	Head brassica – Brussel sprouts .....	98
2.7.4.11	Head brassica – cabbage .....	98
2.7.4.12	Kohlrabi .....	98
2.7.5	Summary of feeding studies in poultry, ruminants, pigs and fish .....	102
2.7.6	Summary of effects of processing .....	104
2.7.7	Summary of residues in rotational crops .....	105
2.7.8	Summary of other studies .....	111
2.7.9	Estimation of the potential and actual exposure through diet and other sources .....	113
2.7.10	Proposed MRLs and compliance with existing MRLs .....	120
2.7.11	Proposed import tolerances and compliance with existing import tolerances .....	120
<b>2.8</b>	<b>FATE AND BEHAVIOUR IN THE ENVIRONMENT .....</b>	<b>121</b>
2.8.1	Summary of fate and behaviour in soil .....	121
2.8.2	Summary of fate and behaviour in water and sediment [equivalent to section 11.1 of the CLH report template] .....	122
2.8.2.1	Rapid degradability of organic substances .....	123
2.8.2.2	Other convincing scientific evidence .....	124
2.8.3	Summary of fate and behaviour in air .....	124

2.8.3.1	Hazardous to the ozone layer .....	124
2.8.4	Summary of monitoring data concerning fate and behaviour of the active substance, metabolites, degradation and reaction products .....	125
2.8.5	Definition of the residues in the environment requiring further assessment.....	125
2.8.6	Summary of exposure calculations and product assessment .....	125
<b>2.9</b>	<b>EFFECTS ON NON-TARGET SPECIES .....</b>	<b>126</b>
2.9.1	Summary of effects on birds and other terrestrial vertebrates .....	126
2.9.2	Summary of effects on aquatic organisms [section 11.5 of the CLH report].....	127
2.9.2.1	Bioaccumulation [equivalent to section 11.4 of the CLH report template].....	127
2.9.2.2	Acute aquatic hazard [equivalent to section 11.5 of the CLH report template] .....	127
2.9.2.3	Long-term aquatic hazard [equivalent to section 11.6 of the CLH report template].....	135
2.9.2.4	Comparison with the CLP criteria.....	142
2.9.2.5	Conclusion on classification and labelling for environmental hazards .....	143
2.9.3	Summary of effects on arthropods.....	143
2.9.4	Summary of effects on non-target soil meso- and macrofauna .....	145
2.9.5	Summary of effects on soil nitrogen transformation .....	146
2.9.6	Summary of effects on terrestrial non-target higher plants.....	146
2.9.7	Summary of effects on other terrestrial organisms (flora and fauna) .....	147
2.9.8	Summary of effects on biological methods for sewage treatment .....	147
2.9.9	Summary of product exposure and risk assessment .....	147
<b>2.10</b>	<b>PROPOSED HARMONISED CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING ACCORDING TO THE CLP CRITERIA [SECTIONS 1-6 OF THE CLH REPORT] .....</b>	<b>164</b>
2.10.1	Identity of the substance [section 1 of the CLH report].....	164
2.10.1.1	Name and other identifiers of the substance .....	164
2.10.1.2	Composition of the substance .....	165
2.10.2	Proposed harmonized classification and labelling.....	166
2.10.2.1	Proposed harmonised classification and labelling according to the CLP criteria.....	166
2.10.2.2	Additional hazard statements / labelling .....	167
2.10.3	History of the previous classification and labelling.....	168
2.10.4	Identified uses.....	168
2.10.5	Data sources.....	168
<b>2.11</b>	<b>RELEVANCE OF METABOLITES IN GROUNDWATER .....</b>	<b>168</b>
2.11.1	STEP 1: Exclusion of degradation products of no concern .....	168
2.11.2	STEP 2: Quantification of potential groundwater contamination.....	168
2.11.3	STEP 3: Hazard assessment – identification of relevant metabolites .....	168
2.11.3.1	STEP 3, Stage 1: screening for biological activity .....	168
2.11.3.2	STEP 3, Stage 2: screening for genotoxicity.....	168
2.11.3.3	STEP 3, Stage 3: screening for toxicity .....	168
2.11.4	STEP 4: Exposure assessment – threshold of concern approach.....	168
2.11.5	STEP 5: Refined risk assessment .....	169
2.11.6	Overall conclusion.....	169
<b>2.12</b>	<b>CONSIDERATION OF ISOMERIC COMPOSITION IN THE RISK ASSESSMENT .....</b>	<b>169</b>
2.12.1	Identity and physical chemical properties .....	169
2.12.2	Methods of analysis .....	169
2.12.3	Mammalian toxicity.....	169
2.12.4	Operator, Worker, Bystander and Resident exposure.....	169
2.12.5	Residues and Consumer risk assessment .....	169
2.12.6	Environmental fate .....	170
2.12.7	Ecotoxicology.....	170
<b>2.13</b>	<b>RESIDUE DEFINITIONS.....</b>	<b>170</b>
2.13.1	Definition of residues for exposure/risk assessment.....	170
2.13.2	Definition of residues for monitoring .....	170
<b>3</b>	<b>PROPOSED DECISION WITH RESPECT TO THE APPLICATION .....</b>	<b>173</b>

---

<b>3.1</b>	<b>BACKGROUND TO THE PROPOSED DECISION</b> .....	<b>173</b>
3.1.1	Proposal on acceptability against the decision making criteria – Article 4 and annex II of regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 .....	173
3.1.1.1	Article 4 .....	173
3.1.1.2	Submission of further information .....	173
3.1.1.3	Restrictions on approval.....	173
3.1.1.4	Criteria for the approval of an active substance .....	173
3.1.2	Proposal – Candidate for substitution.....	180
3.1.3	Proposal – Low risk active substance .....	181
3.1.4	List of studies to be generated, still ongoing or available but not peer reviewed .....	182
3.1.4.1	Identity of the active substance or formulation .....	182
3.1.4.2	Physical and chemical properties of the active substance and physical, chemical and technical properties of the formulation.....	182
3.1.4.3	Data on uses and efficacy.....	182
3.1.4.4	Data on handling, storage, transport, packaging and labelling.....	182
3.1.4.5	Methods of analysis .....	182
3.1.4.6	Toxicology and metabolism.....	183
3.1.4.7	Residue data .....	183
3.1.4.8	Environmental fate and behaviour .....	183
3.1.4.9	Ecotoxicology .....	184
3.1.5	Issues that could not be finalised.....	185
3.1.6	Critical areas of concern .....	185
3.1.7	Overview table of the concerns identified for each representative use considered.....	185
3.1.8	Area(s) where expert consultation is considered necessary .....	187
3.1.9	Critical issues on which the Co RMS did not agree with the assessment by the RMS .....	187
<b>3.2</b>	<b>PROPOSED DECISION</b> .....	<b>187</b>
<b>3.3</b>	<b>RATIONAL FOR THE CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH THE APPROVAL OR AUTHORISATION(S), AS APPROPRIATE</b> .....	<b>188</b>
3.3.1	Particular conditions proposed to be taken into account to manage the risks identified.....	188
<b>3.4</b>	<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	<b>188</b>
<b>3.5</b>	<b>REFERENCE LIST</b> .....	<b>192</b>

# Level 1

## **PYDIFLUMETOFEN**

# **1 STATEMENT OF SUBJECT MATTER AND PURPOSE FOR WHICH THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE APPLICATION**

## **1.1 CONTEXT IN WHICH THIS DRAFT ASSESSMENT REPORT WAS PREPARED**

### **1.1.1 Purpose for which the draft assessment report was prepared**

This Draft Assessment Report (DAR) has been prepared to evaluate the dossier submitted by Syngenta Crop Protection AG for the first approval of the new active substance Pydiflumetofen under Regulation (EC) N° 1107/2009.

Pydiflumetofen is a new fungicide and the dossier contains data and information to support a limited range of representative uses of the active substance for which it is intended to demonstrate that, for one preparation, the requirements of Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, can be met.

Alongside this application, Syngenta Crop Protection AG has submitted an application to set specific Maximum Residue Levels (MRLS) for the representative and other uses and for import tolerance uses as the new active substance is not mentioned in Annex II/III/IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005).

Syngenta Crop Protection AG has also made a proposal for Harmonised Classification and Labelling based on Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP Regulation). This has also been evaluated by France and presented in this report using the new common DAR/CLH template to be submitted to ECHA in parallel to the submission to EFSA in order to follow the aligned evaluation process

### **1.1.2 Arrangements between rapporteur Member State and co-rapporteur Member State**

France, acting as the Rapporteur Member State (RMS), evaluated the dossier submitted and wrote the DAR. The DAR was then peer review by Austria (Co-RMS).

### **1.1.3 EU Regulatory history for use in Plant Protection Products**

Not applicable. Pydiflumetofen is a new active substance and products containing it have not previously authorised in the EU.

### **1.1.4 Evaluations carried out under other regulatory contexts**

According to the applicant, Pydiflumetofen dossiers have been submitted in the following countries, only within the context of crop protection and as a fungicide: Brazil (24.09.2015), NAFTA (USA, Canada, Mexico 30.09.2015), Argentina (27.10.2015), Australia (01.03.2016) and New Zealand (07.12.2016).

Argentina granted the first authorization for a use on soya on November 2016. The evaluations in the other countries are still pending on April 2017.

## **1.2 APPLICANT INFORMATION**

### **1.2.1 Name and address of applicant(s) for approval of the active substance**

Syngenta Crop Protection AG  
Schwarzwaldalle 215  
P.O. Box  
CH-4002 Basel  
Switzerland

For further information relating to the location of plants, please refer to Volume 4 of the DAR.

### **1.2.2 Producer or producers of the active substance**


Syngenta Crop Protection AG  
Schwarzwaldalle 215  
P.O. Box  
CH-4002 Basel  
Switzerland


For further information relating to the location of plants, please refer to Volume 4 of the DAR.

### 1.2.3 Information relating to the collective provision of dossiers

Not applicable, Syngenta Crop Protection AG is the sole notifier.

## 1.3 IDENTITY OF THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE

<b>1.3.1 Common name proposed or ISO-accepted and synonyms</b>	Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974)
<b>1.3.2 Chemical name (IUPAC and CA nomenclature)</b>	
IUPAC	<i>N</i> -methoxy- <i>N</i> -[1-methyl-2-(2,4,6-trichlorophenyl)-ethyl]-3-(difluoromethyl)-1-methylpyrazole-4-carboxamide
CA	<i>N</i> -methoxy- <i>N</i> -[1-methyl-2-(2,4,6-trichlorophenyl)-ethyl]-3-(difluoromethyl)-1-methylpyrazole-4-carboxamide
<b>1.3.3 Producer's development code number</b>	SYN545974
<b>1.3.4 CAS, EEC and CIPAC numbers</b>	
CAS	1228284-64-7
EEC	Not available
CIPAC	Not available
<b>1.3.5 Molecular and structural formula, molecular mass</b>	
Molecular formula	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> F <sub>2</sub>
Structural formula	<p>SYN545974 consists of two enantiomers as a racemate mixture (50:50)</p> <p>SYN546968 (<i>S</i>)-3-Difluoromethyl-1-methyl-1<i>H</i>-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid methoxy-[1-methyl-2-(2,4,6-trichloro-phenyl)-ethyl]-amide</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ABSOLUTE</p>  <p>SYN546969 (<i>R</i>)-3-Difluoromethyl-1-methyl-1<i>H</i>-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid methoxy-[1-methyl-2-(2,4,6-trichloro-phenyl)-ethyl]-amide</p>

	ABSOLUTE 
Molecular mass	426.7 g/mol
<b>1.3.6 Method of manufacture (synthesis pathway) of the active substance</b>	Confidential data see vol.4
<b>1.3.7 Specification of purity of the active substance in g/kg</b>	980 g/kg
<b>1.3.8 Identity and content of additives (such as stabilisers) and impurities</b>	
<i>1.3.8.1 Additives</i>	Confidential data see vol.4
<i>1.3.8.2 Significant impurities</i>	Confidential data see vol.4
<i>1.3.8.3 Relevant impurities</i>	No relevant impurity
<b>1.3.9 Analytical profile of batches</b>	Confidential data see vol.4

#### 1.4 INFORMATION ON THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT

<b>1.4.1 Applicant</b>	<b>Syngenta Crop Protection AG</b> Schwarzwaldalle 215 P.O. Box CH-4002 Basel Switzerland
<b>1.4.2 Producer of the plant protection product</b>	<b>Syngenta Crop Protection AG</b> Schwarzwaldalle 215 P.O. Box CH-4002 Basel Switzerland
<b>1.4.3 Trade name or proposed trade name and producer's development code number of the plant protection product</b>	Trade name: ADEPIDYN™ Code: A19649B
<b>1.4.4 Detailed quantitative and qualitative information on the composition of the plant protection product</b>	
<i>1.4.4.1 Composition of the plant protection product</i>	Confidential data see vol. 4
<i>1.4.4.2 Information on the active substances</i>	200 g/L of pure active substance 204 g/L of technical substance active
<i>1.4.4.3 Information on safeners, synergists and co-formulants</i>	Confidential data see vol. 4
<b>1.4.5 Type and code of the plant protection product</b>	Suspension Concentrate [Code : SC]

---

<b>1.4.6 Function</b>	Fungicide
<b>1.4.7 Field of use envisaged</b>	Agriculture, horticulture and viticulture
<b>1.4.8 Effects on harmful organisms</b>	SYN545974 is a succinate dehydrogenase inhibitor (SDHI). It has curative activity. It targets a broad spectrum of diseases.

## **1.5 DETAILED USES OF THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT**

1.5.1 Details of representative uses

Tradename: A19649B  
 Active Substances: SYN545974 200 g/L SC formulation

1	2	3		4	5	6			7	8	9	10		11	12	13	14
Use No.	Member state (s)	Crop and/or situation (crop destination/purpose of crop)		F G or I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. safener/synergist per ha			
						Method / Kind	Timing/Growth stage of crop & season	Max. Number a) per use b) per crop/season	Minimum interval between applications (days)	L A19649B / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g SYN545974 / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min/max					
1	EU	Pome fruit	Apple	F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Podosphaera leucotricha</i> ) + scab ( <i>Venturia inaequalis</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 56-79	a) 3 b) 3	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.75	a) 50 b) 150	400-1500	65	0.14l/Ha LWA in 18000m <sup>2</sup> LWA/ha = 0.25 l/ha (17ml/hl)			
2	EU		Pear	F	scab ( <i>Venturia pyrina</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 56-79	a) 3 b) 3	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.75	a) 50 b) 150	400-1500	65	0.14l/Ha LWA in 18000m <sup>2</sup> LWA/ha = 0.25 l/ha (17ml/hl)			
3	EU	Grapes (wine & table)		F	Grey mould ( <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 67-89	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 1 b) 2	a) 200 b) 400	500-1400	21				
4	EU	Grapes (wine & table)		F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Uncinula necator</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 13-77	a) 2 b) 2	10	a) 0.2 b) 0.4	a) 40 b) 80	150-1000	21				
5	EU	Potato		F	Early blight ( <i>Alternaria solani</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 31-89	a) 3 b) 3	14	a) 0.20 b) 0.60	a) 40 b) 120	200-500	7				
6	EU	Fruiting vegetables	Tomato	F	Early blight ( <i>Alternaria solani</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 51-89	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	300-1000	1				

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10		11	12	13	14
Use No.	Member state (s)	Crop and/or situation (crop destination/ purpose of crop)		F G or I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. safener/synergist per ha	
						Method / Kind	Timing/Growth stage of crop & season	Max. Number a) per use b) per crop/season	Minimum interval between applications (days)	L A19649B / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g SYN545974 / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min/max			
7	EU	Edible cucurbit	Cucumber	F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Sphaerotheca fuliginea</i> and <i>Erysiphe sp</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 20-89	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.50	a) 50 b) 100	300-1000	1	Equivalent to 25 mL/hL	
8	EU		Courgette/ zucchini	F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Sphaerotheca fuliginea</i> and <i>Erysiphe sp</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 20-89	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.50	a) 50 b) 100	300-1000	1	Equivalent to 25 mL/hL	
9	EU	Inedible cucurbit	Melon	F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Sphaerotheca fuliginea</i> and <i>Erysiphe sp</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 20-89	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.50	a) 50 b) 100	300-1000	1	Equivalent to 25 mL/hL	
10	EU		Watermelon	F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Sphaerotheca fuliginea</i> and <i>Erysiphe sp</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 20-89	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.50	a) 50 b) 100	300-1000	1	Equivalent to 25 mL/hL	
11	EU	Flowering brassica	Broccoli	F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14		
12	EU		Cauliflower	F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14		
13	EU	Leafy brassica	Kale	F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14		

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Use No.	Member state (s)	Crop and/or situation (crop destination/purpose of crop)		F G or I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. safener/synergist per ha
						Method / Kind	Timing/Growth stage of crop & season	Max. Number a) per use b) per crop/season	Minimum interval between applications (days)	L A19649B / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g SYN545974 / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min/max		
14	EU	Head brassica	Brussels sprouts	F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14	
15	EU		Cabbage	F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14	
16	EU	Kohlrabi		F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14	

### 1.5.2 Further information on representative uses

The maximum number of applications and the minimum interval between applications are provided in columns 8 and 9 of the table of the intended uses.

Considering the activity of the active ingredient, no restrictions need to be applied to avoid phytotoxic effects on succeeding crops.

See Volume 3 CP B.3.8. for more details on proposed instructions for use.

**1.5.3 Details of other uses applied for to support the setting of MRLs for uses beyond the representative uses**

Crop and/or situation (a)	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Preparation		Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
					Type (d-f)	Conc. a.s. (i)	method kind (f-h)	range of growth stages & season (j)	number min-max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s./hL min-max (l)	Water L/ha min-max	g a.s./ha min-max (l)		
<b>MRL Application</b> (according to Article 8.1(g) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009)															
Pome (apple) and other pome fruit including quince, medlar and loquat	eu		NEU/SE U	Powdery mildew (Podosphaera leucotricha) + scab (Venturia inaequalis)	sc	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 56-79	3	7	-	400-1500	50	65	0.14l/Ha LWA in 18000m <sup>2</sup> LWA/ha = 0.25 l/ha (17ml/hl)
Potatoes / Sweet Potatoes/ Yams	eu		NEU/SE U	Early blight (Alternaria solani)	sc	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 31-89	3	14	-	200-500	40	7	
Tomatoes (protected)	EU		G	Leveillula taurica, Oidium lycopersici	SC	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 51-89	2	7	-	300-1000	70	1	
Tomatoes (protected)	EU		G	Botrytis cinerea	SC	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 51-89	2	7	-	300-1000	200	1	
Peppers (protected)	EU		G	Leveillula taurica	SC	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 51-89	2	7	-	300-1000	70	3	
Peppers/ Sweet peppers/ Bell	EU		NEU/SE U	Leveillula taurica	SC	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 51-89	2	7	-	300-1000	70	3	

Crop and/or situation (a)	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Preparation		Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
					Type (d-f)	Conc. a.s. (i)	method kind (f-h)	range of growth stages & season (j)	number min-max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s./hL min-max (l)	Water L/ha min-max	g a.s./ha min-max (l)		
peppers (field)															
Aubergine/Eggplants (field)	eu		NEU/SE U	Early blight ( <i>Alternaria solani</i> )	sc	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 51-89	2	7	-	300-1000	70	1	
Aubergine Eggplants (protected)	EU		G	Powdery mildew ( <i>Leveillula taurica</i> , <i>Oidium lycopersici</i> )	sc	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 51-89	2	7	-	300-1000	70	1	
Aubergine/Eggplants (protected)	EU		G	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	sc	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 51-89	2	7	-	300-1000	200	1	
Okra (protected)	EU		G	<i>Leveillula taurica</i>	sc	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 51-89	2	7	-	300-1000	70	3	
Okra (field)	EU		NEU/SE U	<i>Leveillula taurica</i>	sc	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 51-89	2	7	-	300-1000	70	3	
Cucurbits Edible peel : cucumber, courgette/ zucchini , Gherkins and others (field)	eu		NEU/SE U	Powdery mildew ( <i>Sphaerotheca fuliginea</i> and <i>Erysiphe</i> sp)	sc	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 20-89	2	7	-	300-1000	50	1	Equivalent to 25 mL/hL

Crop and/or situation (a)	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Preparation		Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
					Type (d-f)	Conc. a.s. (i)	method kind (f-h)	range of growth stages & season (j)	number min-max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s./hL min-max (l)	Water L/ha min-max	g a.s./ha min-max (l)		
Cucurbits Edible peel (protected)	EU		G	Sphaerotheca fuliginea	SC	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 20-89	2	7	-	300-1000	50	1	
Cucurbits Inedible peel: melon, watermelon Pumpkin and others (field)	eu		NEU/SE U	Powdery mildew (Sphaerotheca fuliginea and Erysiphe sp)	sc	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 20-89	2	7	-	300-1000	50	1	Equivalent to 25 mL/hL
Cucurbits Inedible peel (protected)	EU		G	Sphaerotheca fuliginea	SC	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 20-89	2	7	-	300-1000	50	1	
Kale/chinese cabbage/p e-tsai	eu		NEU/SE U	Alternaria sp. and Mycosphaerella sp.	sc	200 g/L	Foliar spray	BBC H 21-49	7 2	14	-	200-600	70	14	
Soya bean	EU		G	SeptoriaglycinesCercospora sojina, Cercospora kikuchii	SC	75 g/L	Foliar spray	45 DBH	2	15	-	100-400	160*	30	*160 g/ha - 60 g a.s./ha for

Crop and/or situation (a)	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Preparation		Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (days) (m)	Remarks
					Type (d-f)	Conc. a.s. (i)	method kind (f-h)	range of growth stages & season (j)	number min-max (k)	Interval between application (min)	kg a.s./hL min-max (l)	Water L/ha min-max	g a.s./ha min-max (l)		
															SYN545974 and 100 g a.s./ha for difenoconazole

\*160 g/ha - 60 g a.s./ha for SYN545974 and 100 g a.s./ha for difenoconazole

**1.5.4 Overview on authorisations in EU Member States**

SYN545974 is new active substance.

## **Level 2**

# **PYDIFLUMETOFEN**

## 2 SUMMARY OF ACTIVE SUBSTANCE HAZARD AND OF PRODUCT RISK ASSESSMENT

### Summary of methodology proposed by the applicant for literature review and for all sections

A literature review was carried out by the applicant for Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974) and its relevant metabolites according to Article 8(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. The review itself is in accordance with the EFSA Guidance document as published in EFSA Journal 2011; 9(2):2092 and covers the last ten years before the submission of the dossier (April 2016).

The exact search strategy is detailed in the document MCA Section 9, submitted by the applicant in its dossier, but a general summary of the methodology employed is given below.

1. A very broad search was conducted in 18 scientific source databases for Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974) and its metabolites (SYN545547, SYN548261, SYN547891, 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol, SYN548264, SYN547897, SYN548263, SYN547948, Hydroxylated SYN545974, NOA449410, SYN508272) according to specific criteria relevant for each section. For more details on the search criteria in each section, please refer to the document MCA Section 9.
2. Duplicates titles from between the data bases were automatically removed from the output.
3. A rapid assessment of the titles was conducted to remove any additional duplicates and any obviously irrelevant titles (where enough information was available from the title alone).
4. A further rapid assessment was conducted using summary abstracts and any clearly irrelevant titles were removed.
5. A detailed assessment of the full-text documents for the remaining titles was conducted using the criteria developed for study relevance in each section.
6. Any relevant papers were highlighted and assessed for reliability according to the criteria described by Klimisch *et al.* (1997).

An overview of the results, section by section, is summarised below.

### Physical and chemical properties

Data requirement(s) captured in the search	Number SYN545974 Initial Search	Number SYN545974 Top-Up Search	Number SYN545974 Specific Metabolites Search	Number Common SDHI Metabolites Search	Total
Total number of <i>summary records</i> retrieved after <i>all*</i> searches of peer-reviewed literature (excluding duplicates)	1	4	2904	0	2909
Number of <i>summary records</i> excluded from the search results after rapid assessment for relevance**	1	4	2904	0	2909
Total number of <i>full-text</i> documents assessed in detail*	0	0	0	0	0
Number of <i>studies</i> excluded from further consideration after detailed assessment for relevance	0	0	0	0	0
Number of <i>studies</i> not excluded for relevance after detailed assessment (i.e. relevant studies and studies of unclear relevance)	0	0	0	0	0

\*both from bibliographic databases and other sources of peer-reviewed literature

\*\* aligned with EFSA Journal 2011; 9(2) 2092: rapid assessment means exclusion of “obviously irrelevant records” based on titles.

All of the references were excluded from the rapid assessment, as no external research has been published on the parent molecule Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974), the specific environmental metabolites (SYN545547 and SYN548261) and the common SDHI environmental metabolite (NOA449410). The Pydiflumetofen specific metabolite search which returned many thousands of hits did not contain any of the Pydiflumetofen metabolites found in the environment, rather many hits for common classes for chemistry e.g. trichlorophenols. Therefore no full text was assessed and no studies were identified as potentially relevant for this submission.

## Toxicology

Data requirement(s) captured in the search	Number SYN545974 (Initial Search)	Number SYN545974 (Top-Up Search)	Number Specific Metabolites Search	Number Common SDHI Metabolites Search	Total
Total number of <i>summary records</i> retrieved after <i>all*</i> searches of peer-reviewed literature (excluding duplicates)	1	17	4072	1	4091
Number of <i>summary records</i> excluded from the search results after rapid assessment for relevance**	1	17	3836	1	3854
Total number of <i>full-text</i> documents assessed in detail*	0	0	236	0	236
Number of <i>studies</i> excluded from further consideration after detailed assessment for relevance	0	0	180	0	180
Number of <i>studies</i> not excluded for relevance after detailed assessment (i.e. relevant studies and studies of unclear relevance)	0	0	56	0	56

\*both from bibliographic databases and other sources of peer-reviewed literature

\*\* aligned with EFSA Journal 2011; 9(2) 2092: rapid assessment means exclusion of “obviously irrelevant records” based on titles.

No external research has been published on the parent molecule Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974) or the common SDHI metabolites (NOA449410 and SYN508272) found as metabolites in livestock. The Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974) specific metabolite search which returned many thousands of hits did not contain any of the Pydiflumetofen metabolites, with the exception of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP) (a metabolite of SYN545974 identified in rats, mice and livestock commodities). However, rather many of the hits were for the common class of chemistry e.g. trichlorophenols and the research often included trichlorophenols other than 2,4,6-TCP. Only trichlorophenol research specifically on 2,4,6-TCP was considered potentially relevant for this submission and other trichlorophenol data was not assessed. Following exclusion of references from the rapid assessment, the full text was assessed from the remaining 236 titles which were identified as potentially relevant or unclear on the basis of their titles and/or abstracts identified 59 of the studies as potentially relevant for this submission of Pydiflumetofen. The following paper was not identified in the literature search, but was included as it is an important and reliable publication: *Sasaki YF, Sekihashi K, Izumiyama F, Nishidate E, Saga A, Ishida K, Tsuda S. The Comet Assay with Multiple Mouse Organs: Comparison of Comet Assay Results and Carcinogenicity with 208 Chemicals Selected from the IARC Monographs and U.S. NTP Carcinogenicity Database* "Critical Reviews in Toxicology. (2000 Nov) 30:629-799. Journal code: CRT. ISSN: 1040-8444. Full details of this literature review is presented in Document MCA Section 9 and reviewed in Volume 3 CA-B6.

## Metabolism and Residu

Data requirement(s) captured in the search	Number SYN545974 Initial Search	Number SYN545974 Top-Up Search	Number SYN545974 Specific Metabolites Search	Number Common SDHI Metabolites Search	Total
--	---------------------------------	--------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	-------

Total number of <i>summary records</i> retrieved after <i>all*</i> searches of peer-reviewed literature (excluding duplicates)	1	51	5844	3	5899
Number of <i>summary records</i> excluded from the search results after rapid assessment for relevance**	1	51	5844	3	5899
Total number of <i>full-text</i> documents assessed in detail*	0	0	0	0	0
Number of <i>studies</i> excluded from further consideration after detailed assessment for relevance	0	0	0	0	0
Number of <i>studies</i> not excluded for relevance after detailed assessment (i.e. relevant studies and studies of unclear relevance)	0	0	0	0	0

\*both from bibliographic databases and other sources of peer-reviewed literature

\*\* aligned with EFSA Journal 2011; 9(2) 2092: rapid assessment means exclusion of “obviously irrelevant records” based on titles.

All of the references were excluded from the rapid assessment, as no external research has been published on the parent molecule Pydiflumetofen, the Pydiflumetofen specific metabolites and the Pydiflumetofen common SDHI metabolites. The Pydiflumetofen specific metabolite search which returned many thousands of hits did not contain any of the Pydiflumetofen metabolites relevant to metabolism and residues, rather many hits for common classes for chemistry e.g. trichlorophenols. Therefore no full text was assessed and no studies were identified as potentially relevant for this submission of SYN545974.

### Environmental Fate

Data requirement(s) captured in the search	Number SYN545974 Initial Search	Number SYN545974 Top-Up Search	Number SYN545974 Specific Metabolites Search	Number Common SDHI Metabolites Search	Total
Total number of <i>summary records</i> retrieved after <i>all*</i> searches of peer-reviewed literature (excluding duplicates)	3	125	9796	7	9931
Number of <i>summary records</i> excluded from the search results after rapid assessment for relevance**	3	125	9796	7	9931
Total number of <i>full-text</i> documents assessed in detail*	0	0	0	0	0
Number of <i>studies</i> excluded from further consideration after detailed assessment for relevance	0	0	0	0	0
Number of <i>studies</i> not excluded for relevance after detailed assessment (i.e. relevant studies and studies of unclear relevance)	0	0	0	0	0

\*both from bibliographic databases and other sources of peer-reviewed literature

\*\* aligned with EFSA Journal 2011; 9(2) 2092: rapid assessment means exclusion of “obviously irrelevant records” based on titles.

All of the references were excluded from the rapid assessment, as no external research has been published on the parent molecule Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974), the Pydiflumetofen specific environmental metabolites (SYN545547 and SYN548261) and the Pydiflumetofen common SDHI environmental metabolite (NOA449410). The Pydiflumetofen specific metabolite search which returned many thousands of hits did not contain any of the SYN545974 metabolites found in the environment, rather many hits for common classes for chemistry e.g. trichlorophenols. Therefore no full text was assessed and no studies were identified as potentially relevant for this submission of Pydiflumetofen.

### Ecotoxicology

Data requirement(s) captured in the search	Number SYN545974 Initial Search	Number SYN545974 Top-Up Search	Number SYN545974 Specific Metabolites Search	Number Common SDHI Metabolites Search	Total
Total number of <i>summary records</i> retrieved after <i>all*</i> searches of peer-reviewed literature (excluding duplicates)	2	31	3465	4	3502
Number of <i>summary records</i> excluded from the search results after rapid assessment for relevance**	2	31	3465	4	3502
Total number of <i>full-text</i> documents assessed in detail*	0	0	0	0	0
Number of <i>studies</i> excluded from further consideration after detailed assessment for relevance	0	0	0	0	0
Number of <i>studies</i> not excluded for relevance after detailed assessment (i.e. relevant studies and studies of unclear relevance)	0	0	0	0	0

\*both from bibliographic databases and other sources of peer-reviewed literature

\*\* aligned with EFSA Journal 2011; 9(2) 2092: rapid assessment means exclusion of “obviously irrelevant records” based on titles.

All of the references were excluded from the rapid assessment, as no external research has been published on the parent molecule Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974), the Pydiflumetofen specific environmental metabolites (SYN545547 and SYN548261) and the Pydiflumetofen common SDHI environmental metabolite (NOA449410). The Pydiflumetofen specific metabolite search which returned many thousands of hits did not contain any of the Pydiflumetofen metabolites found in the environment, rather many hits for common classes for chemistry e.g. trichlorophenols. Therefore no full text was assessed and no studies were identified as potentially relevant for this submission of Pydiflumetofen.


The outcomes of the review of scientific open literature and these scientific papers are discussed by the RMS in Volumes 3 of the DAR for each section.

## 2.1 IDENTITY


### 2.1.1 Summary or identity

SYN545974 consists of two enantiomers as a racemate mixture (50:50)

SYN546968 (*S*)-3-Difluoromethyl-1-methyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid methoxy-[1-methyl-2-(2,4,6-trichloro-phenyl)-ethyl]-amide



SYN546969 (*R*)-3-Difluoromethyl-1-methyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid methoxy-[1-methyl-2-(2,4,6-trichloro-phenyl)-ethyl]-amide



## 2.2 PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES [EQUIVALENT TO SECTION 7 OF THE CLH REPORT TEMPLATE]










### 2.2.1 Summary of physical and chemical properties of the active substance

Most of tests were performed on the pure active substance (99.5%). The technical material contains 98.5% of active substance (*see table 64*).

Table 1: Summary of physicochemical properties of the active substance

Property	Value	Reference	Comment (e.g. measured or estimated)
Physical state at 20°C and 101,3 kPa	Pure substance: White powder Technical substance: off-white powder	<i>O'Connor B. 2012b SYN545974_10025</i> <i>O'Connor B. 2012c SYN545974_10026</i>	Visual assessment
Melting/freezing point	112.7 °C	<i>O'Connor B. 2012 SYN545974_10023</i> <i>O'Connor B. 2012a SYN545974_10024</i>	Measured OECD 102
Boiling point	NA (decompose from approximately 283°C before boiling)	<i>O'Connor B. 2012 SYN545974_10023</i> <i>O'Connor B. 2012a SYN545974_10024</i>	NA
Relative density	Not applicable for a solid	-	NA
Vapour pressure	1.84 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> Pa at 20 °C 5.30 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> Pa at 25 °C	<i>Vijayakumar C. 2012 SYN545974_10038</i>	Measured OECD 104
Surface tension	71.5 mN/m at 21.5 ± 0.5 °C in 90% aqueous saturated solution	<i>O'Connor B. 2014 SYN545974_10382</i>	Measured OECD 115
Water solubility	1.5 mg/l at 25°C, pH +/- 6.5.	<i>Halarnakar R. 2012 SYN545974_10031</i>	Measured EC Test A.6
Partition coefficient n-octanol/water	P <sub>ow</sub> = 7000 (± 220) log P <sub>ow</sub> = 3.8 at 25°C	<i>Halarnakar R., 2012b, SYN545974_10032</i>	Measured OECD 107
Henry's law constant	H = 5.30.10-10 * 298.15 / 1.5 = 1.05. 10-07 kPa.m <sup>3</sup> /mol	<i>Vijayakumar C. 2012 SYN545974_10038</i>	Calculation
Flash point	Not applicable for a solid	<i>O'Connor B. 2012a SYN545974_10024</i>	NA
Flammability	Technical material is not classified as flammable solid.	<i>Jackson W.A. 2016 SYN545974_10488</i>	Measured ASTM E537 UN Test N.1
Explosive properties	Technical material is not classified as an explosive substance.	<i>Jackson W.A. 2016 SYN545974_10488</i>	Measured UN Test.2 (b) & (c)

Property	Value	Reference	Comment (e.g. measured or estimated)																																				
<b>Self-ignition temperature</b>	The substance has a melting point well below 160°C. UN Test N.4 cannot apply to this material and no conclusion can be drawn.	<i>Jackson W.A. 2012 SYN545974_10036</i> <i>Jackson W.A. 2016 SYN545974_10488</i>	Measured EC Test A.16																																				
<b>Oxidising properties</b>	Technical material is not classified as an oxidising substance.	<i>Jackson W.A. 2016 SYN545974_10488</i>	Measured UN Test O.1																																				
<b>Granulometry</b>	-	-																																					
<b>Solubility in organic solvents and identity of relevant degradation products</b>	For the technical material, at 25 °C: Acetone 220 g/l Dichloromethane >500 g/l Ethyl acetate 130 g/l Hexane 0.270 g/l Methanol 26 g/l Octanol 7.2 g/l Toluene 67 g/l	<i>Halarnakar R. 2012a SYN545974_10030</i>	Measured Similar to CIPAC MT 157.3																																				
<b>Dissociation constant</b>	There is no pK <sub>a</sub> value within the range 2.0 to 12.0 at 25°C	<i>O'Connor B. 2013 SYN545974_10050</i>	NA																																				
<b>Viscosity</b>	Not applicable for a solid	-	NA																																				
<b>Spectra (UV/VIS, IR, NMR, MS), molar extinction at relevant wavelengths, optical purity</b>	<p>UV:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4"><u>List of characteristic bands:</u></th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>Wavelength [nm]</th> <th>Absorbance</th> <th>Molar extinction coefficient [l / mol * cm]</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>neutral solution<sup>1)</sup></td> <td>230</td> <td>0.6081</td> <td>17736</td> </tr> <tr> <td>neutral solution concentrated<sup>1)</sup></td> <td>295</td> <td>0.1381</td> <td>31.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>acidic solution<sup>1)</sup></td> <td>230 295</td> <td>0.6263 0.0019</td> <td>18267 59.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>basic solution<sup>1)</sup></td> <td>230 295</td> <td>0.6120 0.0017</td> <td>17850 53.2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>IR:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"><u>Table of absorption peaks:</u></th> </tr> <tr> <th>Wavenumber [cm<sup>-1</sup>]</th> <th>Assigned to</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ca. 3300</td> <td>N-H stretch</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1625</td> <td>N-C=O stretch (amide I)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1544</td> <td>N-C=O stretch (amide II)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1089</td> <td>C-Cl</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><sup>1</sup>H-MRN:</p>	<u>List of characteristic bands:</u>					Wavelength [nm]	Absorbance	Molar extinction coefficient [l / mol * cm]	neutral solution <sup>1)</sup>	230	0.6081	17736	neutral solution concentrated <sup>1)</sup>	295	0.1381	31.3	acidic solution <sup>1)</sup>	230 295	0.6263 0.0019	18267 59.5	basic solution <sup>1)</sup>	230 295	0.6120 0.0017	17850 53.2	<u>Table of absorption peaks:</u>		Wavenumber [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	Assigned to	ca. 3300	N-H stretch	1625	N-C=O stretch (amide I)	1544	N-C=O stretch (amide II)	1089	C-Cl	<i>Heintz K. 2016 SYN545974_10172</i>	Measured OECD 101
<u>List of characteristic bands:</u>																																							
	Wavelength [nm]	Absorbance	Molar extinction coefficient [l / mol * cm]																																				
neutral solution <sup>1)</sup>	230	0.6081	17736																																				
neutral solution concentrated <sup>1)</sup>	295	0.1381	31.3																																				
acidic solution <sup>1)</sup>	230 295	0.6263 0.0019	18267 59.5																																				
basic solution <sup>1)</sup>	230 295	0.6120 0.0017	17850 53.2																																				
<u>Table of absorption peaks:</u>																																							
Wavenumber [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	Assigned to																																						
ca. 3300	N-H stretch																																						
1625	N-C=O stretch (amide I)																																						
1544	N-C=O stretch (amide II)																																						
1089	C-Cl																																						

Property	Value	Reference	Comment (e.g. measured or estimated)																																													
	<p><u>Table of chemical shifts:</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Chemical shift [ppm]</th> <th>Assignment</th> <th>Number of protons</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.32 – 1.34</td> <td>E</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.50 – 2.52</td> <td>from solvent DMSO</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.14 – 3.30</td> <td>C</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.32</td> <td>H<sub>2</sub>O from solvent</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.71</td> <td>F</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.94</td> <td>I</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.73 – 4.82</td> <td>D</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.02 } 7.15 } 7.29 }</td> <td>G (triplet)</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.61</td> <td>A, B</td> <td>2 (1 each)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8.27</td> <td>H</td> <td>1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>MS:</p> <p><u>Mass spectrum interpretation:</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>m/z</th> <th>Fragment ion</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>425</td> <td>M<sup>+</sup> (molecular ion), not visible</td> </tr> <tr> <td>232</td> <td>M<sup>+</sup> – 193</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>193</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>159</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Chemical shift [ppm]	Assignment	Number of protons	1.32 – 1.34	E	3	2.50 – 2.52	from solvent DMSO		3.14 – 3.30	C	2	3.32	H <sub>2</sub> O from solvent		3.71	F	3	3.94	I	3	4.73 – 4.82	D	1	7.02 } 7.15 } 7.29 }	G (triplet)	1	7.61	A, B	2 (1 each)	8.27	H	1	m/z	Fragment ion	425	M <sup>+</sup> (molecular ion), not visible	232	M <sup>+</sup> – 193			193		159			
Chemical shift [ppm]	Assignment	Number of protons																																														
1.32 – 1.34	E	3																																														
2.50 – 2.52	from solvent DMSO																																															
3.14 – 3.30	C	2																																														
3.32	H <sub>2</sub> O from solvent																																															
3.71	F	3																																														
3.94	I	3																																														
4.73 – 4.82	D	1																																														
7.02 } 7.15 } 7.29 }	G (triplet)	1																																														
7.61	A, B	2 (1 each)																																														
8.27	H	1																																														
m/z	Fragment ion																																															
425	M <sup>+</sup> (molecular ion), not visible																																															
232	M <sup>+</sup> – 193																																															
																																																
193																																																
159																																																

Pydiflumetofen is an opaque solid in the form of a fine, non-free flowing powder. Full spectra (UV/Vis, IR, NMR, and MS) were provided. The molar extinction coefficient at  $\lambda_{max}$  = 230 nm is 17736 L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup> (in neutral solution), 18267 L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup> (in acid solution) and 17850 L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup> (in basic solution). Pydiflumetofen melted at 112.7°C. No decomposition occurred below melting point. The mean vapour pressure was 1.84 x 10<sup>-7</sup> Pa at 20°C (very low volatility). Henry's law constant (1.05 . 10<sup>-7</sup> kPa.m<sup>3</sup>/mol) indicating a very low probability for volatilisation from water to air.

Pydiflumetofen is not soluble in water (pH6.5: > 1.5 mg/L at 20 °C). Pydiflumetofen is soluble in most of the organic solvents (ranged from 0.27 g.L<sup>-1</sup> in hexane to >500 g.L<sup>-1</sup> in dichloromethane). Log Po/w is 3.8 at 25°C.

According to CLP regulation, Pydiflumetofen is not flammable, not auto-flammable, not explosive and has no oxidising properties indicating that it does not create problems during transport and storage.

### 2.2.1.1 Evaluation of physical hazards [equivalent to section 8 of the CLH report template]

There is no classification for the physico-chemical properties (see table 1 above).

### 2.2.2 Summary of physical and chemical properties of the plant protection product

A19649B is an Suspension Concentrate (SC) formulation. All studies have been performed in accordance with the current requirements and the results are deemed to be acceptable. The appearance of the product is that of off-white liquid, with no particular odor. It is not explosive and has no oxidizing properties. The product is not flammable and has no flash point below 101°C. It has no self-ignition temperature below 650°C. In 1% aqueous solution, it has a pH value of 7.5 at 25°C. There is no effect of low and high temperature on the stability of the

formulation, since after 7 days at 0°C and 14 days at 54°C, neither the active ingredient content nor the technical properties were changed.

The stability data indicate a shelf life of at least 18 months at ambient temperature when stored in PET and HDPE packaging. The final study should be provided when it will be finished.

Its technical characteristics are acceptable for a SC formulation.

The formulation is not classified for the physical-chemical aspect.

## 2.3 DATA ON APPLICATION AND EFFICACY

### 2.3.1 Summary of effectiveness

A greenhouse trial on whole plants was conducted to evaluate biological activity of both enantiomers of SYN545974 (CSCD746374 and CSCD746375) in comparison to the racemate. The results show that CSCD746375 is slightly less active than CSCD746374 at the lowest rates tested against some targets, but both enantiomers show relevant biological activity, contributing to the performance of the racemate SYN545974.

The field trials data supporting effectiveness of A19649B against these targets comprise 173 trials conducted over 2 years. The trials were undertaken by Official and/or Officially Recognized Organizations., all of which follow EPPO guidelines. Trials were conducted in the following Member States: AT, BE, BG, CZ, DE, ES, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IT, LT, LV, NL, PL, PT, RO, SI and SK in 2014 and 2015. These are representative of the following EPPO climatic zones according to EPPO Standard PP1/241 (1).

The results demonstrate that A19649B, containing 200 g/l SYN545974 as a suspension concentrate, has a good efficacy on a broad range of crops against a broad range of diseases which are all representative across Europe. Detailed consideration of efficacy will occur in the subsequent product authorization process when a full biological assessment dossier will be required.

### 2.3.2 Summary of information on the development of resistance

SYN545974 is a Succinate DeHydrogenase Inhibitor (SDHI). SDHI fungicides are currently classified as bearing medium to high risk by FRAC (Fungicide Resistance Action Committee). Cross-resistance within the same group is to be expected.

For the representative uses, the combined risk can be considered as moderate to high depending on the disease and the level of agronomic risk.

Considering the current knowledge about the resistance to SDHI, the following recommendations should be taken into account in the context of subsequent applications for products authorization:

- the number of applications of SDHI fungicide based products within a total disease management program must be limited and reasoned in function of the claimed use and the resistance situation to SDHI in the Member State,
- When mixtures are used for SDHI fungicide resistance management (in case of pathogen with medium to high resistance risk), applied as tank mix or as a co-formulated mixture, the mixture partner:
  - ✓ should provide satisfactory disease control when used alone on the target disease,
  - ✓ must have a different mode of action (mixture with other SDHI is not considered as appropriate for management of resistance to SDHI).

Monitoring of resistance to SYN545974 should be put in place from the marketing of products, in particular in case of moderate to high risk of resistance.

### 2.3.3 Summary of adverse effects on treated crops

Crop safety evaluations have been carried out in all efficacy trials. In addition, 13 specific crop safety trials which included N and 2N doses were performed in grapes, pome fruits (apple and pear) and tomatoes.

Taking account the results of the trials, A19649B, containing 200 g/L SYN545974, is a safe product for all these representative crops, even after multiple applications.

More detailed consideration on adverse effects on treated crops will be fully assessed in the context of subsequent applications for products authorization.

### 2.3.4 Summary of observations on other undesirable or unintended side-effects

Considering the activity of SYN545974 (as fungicide) and the results of crop safety trials, no negative effect is intended on succeeding crops, adjacent crops and beneficials.

However more detailed consideration on side-effects will be fully assessed in the context of subsequent applications for products authorization.

## 2.4 FURTHER INFORMATION

### 2.4.1 Summary of methods and precautions concerning handling, storage, transport or fire

See Volumes 3 B-4 for the active substance and the plant protection product.

### 2.4.2 Summary of procedures for destruction or decontamination

See Volumes 3 B-4 for the active substance and the plant protection product.

### 2.4.3 Summary of emergency measures in case of an accident

See Volumes 3 B-4 for the active substance and the plant protection product.

## 2.5 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

### 2.5.1 Methods used for the generation of pre-authorisation data

#### **Analytical methods for the determination of the active substance and by-products in the technical material**

Analytical method SA-97/1 (Mink C. 2015a&b) for the determination of pydiflumetofen in technical active substance has been provided and validated according to guidance SANCO3030/99/rev.4.

Analytical method SB-97/1 (Mink C. 2015c&d) for the determination of pydiflumetofen by-products (NOA449410 (CA4312), SYN545748, NOA449410 (CA5204), SYN545547, SYN547892, SYN547893, SYN548380, SYN548385) in technical active substance has been provided and validated according to guidance SANCO3030/99/rev.4, but reagent blank solvent chromatogram should be provided to validated the specificity of the analytical method.

### 2.5.2 Methods for post control and monitoring purposes

#### **Analytical methods for the determination of the active substance in the plant production product**

Analytical method SF-636/1 (Voellmin S. 2013) for the determination of pydiflumetofen in plant production product has been provided and validated according to guidance SANCO3030/99/rev.4.

#### **Analytical methods for the determination of Pydiflumetofen residues in foodstuff of plant and animal origin**

##### **Plant matrices**

A QuEChERS multi-residue analytical method (Meseguer C, 2015 Syngenta File No. SYN545974\_10174) and its ILV (Khan A, Merdian H, 2015 study S14-05402) using LC/MS/MS for the determination of SYN545974 in crops (high wet, dry, acidic, oily and coffee) were provided and fully validated with a limit of quantification of 0.01 mg/kg. Confirmatory data were provided on a second mass transition according to SANCO825/00 rev8.1.

**However, a cross validation with acetonitrile:water 50:50 should be provided to validated the extraction efficiency with this solvent ratio in all type of matrices (acidic, high wet, oily and dry content commodities).**

**Animal matrices**

An analytical multi-residue QuEChERS method and its ILV (Richter S, 2015 Syngenta File No. SYN545974\_10169 and Bradford W. 2015 Syngenta File No. SYN545974\_10195) were provided and fully validated for the determination of SYN545974 in animal matrices (fat, liver, milk, eggs and blood) with a limit of quantification of 0.01 mg/kg. Confirmatory data were provided on a second mass transition according to SANCO825/00 rev8.1.

**However, an analytical method fully validated (with confirmatory data) should be provided for the determination of pydiflumetofen (SYN545974) in muscle.**

An analytical method GRM061.07A and its ILV (Mayer L, 2015c; Senciuc M, Asekunowo J, 2015 and Schlewitz P, 2015) using LC/MS/MS for the determination of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugates) in animal commodities (muscle, fat, kidney, milk, eggs and blood) were provided and fully validated with a limit of quantification of 0.01 mg/kg. Confirmatory data were provided on a second mass transition according to SANCO825/00 rev8.1.

**Analytical methods for the determination of Pydiflumetofen residues in soil, water and air**

An analytical method GRM061.04A (Lin, K., 2013 and Marin, J. E., 2013) using LC/MS/MS for the determination of pydiflumetofen (SYN545974) in soil was provided and fully validated with a limit of quantification of 0.5 µg/kg. Confirmatory data were provided on a second mass transition according to SANCO/825/00 rev. 8.1. No other data is required.

Analytical methods GRM061.01A and its ILV (Huang S, 2013; Mayer L, 2016 and Marin J, 2013a) using LC/MS/MS were provided and fully validated for the determination of pydiflumetofen (SYN545974) in ground and surface water with a limit of quantification of 0.05 µg/L. Confirmatory data were provided on a second mass transition according to SANCO/825/00 rev.8. No other data is required.

An analytical method GRM061.11A (Göcer M, 2016; Göcer M, 2016a) using LC/MS/MS for the determination of pydiflumetofen (SYN545974) in air was provided and fully validated with a LOQ of 5.4 µg/tube (equivalent to 30 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). Confirmatory data were provided on a second mass transition according to SANCO/825/00 rev 8.1. No other data is required.

**Analytical methods for the determination of Pydiflumetofen residues in biological fluids and tissues**

See animal matrices above.

2.6 EFFECTS ON HUMAN AND ANIMAL HEALTH

More detailed results of the studies are presented in Volume 3, section B.6.

2.6.1 Summary of absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion in mammals [equivalent to section 9 of the CLH report template]

Table 2: Summary table of toxicokinetic studies

Method	Results	Remarks	Reference
<p>Male and female rats administered single oral dose of two radiolabelled forms of [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974 (5 or 1000 mg/kg bw) or a single intravenous dose of 1 mg/kg bw. SYN545974 (purity 99.5%).</p> <p><b>Vehicle:</b> 5 mg/kg oral doses: 5% (v/v) DMSO and 0.5% (v/v) Tween 80 in 0.5% (w/v) aqueous CMC. 1000 mg/kg oral doses: 20% (v/v) DMSO and 0.5% (v/v) Tween 80 in 0.5% (w/v) CMC. The intravenous dose vehicle was 5% (w/v) DMSO in 40% (w/v) aqueous hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin.</p> <p><b>Guidelines:</b> OECD 417 GLP Acceptable</p>	<p>Following oral and intravenous administration, the major route of elimination was <i>via</i> the feces in both males and females, with the majority of the administered radioactivity excreted in urine and feces within the first 72 hours following dosing. No notable radioactivity was recorded in expired air.</p> <p>Oral exposure to both labels was broadly comparable between genders. Exposure to both labels increased with dose for oral administration, but was sub-proportional to dose.</p> <p>The biotransformation in rat proceeded by various phase 1 and phase 2 metabolic pathways. Together with oxidation, glucuronidation and sulphation there was cleavage at the amide bond to form pyrazole related metabolites and cleavage of SYN545974 to form 2, 4, 6-trichlorophenol related metabolites.</p>	<p>Deviations – not applicable.</p>	<p>Webbley and Williams (2015)</p>
<p>Male and female rats administered oral dose of 5, 100 (female only) or 300 (male only) mg/kg bw. SYN545974 (purity 98.5%)</p> <p><b>Vehicle:</b> 0.5% (w/v) CMC containing 0.5% Tween 80</p> <p><b>Guidelines:</b> OECD 417 GLP Acceptable</p>	<p>Irrespective of radiolabel, dose or sex, following a single oral administration, the majority of dose related radioactivity was eliminated by 48 hours post dose and excretion was essentially complete by 168 hours. Absorption was limited by dose from approximately 85-90% of the 5 mg/kg bw oral dose to 19-24% at 300 mg/kg bw in males and 50-55% at 100 mg/kg bw in females. The majority of the absorbed dose was excreted in feces via bile elimination.</p> <p>Seven days after administration, radioactive residues in the majority of tissues were not detectable. The highest mean concentrations were in the liver and kidney consistent with the biliary and urinary elimination of absorbed [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974.</p>	<p>Deviations – not applicable.</p>	<p>Hutton (2015a)</p>
<p>Male and female rats administered oral dose of 5, 100 (female only) or 300 (male only) mg/kg bw. SYN545974 (purity 98.5%)</p> <p><b>Vehicle:</b> 0.5% (w/v) CMC containing 0.5% Tween 80</p> <p><b>Guidelines:</b> OECD 417 GLP Acceptable</p>	<p>Following a single oral dose, the tissue distribution and depletion of radioactivity was similar, irrespective of dose, label or sex. Radioactivity was widely distributed, with the highest concentrations of radioactivity observed in the liver and kidney at all sampling time points, consistent with the excretion profile of [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974. The depletion of radioactivity from tissues broadly reflected that observed in blood, suggesting accumulation in tissues is unlikely. At termination, total tissue and carcass residues accounted for ≤ 3.0% of the administered dose.</p>	<p>Deviations – not applicable.</p>	<p>Hutton (2015b)</p>
<p>Male and female rats administered oral dose of 5, 100 (female only) or 300 (male only) mg/kg bw. SYN545974 (purity 98.5%)</p> <p><b>Vehicle:</b> 0.5% (w/v) CMC</p>	<p>The mean peak blood and plasma concentrations were observed between 0.5-8 hours post dose. Systemic exposure increased in a sub-proportional manner between the low and high dose levels in whole blood and plasma for both males and females. At the 5 mg/kg oral dose, absolute oral</p>	<p>Deviations – not applicable.</p>	<p>Hutton and O’Hagan (2015)</p>

Method	Results	Remarks	Reference
containing 0.5% Tween 80 <b>Guidelines:</b> OECD 417 GLP Acceptable	bioavailability (F) in blood ranged from 48-55%, for males and females irrespective of radiolabel position. However, after the 100 or 300 mg/kg bw oral dose, bioavailability was decreased with F estimates of between 26-34%, for males and females, respectively. This data indicates that the sub-proportional increase in exposure was limited by absorption at the higher dose.		
Male and female rats administered oral dose of 5, 100 (female only) or 300 (male only) mg/kg bw (See Hutton 2015a, b). SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) <b>Vehicle:</b> 0.5% (w/v) CMC containing 0.5% Tween 80 <b>Guidelines:</b> OECD 417 GLP Acceptable	Following a single oral administration, the majority of the absorbed dose underwent extensive first pass metabolism and was excreted in feces via biliary elimination with urine as a minor route. Based on unchanged parent in bile and feces, absorption was complete in the 5 mg/kg dose group, however in the higher dose group animals (100 and 300 mg/kg bw) up to 63% of the dose was unabsorbed. In general, the major metabolites present were qualitatively and quantitatively similar irrespective of dose and sex. Numerous metabolites were detected as cleavage products and also those that retained both the phenyl and pyrazole ring moieties. Only two metabolites (2,4,6 TCP sulphate and SYN548263) individually accounted for >10% of the administered dose.	Deviations – not applicable.	MacDonald and Jewkes (2015)
Male and female rats administered daily oral doses for 7 days of 3, 10, 30, 100, 300, 500 or 1000 (males only) mg/kg or a single intravenous dose at 1 mg/kg. SYN545974 (purity 99.5%) <b>Vehicle:</b> 0.5% (w/v) CMC containing 0.5% Tween 80; intravenous dose DMSO: 10% (w/v) aqueous hydroxypropyl- $\beta$ -cyclodextrin (5:95, v/v) <b>Guidelines:</b> Not applicable GLP Acceptable	Systemic exposure increased sub-proportionally to dose in males (30-1000 mg/kg) and females (3-500 mg/kg). In males, a 33-fold increase in dose from 30-1000 mg/kg bw resulted in a 7.6-fold increase in exposure, but linearity could not be assessed below 30 mg/kg bw. In females, a 167-fold increase in dose from 3 - 500 mg/kg bw resulted in a 12-fold increase in exposure. There was negligible accumulation of SYN545974 observed between Days 1 and 7 at the 3 and 10 mg/kg/day doses in females, with systemic exposure to SYN545974 being appreciably reduced at doses greater than 10 mg/kg/day on repeat oral administration in both sexes. Systemic exposure was consistently greater in females compared to males throughout the study. No other consistent sex-related trends were observed.	Deviations – not applicable.	Punler and Harris (2014a)
Male and female mice administered daily oral doses for 7 days of 10, 30, 100, 200, 300, 500, 750 or 1000 mg/kg bw or a single intravenous dose at 1 mg/kg bw. SYN545974 (purity 99.5%) <b>Vehicle:</b> 0.5% (w/v) (CMC containing 0.5% Tween 80; intravenous dose DMSO: 10% (w/v) aqueous hydroxypropyl- $\beta$ -cyclodextrin (5:95, v/v) <b>Guidelines:</b> Not applicable GLP acceptable	Overall, total systemic exposure increased in a generally proportional manner on Day 1 and in a sub-proportional manner on Day 7 in males and females. However, this increase in AUC <sub>(0-t)</sub> was characterised by supra-proportional increase between 10 and 100-300 mg/kg bw in males and female after which a sub proportional increase in AUC <sub>(0-t)</sub> estimates was evident across the subsequent increasing doses. C <sub>max</sub> increased sub-proportionally across the dose range on Days 1 and 7 in both sexes. Absolute oral bioavailability was very low. Systemic exposure was appreciably reduced at doses greater than 10 mg/kg bw on repeat oral administration. Clearance was less than the known combined hepatic and renal blood flow rates in mice, with SYN545974 indicating extensive distribution beyond the central circulation. Systemic exposure was generally comparable between sexes on Day 1. Where a trend was observed, systemic exposure was greater in female than in males at doses 200 to 1000 mg/kg bw. No other consistent sex-related trends were observed.	Deviations – not applicable.	Punler and Harris (2014b)
Male and female mice administered single oral dose of 10	Irrespective of radiolabel, dose or sex, the majority of dose related radioactivity was eliminated by	Deviations – not	Tomlinson <i>et</i>

Method	Results	Remarks	Reference
or 300 mg/kg bw. SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) <b>Vehicle:</b> CMC 0.5% (w/v) containing 0.5% Tween 80. <b>Guidelines:</b> OECD 417 GLP Acceptable	24 hours post dose and excretion was essentially complete by 168 hours. The major route of elimination was <i>via</i> the feces, with urinary elimination playing a minor role. Based on the % of parent in the feces at 300 mg/kg bw compared to the 10 mg/kg bw dose, suggests that nearly up to 50% of the 300 mg/kg bw dose is unabsorbed.  In general the major metabolites present were qualitatively and quantitatively similar between males and females and across dose rates with no significant quantitative differences observed from male compared with female mice.  SYN545974 was extensively metabolised via demethylation, hydroxylation, and dechlorination together with glucuronide and sulphate conjugates with the potential for multiple isomers within most types. The molecule also cleaves at the benzylic carbon to yield the phenyl metabolite TCP and pyrazole metabolite SYN548263. These cleavage products were further metabolised via direct glucuronidation and sulphation and also following hydroxylation and sulphation to 3-hydroxy-TCP sulphate.	applicable.	<i>al</i> (2015)

### 2.6.1.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided toxicokinetic information on the proposed classification(s)

The mammalian fate of SYN545974 has been assessed in studies investigating the absorption, distribution, metabolism (qualitative and quantitative) and excretion (ADME) in rats. The excretion and biotransformation of SYN545974 was also investigated in mice. The toxicokinetic profile of SYN545974 following repeat gavage, dietary or capsule dosing in rats, mice, rabbits and dogs was determined. Toxicokinetic data was used to support dose level selection for toxicity studies based on linear versus non-linear kinetics in rat, mouse, dog and rabbit. In addition, intravenous administration of the test substance (radiolabelled and non-radiolabelled) and measurement of the test substance in blood and/or excreta was used to establish oral bioavailability or oral absorption in rats and mouse.

In rat, preliminary ADME studies using [pyrazole-5-<sup>14</sup>C]- and [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]- radiolabelled SYN545974 indicated that SYN545974 was metabolically cleaved between the pyrazole and phenyl moieties. Therefore, subsequent ADME studies used both radiolabels. Bile duct cannulated rats were used in the main ADME study, as the preliminary study showed that greater than 20% of the administered dose was excreted in feces.

#### Absorption

The oral absorption of total radioactivity from a 5 mg/kg oral gavage dose of [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974 was 85-90%, in male and female rats. This was estimated from the percentage of dose recovered in urine, bile and carcass (without gastrointestinal tract) from bile duct cannulated male and female rats, following single oral gavage administration of <sup>14</sup>C-SYN545974. The majority of a 5 mg/kg oral dose was systemically available, based on the urinary excretion ratio following oral and intravenous administration and the excretion profile. The oral intravenous urinary excretion ratio was 1:1, calculated from 19-28% of a 5 mg/kg bw oral dose (preliminary and main study) and 19-26% of a 1 mg/kg intravenous dose (preliminary study) excreted in urine. The excretion profile showed that less than 7.9% of a 5 mg/kg oral dose was excreted unchanged in feces and SYN545974 was not present in bile.

Absorption became limited as the dose increased, where following oral doses of 100 mg/kg to females and 300 mg/kg to males, absorption was 50-55% and 19-24%, respectively. At these doses, unchanged SYN545974 was the major component in feces, at up to 63% of the dose, but with less than 0.2% in bile. This confirms that as the oral dose increased, the absorption decreased limiting systemic exposure. In the mouse, dose limited absorption following oral administration was also evident, based on the percent of dose in excreta. Following 10 mg/kg oral administration, unchanged SYN545974 was only detected in feces accounting for less than 4.4% of the dose; however, after a 300 mg/kg oral dose, parent SYN545974 was the major component which accounted for up to 49% of the administered dose.

The kinetic studies show that systemic exposure to SYN545974 increased in an approximately proportional manner after both single and repeat dose ranging from 30 to 100 mg/kg. Therefore, oral absorption is constant (85-90%) below 30 mg/kg; however, between 30 and 100 mg/kg, systemic exposure starts to increase less than

proportionally to the dose, indicating the fraction of dose absorbed decreases as the dose is increased above 30 mg/kg.

### **Distribution**

The tissue distribution of dose-related radioactivity over time was similar, irrespective of dose, label or sex, following a single oral dose of [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974 to male (5 and 300 mg/kg) and female rats (5 and 100 mg/kg). Radioactivity was widely distributed, with the highest concentrations of radioactivity observed in the liver and kidney at all sampling time points between 0.5 h and 120 h, consistent with the excretion profile of [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974. The depletion profile of radioactivity from all tissues appeared to mirror that observed in blood/plasma. At termination (96 or 120 h post dose), total tissue and carcass residues accounted for ≤ 3.0% of the administered dose. In a preliminary study, residues continued to decline and at seven days after a single oral dose (5-1000 mg/kg), residues of radioactivity remaining in the carcass of both males and females were ≤ 0.1% of the administered dose. At seven days, radioactivity was detected in the blood, but not reliably detected in the plasma. The highest tissue concentrations were observed in liver and to a lesser extent the kidneys. Concentrations of radioactivity in the remaining tissues were either below that observed in blood or not reliably detected.

In the mouse, excretion was essentially complete in all animals seven days post dose with 0.3% or less remaining in the carcass and gastrointestinal tract, following single oral administration of phenyl or pyrazole labelled [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974 at 5 and 300 mg/kg.

### **Excretion**

Following oral or intravenous administration of [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974, greater than 91% of radioactivity was eliminated by 48 hours post dose and excretion was essentially complete by 168 h, irrespective of radiolabel position, dose or sex.

The predominant route of excretion was in the feces with the majority of the absorbed dose eliminated via biliary excretion. The remainder of the dose was recovered from urine, with <0.1% of dose recovered in expired air or in the carcass. After a 5 mg/kg oral dose, up to 81% of the administered dose was excreted in bile with less than 15% recovered in feces. However, at higher doses the percentage of dose recovered in bile decreased to less than 41% in females (100 mg/kg) and 18% in males (300 mg/kg), which is consistent with limited absorption being evident. This decreased biliary excretion was associated with a concomitant increased radioactivity recovered in feces. There is also evidence of enterohepatic recirculation, with lower recovery in the urine in bile duct cannulated animals (10-15%) compared to non-cannulated animals (18-26%) administered 5 mg/kg [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974.

In the mouse, excretion of the administered dose was essentially complete after seven days, irrespective of dose (10 and 300 mg/kg) or radiolabel following a single oral administration of [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974. The majority of administered radioactivity (>87%) was excreted in the first 24 hours. The routes and rates were similar for both radiolabels and for males and females, with the majority of the dose excreted in the feces (63-79% at 10 mg/kg and 76-94% at 300 mg/kg). Urinary excretion accounted for the remainder of the dose.

### **Toxicokinetics**

#### ***Total radioactivity***

Following intravenous administration of phenyl or pyrazole labelled [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974 (1 mg/kg), blood concentrations steadily declined to 48 h post dose with systemic exposure comparable between radiolabels. Following a single oral administration of 5 mg/kg phenyl or pyrazole labelled [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974 peak whole blood and plasma concentrations ( $C_{max}$ ) were observed at 0.5- 2 hours. At the higher doses (100 mg/kg in females or 300 mg/kg in males) maximum concentrations were observed at 8 hours post dose. Overall total systemic exposure was comparable between whole blood and plasma within the same dose levels and radiolabel position. Systemic exposure to total radioactivity (based on  $AUC_{(0-t)}$  estimates) increased in a sub proportional manner between the 5 mg/kg and higher dose levels in whole blood and plasma for both males and females. Poor definition of a reliable terminal phase from the concentration-time profiles complicated the determination of the area under the curve; therefore, an accurate assessment of the dose fraction systemically available could not be reliably determined from the kinetics of total radioactivity in blood. The complexity of the kinetic profile of total radioactivity following oral and intravenous administration of labelled [<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974 may be influenced by several factors, such as the extensive first pass metabolism after oral administration, the high number of metabolites produced and enterohepatic recirculation. As outlined under “Absorption” systemic availability was determined from urinary excretion data.

#### ***Parent SYN545974***

##### ***Rat***

The pharmacokinetics of non-radiolabelled SYN545974 was investigated in the rat following repeated oral or single intravenous administration of SYN545974. SYN545974 was rapidly cleared with whole blood

concentrations rapidly declining to below the level of quantitation (5 ng/mL) *ca* 6-8 h in males and 8-12 h females, following intravenous administration of SYN545974 (1 mg/kg). Clearance was slightly higher in the male but in both sexes was characterised by a half-life of less than 2 h. The volume of distribution indicated extensive distribution of SYN545974.

Male rats were dosed orally by gavage with doses ranging from 3 to 1000 mg/kg. However, at 3 and 10 mg/kg, too many measured concentrations of SYN545974 were below the limit of quantification to reliably estimate meaningful kinetic parameters. Therefore, the dose range analysed in males for linearity of kinetics was 30-1000 mg/kg. In male rats a 33 fold increase in dose from 30 to 1000 mg/kg resulted in a 7.6 fold increase in exposure. The increase in exposure with dose was non-linear above 300 mg/kg.

In female rats, systemic exposure to SYN545974 was higher than male, which enabled the kinetic assessment from 3 mg/kg. As the AUC did not increase much beyond 100 mg/kg, the females were dosed only to 500 mg/kg. After a single and repeat oral administration of SYN545974, the increase in AUC was clearly sub-proportional from 100 mg/kg. A 167 fold increase in dose from 3 to 500 mg/kg resulted in a 12-fold increase in exposure. The increase in exposure with dose was non-linear above 100 mg/kg.

Based on the oral data, it is clearly demonstrated that the kinetics of SYN545974 are non-linear from 300 mg/kg in male rat and 100 mg/kg in female rat. This non-linearity arises from absorption limiting exposure as the dose increases. This pattern is also seen with the blood concentrations following dietary administration, where there is little difference between the blood concentrations from the top two dose groups (8000 or 16000 ppm) tested in the 90 day study. Therefore, the doses chosen for some repeat dose toxicology studies (2 year carcinogenicity, multi-generation reproductive and developmental toxicity studies) were 300 mg/kg for male rats and 100 mg/kg for female rats.

#### Mouse

The pharmacokinetics of non-radiolabelled SYN545974 in the mouse were also investigated, following multiple oral and single intravenous administration of SYN545974. In mice, following intravenous administration of SYN545974 (1 mg/kg), SYN545974 was rapidly cleared with blood concentrations rapidly declining to below the level of quantitation (5 ng/mL) at *ca* 4-6 h in males and females. Clearance was characterised by a half-life of less than 2 h. The volume of distribution indicated extensive distribution of SYN545974. The increase in systemic exposure was non-linear with respect to dose in males and females beyond *ca.* 100 mg/kg *i.e.* for a 10 fold increase in dose (100-1000 mg/kg) mean AUC increased between 2.1 and 3.6 fold.  $C_{max}$  increased sub-proportionally across the dose range on Days 1 and 7 in both sexes. The metabolism of SYN545974 was induced after repeat dosing at greater than 10 mg/kg/day.

Based on the non-proportionality of the kinetics with respect to dose, the highest dose chosen for the 80 week carcinogenicity study in mice was 300 mg/kg.

#### Rabbit

The toxicokinetics of non-radiolabelled SYN545974 were investigated from pregnant and non-pregnant<sup>1</sup> rabbits and an oral gavage toxicokinetic study in the pregnant rabbit. In the pregnant and non-pregnant rabbit, inter-individual variability in systemic exposure was high following oral administration. However, consistent with rat and mouse, the increase in systemic exposure with respect to dose was non-linear. In the pregnant rabbit from Days 6 to 27 of gestation, systemic exposure was characterised by a sub-proportional increase with respect to dose with no apparent increase in systemic exposure between 750 and 1000 mg/kg/day. On day 27, for a ten-fold increase in dose (100-1000 mg/kg) mean exposure increased less than two-fold. This also correlated with the systemic exposure observed on day 27 of the prenatal developmental toxicity study, where the systemic exposure resulting from a 500 mg/kg dose was also shown to be of a similar magnitude to the 750 and 1000 mg/kg data from the earlier study. There was no evidence of induction with time observed in rabbit.

Based on the non-linear kinetics of SYN545974 at doses >300 mg/kg, the highest dose chosen for the prenatal developmental toxicity study was 500 mg/kg to allow for inter-individual variability.

#### Dog

In dogs, from the 90 day study, SYN545974 was rapidly cleared with mean elimination half-lives less than 5 hours. Inter-individual variability in exposure was high; however, exposure appeared to increase approximately proportionally to dose across the individuals. There was no evidence of induction or accumulation with time observed in dog. Therefore, the use of toxicokinetic data was not used to set dose levels in the 52 week dog study.

#### **RMS consideration regarding the dose selection to be applied for toxicity studies:**

The RMS had some reservations regarding the dose level selection which has been proposed by the applicant on

<sup>1</sup> The study in the non-pregnant rabbit was not included in this submission as it was conducted to provide preliminary data on tolerability and toxicokinetics

the basis of pharmacokinetic data. First, all of the additional pharmacokinetic studies achieved to determine the TK profile of SYN545974 following single or repeated dose in rat, mouse, rabbit or dog were performed with a non-radiolabeled method which did not permit to follow the fate of the metabolites. Thus, the dose selection argumentation proposed by the applicant is valid only for the parent SYN545974. It is highlighted that as SYN545974 is extensively metabolized in rat and mouse, measured blood concentrations of parent SYN545974 are extremely low compared to those of metabolites and especially 2,4,6 TCP<sup>2</sup>. Indeed, 2,4,6 TCP is the major circulating metabolite after administration of SYN545974 in rat and mouse with plasma concentration which largely exceeds that of the parent. It would have been appropriate to investigate also the pharmacokinetics of 2,4,6 TCP following repeated or single oral administration of SYN545974 especially since this metabolite is of toxicological concern. Indeed, 2,4,6-TCP has been classified as carcinogen by several international bodies: Carcinogen Category 2 H351 by the European Union (ATP0); carcinogen group 2B by IARC or carcinogen group B2 by US-EPA. The applicant considered that the non-proportionality of SYN545974 kinetics with increasing dose (due to dose limited absorption) will be reflected by non-proportionality in the formation of all metabolites. However, the non-proportionality of SYN545974 kinetics means that systemic exposure (based on AUC(0-t) estimates) stops increasing linearly with the dose but it doesn't mean that systemic exposure does not continue to increase at all with dose higher than the highest dose levels selected by the applicant for the long-term and reproductive toxicity studies. This is confirmed both by the available toxicokinetic and toxicity studies performed with SYN545974. Indeed, comparison between plasma AUCs determined after administration in rat of phenyl radiolabeled SYN545974 (which permits a follow-up of SYN545974 and all its phenyl metabolites including 2,4,6 TCP) at dose levels up to 1000 mg/kg/day, showed that systemic exposure still increases beyond 100 or 300 mg/kg/day: by 4-fold between 100 mg/kg bw/day and 1000 mg/kg bw/d and by 1,7-fold between 300 mg/kg bw/d and 1000 mg/kg bw/d (see details in Volume 3 B.6.1). This is also confirmed by the short-term repeated studies where an increase in toxicity (liver and body weight effects) was observed with increasing doses beyond the maximal doses selected by the applicant for the long-term or reproductive toxicity studies. Thus, the RMS is of opinion that the doses of SYN545974 selected by the applicant for the long-term studies might be not sufficiently high to cover the carcinogenic potential of TCP, especially in rat. Indeed, high systemic exposures of SYN545974 (and consequently 2,4,6 TCP) resulting of dose administration higher than 300 mg/kg have not been tested in the rat long-term study in rat where no tumors were observed (Robertson 2015; section B.6.5). This is of concern as another long-term toxicity study (NCI 1979; see Volume 3 B.6.8.1) showed that 2,4,6 TCP elicited leukemias in male rats from a dose of 250 mg/kg bw/day.

In this context, a rationale was proposed by the RMS in section 2.6.10.1 in order to verify that the ADI based on the toxicological data-package performed on SYN545974, can be considered as sufficiently protective regarding the carcinogenic potential of its major circulating metabolite, 2,4,6 TCP.

### Biotransformation

In rat, following a single oral administration of SYN545974, the majority of the absorbed dose underwent extensive first pass metabolism and was excreted in feces via biliary elimination, with urine as a minor route. In both rat and mouse, the major metabolites present were qualitatively and quantitatively similar irrespective of dose and sex. SYN545974 was extensively metabolised in rat and mouse via demethylation, hydroxylation, and dechlorination together with glucuronide and sulphate conjugates with the potential for multiple isomers within most types, an overview of the Syngenta codes, structures and species identified in is included in Appendix 1. The molecule also cleaves at the benzylic carbon to yield 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP) and SYN548263, which were further metabolised via direct glucuronidation and sulphation and also following hydroxylation and sulphation to 3-hydroxy-TCP sulphate. In rat, of the absorbed dose, only 2,4,6-TCP sulphate and SYN548263, individually accounted for >10% of the administered dose in excreta.

The biotransformation proceeded by:


- Hydroxylation to SYN547897, SYN547948 and other hydroxylated and dihydroxylated isomers
- Demethylation to SYN547890 and N-desmethyl SYN547890.
- Demethoxylation to SYN545547, followed by subsequent hydroxylation to hydroxy SYN545547.
- Hydroxylation and demethylation to hydroxy SYN547891 and other desmethyl hydroxy metabolites.
- Cleavage of SYN545974 to give the pyrazole metabolites SYN548265, SYN548263, SYN548264, desmethyl SYN548263 and SYN508272 and the phenyl metabolite 2,4,6-TCP.
- Dechlorination to SYN547893.
- Dechlorination and hydroxylation to SYN547894 and other dechlorinated hydroxy and dechlorinated dihydroxy metabolites.
- Glutathione conjugation followed by metabolism of the conjugate to give dechlorinated hydroxy

<sup>2</sup> From here on in 2,4,6-TCP refers to “2,4,6-TCP and its related metabolites particularly hydroxyl TCP sulphate and 2,4,6-TCP sulphate”, due to the rapid conjugation of 2,4,6-TCP *in vivo*.

thiomethyl SYN545974 and dechlorinated dihydroxy thiomethyl SYN545974.

- Glucuronic acid conjugation and some sulphate conjugation

**Figure 1: Biotransformation Pathway Based on Identified Metabolites of SYN545974 in Rat**



HTCP = hydroxyl 2,4,6-TCP;

TCPM – TCP methanol

## 2.6.2 Summary of acute toxicity

### 2.6.2.1 Acute toxicity - oral route [equivalent to section 10.1 of the CLH report template]

Table 3: Summary table of animal studies on acute oral toxicity

Method, guideline, deviations if any	Species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance	Dose levels, duration of exposure	Value LD <sub>50</sub>	Reference
Acute oral OECD 425 GLP Acceptable	Rat, CRL:(WI) Wistar Female 3/group	SYN545974 (purity: 98.5% w/w) Vehicle: 0.5% CMC (w/v)	5000 mg/kg bw Single oral dose (gavage) 14 day post dose observation	> 5000 mg/kg  No deaths, minor clinical signs of toxicity (slight decreased activity in one animal)	Petus–Árpásy (2012)

Table 4: Summary table of human data on acute oral toxicity

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 5: Summary table of other studies relevant for acute oral toxicity

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
Acute oral neurotoxicity study OECD Guideline 424 GLP Acceptable Rat Han-Wistar (RccHan <sup>TM</sup> :WIST) 10/sex/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 100 (females only), 300 (males only), 1000 or 2000 mg/kg Single oral (gavage) dose Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	1/10 females at 1000 mg/kg bw showed marked clinical signs and was euthanized ~3.25 hours post dose	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2000 mg/kg bw	Broich (2015a)
Acute oral neurotoxicity study (modified females only) OECD Guideline 424 GLP Acceptable Rat Han Wistar (RccHan <sup>TM</sup> :WIST) 10/females/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 100, 300 or 1000 mg/kg Single oral (gavage) dose Vehicle: 1% (w/v) CMC	No mortality at any dose level	LD <sub>50</sub> > 1000 mg/kg bw (highest dose tested)	Broich (2015b)

#### 2.6.2.1.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on acute oral toxicity

In an acute oral toxicity study (Petus-Árpásy, 2012), no deaths occurred and minor clinical signs of toxicity (slight decreased activity in one animal) were reported at a dose of 5000 mg/kg bw. All animals were symptom free from 4 hours after the treatment. There were no treatment related body weight changes or macroscopic observations at necropsy. The acute oral median lethal dose (LD<sub>50</sub>), was greater than 5000 mg/kg bw (limit dose) in female rats.

In acute neurotoxicity studies (Broich 2015a, b) a single female out of 20 dosed at 1000 mg/kg bw was killed due to the severity of clinical signs but there were no deaths at 2000 mg/kg bw. These data are consistent with an LD<sub>50</sub> > 2000 mg/kg bw.

#### 2.6.2.1.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding acute oral toxicity

The acute oral median lethal dose was in excess of the upper cut-off criterion of 2000 mg/kg bw.

#### 2.6.2.1.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for acute oral toxicity

According to the CLP criteria, no classification is required for acute oral toxicity.

#### 2.6.2.2 Acute toxicity - dermal route [equivalent to section 10.2 of the CLH report template]

Table 6: Summary table of animal studies on acute dermal toxicity

Method, guideline, deviations if any	Species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance	Dose levels, duration of exposure	Value LD <sub>50</sub>	Reference
Acute dermal OECD 402 GLP Acceptable	Rat, CRL:(WI) Wistar Male & Female 5/sex/group	SYN545974 (purity: 98.5% w/w) Vehicle: none	5000 mg/kg bw 24 hour application period followed by 14 days observation	> 5000 mg/kg Decreased activity in 10/10 animals on Day 1	Petus-Árpásy (2013)

Table 7: Summary table of human data on acute dermal toxicity

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 8: Summary table of other studies relevant for acute dermal toxicity

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

#### 2.6.2.2.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on acute dermal toxicity

No mortality was observed in an acute dermal toxicity study at 5000 mg/kg bw (Petus–Árpásy, 2013). Decreased activity was seen in 10/10 animals on Day 1. There were no treatment related effects on body weight and there were no treatment related macroscopic observations at necropsy. The median lethal dose of SYN545974 was > 5000 mg/kg bw in male and female rats.

#### 2.6.2.2.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding acute dermal toxicity

As no mortality was observed at 5000 mg/kg bw the data do not meet the criteria for classification and labelling.

#### 2.6.2.2.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for acute dermal toxicity

According to the CLP criteria, no classification is required for acute dermal toxicity.

### 2.6.2.3 Acute toxicity - inhalation route [equivalent to section 10.3 of the CLH report template]

Table 9: Summary table of animal studies on acute inhalation toxicity

Method, guideline, deviations if any	Species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, form and particle size (MMAD)	Dose levels, duration of exposure	Value of LC <sub>50</sub>	Reference
Acute inhalation OECD 403 GLP Acceptable	Rat CRL: (WI) Wistar 2/sex – prelim 5/sex – main study	SYN545974 (purity: 98.5% w/w) Aerosolised powder MMAD 3.54µm ± 2.32 (GSD)	Achieved atmospheric concentration : 5.11 mg/L Single 4 hour exposure	> 5.11 mg/L	Nagy (2013)

Table 10: Summary table of human data on acute inhalation toxicity

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 11: Summary table of other studies relevant for acute inhalation toxicity

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

#### 2.6.2.3.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on acute inhalation toxicity

In an acute inhalation study (Nagy, 2013) one death occurred in a group of 10 rats exposed to a mean achieved atmosphere of 5.11 mg/L for 4 hours. The acute inhalation median lethal concentration is therefore considered to be greater than 5.11 mg/L.

#### 2.6.2.3.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding acute inhalation toxicity

As the acute LC<sub>50</sub> was > 5.11 mg/L (dust) the data do not meet the criteria for classification and labelling.

### 2.6.2.3.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for acute inhalation toxicity

According to CLP criteria, no classification is required for acute inhalation toxicity

### 2.6.2.4 Skin corrosion/irritation [equivalent to section 10.4 of the CLH report template]

Table 12: Summary table of animal studies on skin corrosion/irritation

Method, guideline, deviations if any	Species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance	Dose levels, duration of exposure	Results - Observations and time point of onset - Mean scores/animal - Reversibility	Reference
Acute skin irritation OECD 404 GLP acceptable	Rabbit New Zealand White 3 males	SYN545974 (purity: 98.5% w/w)	0.5g applied to shorn flank, moistened with water. 4 hour application (semi occlusive)	No skin reaction in 3/3 animals. No clinical signs in 3/3 animals up to 72 hours post patch removal.  <b>Mean scores / animal</b> (24, 48 and 72 hours) Erythema: 0, 0, 0; Oedema: 0, 0, 0 Non –irritating to skin.: (P.I.I = 0.00)	Török-Bathó (2012a).

Table 13: Summary table of human data on skin corrosion/irritation

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 14: Summary table of other studies relevant for skin corrosion/irritation

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

#### 2.6.2.4.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on skin corrosion/irritation

In a primary dermal irritation study in rabbits (Török-Bathó, 2012a) no local dermal signs were observed in the treated animals throughout the study. The primary irritation index was 0.00.

#### 2.6.2.4.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding skin corrosion/irritation

As there was no evidence of skin reaction (mean scores for erythema and oedema 0) the data do not meet the criteria for classification and labelling.

#### 2.6.2.4.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for skin corrosion/irritation

According to CLP criteria, no classification is required for skin corrosion/irritation

### 2.6.2.5 Serious eye damage/eye irritation [equivalent to section 10.5 of the CLH report template]

Table 15: Summary table of animal studies on serious eye damage/eye irritation

Method, guideline, deviations if any	Species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance	Dose levels, duration of exposure	Results - Observations and time point of onset - Mean scores/animal - Reversibility	Reference
Acute eye irritation	Rabbit New	SYN545974 (purity: 98.5% w/w)	0.1 g instilled in	An Initial Pain Reaction (IPR) score of 2 was observed in all animals. At 1 hour,	Török-Bathó (2012b)

OECD 405 GLP	Zealand White 3 male	98.5% w/w)	left eye. Control – untreated right eye. Single exposure	discharge was observed in one rabbit and conjunctival redness was seen in all rabbits. Conjunctival redness was seen in one rabbit at 24 and 48 hours after treatment. <b>Mean Scores / animal</b> (24, 48 and 72 hours) Cornea:- 0, 0, 0 Iris - 0, 0, 0 Conjunctiva: redness - 0, 0, 0.67 Conjunctiva: chemosis - 0, 0, 0 All symptoms had fully reversed by 72 hours	
-----------------	----------------------------	------------	---	--	--

Table 16: Summary table of human data on serious eye damage/eye irritation

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 17: Summary table of other studies relevant for serious eye damage/eye irritation

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

#### 2.6.2.5.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on serious eye damage/eye irritation

In a primary eye irritation study (Török-Bathó, 2012b) minor, transient signs of ocular irritation were observed. At the 1 hour observation, discharge was observed in one rabbit (score 1) and conjunctival redness was seen in all rabbits (two with a score 2 and one rabbit had a score 1). Conjunctival redness (score 1) was seen in one rabbit at 24 and 48 hours after treatment. All symptoms had fully reversed in all animals at the 72 hour observation. No clinical signs of systemic toxicity were observed in the animals during the study.

Mean scores for corneal opacity, iritis and chemosis were 0 in all animals. Mean score for conjunctival redness (after 24 to 72 hours) was 0 in two rabbits and 0.67 in one rabbit.

#### 2.6.2.5.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding serious eye damage/eye irritation

As mean scores in all animals were considered negative (corneal opacity < 1; iritis < 1; conjunctival redness < 2; chemosis < 2) the data do not meet the criteria for classification and labelling.

#### 2.6.2.5.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for serious eye damage/eye irritation

According to CLP criteria, no classification is required for serious eye damage/eye irritation

#### 2.6.2.6 Respiratory sensitisation [equivalent to section 10.6 of the CLH report template]

Table 18: Summary table of animal studies on respiratory sensitisation

Method, guideline, deviations if any	Species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance	Dose levels, duration of exposure	Results	Reference
No relevant studies					

Table 19: Summary table of human data on respiratory sensitisation

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 20: Summary table of other studies relevant for respiratory sensitisation

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

**2.6.2.6.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on respiratory sensitisation**

There is no evidence from single or repeated dose animal studies or from occupational monitoring that SYN545974 has any potential to cause respiratory sensitisation.

**2.6.2.6.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding respiratory sensitisation**

No evidence of specific hypersensitivity in workers. The Occupational Health group of Syngenta has maintained a data base of incidents involving chemical exposure of workers since 1983. From 1994 data has been collected from all our manufacturing, formulation and packing sites around the world. A query of the Syngenta internal database in June 2015 for SYN545974 produced zero records of adverse health effects reported during active ingredient manufacture, subsequent formulation and field trials.

**2.6.2.6.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for respiratory sensitisation**

No classification.

**2.6.2.7 Skin sensitisation [equivalent to section 10.7 of the CLH report template]**

Table 21: Summary table of animal studies on skin sensitisation

Method, guideline, deviations if any	Species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance	Dose levels duration of exposure	Results	Reference
Local lymph node assay OECD 429 GLP Acceptable	Mouse CBA/J Rj Female 5/group	SYN545974 (purity: 98.5%) Vehicle: AOO (acetone:olive oil 4:1 v/v)	50, 25 or 10% (w/v) Negative control (AOO) Positive control : 25 % (w/v) $\alpha$ -Hexylcinnamaldehyde (HCA) in acetone:olive oil (AOO) 4:1 (v/v). Dermal application on days 1, 2 & 3. Day 6, lymph nodes removed at termination for measurement of cell proliferation.	No skin sensitisation potential. No irritancy at application site. Test item precipitate observed on ears after treatment at 50% (w/v) on days 1-3 and after treatment at 25% on days 2-3. <b>Stimulation index values :</b> 50% (w/v) - 1.0, 25% (w/v) - 1.1 10% (w/v) - 1.1	Hargitai (2013).

Table 22: Summary table of human data on skin sensitisation

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 23: Summary table of other studies relevant for skin sensitisation

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

**2.6.2.7.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on skin sensitisation**

Skin sensitisation potential was assessed in a mouse Local Lymph Node Assay (Hargitai, 2013). No mortality or signs of systemic toxicity was observed during the study. There were no indications of any irritancy at the site of application. Stimulation index values of the test item were 1.0, 1.1 and 1.1 at concentrations of 50, 25 and 10 % (w/v), respectively indicating that SYN545974, when tested in a suitable vehicle, was shown to have no skin sensitisation potential (non-sensitizer) in the Local Lymph Node Assay.

**2.6.2.7.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding skin sensitisation**

As no evidence of skin sensitisation (stimulation index < 3) was observed in an appropriate Local Lymph Node Assay in the mouse the data do not meet the criteria for classification and labelling.

**2.6.2.7.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for skin sensitisation**

According to CLP criteria, no classification is required for skin sensitisation

**2.6.2.8 Phototoxicity**

Table 24: Summary table of studies on phototoxicity

Method, guideline, deviations <sup>1</sup> if any	Test substance	Dose levels duration of exposure	Results	Reference
In vitro 3T3 NRU phototoxicity test OECD 432 GLP Acceptable	SYN545974 (purity: 98.5%) Vehicle: DMSO/EBSS 1:100 ratio	125.00; 39.53; 12.50; 3.95, 1.25; 0.40; 0.13, 0.04 µg/mL Negative control : 1% DMSO in EBSS Positive control: chlorpromazine (100; 31.6, 10.0; 3.16; 1.00; 0.316; 0.100 and 0.0316 µg/mL without UVA and 10; 3.16; 1.00; 0.316; 0.100, 0.0316, 0.0100, and 0.00316 µg/mL with UVA).	Cytotoxic effect with and without irradiation. EC50 (-UVA) = 41.66 µg/mL EC50 (+UVA) = 24.56 µg/mL PIF = 1.7	Gehrke 2015

Table 25: Summary table of human data on phototoxicity

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 26: Summary table of other studies relevant for phototoxicity

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

The phototoxicity potential of SYN545974 was analysed using an *in vitro* 3T3 NRU test in a GLP study, conducted to current OECD Test Guideline No. 432 (Gehrke 2015). In this study, SYN545974 showed a cytotoxic effect with and without irradiation. As the photo-irritation-factor (PIF) is < 2, it is concluded that SYN545974 has no phototoxic potential.

**2.6.2.9 Aspiration hazard [equivalent to section 10.13 of the CLH report template]**

Table 27: Summary table of evidence for aspiration hazard

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

**2.6.2.9.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on aspiration hazard**

SYN545974 is a solid and no studies have been conducted to assess aspiration hazard.

**2.6.2.9.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding aspiration hazard**

As SYN545974 is a solid there is no risk of aspiration.

**2.6.2.9.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for aspiration hazard**

No classification

**2.6.2.10 Specific target organ toxicity-single exposure (STOT SE) [equivalent to section 10.11 of the CLH report template]**

It should be noted that there is no specific section corresponding to the hazard class STOT SE in Volume 3. For more detailed data on toxicity after single exposure, please refer to Volume 3, section B.6.2 and/or B.6.7. For neurotoxicity studies, please refer also to Volume 1 Level 2, section 2.6.7.

Table 28: Summary table of animal studies on STOT SE (specific target organ toxicity-single exposure)

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, route of exposure, dose levels, duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
Acute oral neurotoxicity study OECD Guideline 424 GLP Acceptable Rat Han-Wistar (RccHan™ WIST) 10/ sex/group (see also section 2.6.7)	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 100 (females only), 300 (males only), 1000 or 2000 mg/kg Single oral (gavage) dose Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	<b>100 mg/kg bw (females)</b> No differences from control. <b>1000 mg/kg bw (females)</b> 1/10 females at 1000 mg/kg showed marked clinical signs and was euthanized ~3.25 hours post dose <i>Clinical signs at 6 hours post dose:</i> recumbency 1/9, piloerection 4/9, reduced activity 2/9, abnormal gait 1/9, skin cold to touch 1/9; pupillary reflex impaired 1/9 and mydriasis 1/9; ↓ 2.6% body temperature; ↓ decrease in locomotor activity (48%, 66% in mean distance travelled and number of rearings) <i>Clinical signs after 1 day:</i> No differences from control. <b>2000 mg/kg bw (females)</b> <i>Clinical signs at 6 hours post dose only:</i> Hunched posture 2/10, piloerection 4/10, reduced activity 1/10, abnormal gait 1/10; ↓ 3.1% body temperature; ↓ decrease in locomotor activity (59%, 81% in mean distance travelled and number of rearings, respectively) <i>Clinical signs after 1 day:</i> No differences from control.  No treatment –related histopathological findings  <b>NOAEL General toxicity and neurotoxicity: 2000 mg/kg (males) / 100 mg/kg (females)</b>	Broich (2015a)

<p>Acute oral neurotoxicity study (modified females only) OECD Guideline 424 Acceptable Rat Han Wistar (RccHan™; WIST) 10/ females/group  (see also section 2.6.7)</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 100, 300 or 1000 mg/kg Single oral gavage dose Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)</p>	<p><b>100 mg/kg bw</b> <i>Clinical signs</i> ~ 2-5 hours post dose: in 2/10 animals the following were observed; ruffled fur, eyes half closed and ventral recumbency. <i>Clinical signs</i> 6 hours post dose: piloerection 1/10, skin cold to touch 2/10; impaired extensor thrust reflex 2/10; ↓ 0.8% body temperature; ↓ decrease in locomotor activity 4%, in mean distance travelled (no difference in number of rearings) <b>300 mg/kg bw</b> <i>Clinical signs</i> 6 hours post dose: ↓ 1.1% body temperature; ↓ decrease in locomotor activity (26%, 37% in mean distance travelled and number of rearings) <b>1000 mg/kg bw</b> <i>Clinical signs</i> ~ 3 hours post dose: in 1/10 animals the following were observed; ruffled fur, eyes half closed and ventral recumbency. <i>Clinical signs</i> 6 hours post dose: piloerection 1/10, skin cold to touch 1/10, tremor 1/10, impaired extensor thrust reflex 1/10; ↓ 1.1% body temperature; ↓ decrease in locomotor activity (28%, 41.0% in mean distance travelled and number of rearings)  <b>NOAEL General toxicity and neurotoxicity (females):100 mg/kg</b></p>	<p>Broich (2015b)</p>
--	---	---	-----------------------

Table 29: Summary table of human data on STOT SE (specific target organ toxicity-single exposure)

Type of data/report	Test substance	Route of exposure Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 30: Summary table of other studies relevant for STOT SE (specific target organ toxicity-single exposure)

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

**2.6.2.10.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on specific target organ toxicity – single exposure (STOT SE)**

The acute neurotoxicity of SYN545974 has been evaluated in the rat (Broich, 2015a, 2015b). In the acute studies, single gavage doses of 0, 300 (males) or 100 (females), 1000 and 2000 mg/kg produce some clinical signs, effect on body temperature and locomotor activity (LMA) at dose levels ≥ 1000 mg/kg only in females. No effects were observed in males and no gross or histopathological findings in the central or peripheral nervous system were seen. A subsequent modified acute neurotoxicity study in female rats only, with single oral gavage doses of 0, 100, 300 and 1000 mg/kg, produce the same effects from the dose level of 300 mg/kg. All signs of toxicity were resolved by day 2. In the acute oral toxicity study, slight decreased activity in one animal were reported at a dose of 5000 mg/kg bw.

It should be noted that these effects have been observed in rat only after a single dose of the active substance. Indeed, in the 90-day toxicity study in rat (Shearer and Robertson 2015), no effect were observed on functional observation battery (FOB) parameters including detailed clinical observations or on motor activity up to the highest dose tested (16000ppm equivalent to 1322-1174 mg/kg bw/d in males and females; respectively). In addition, there were no treatment effects in the FOB parameters or on motor activity following administration of SYN545974 at doses levels up to 6000ppm in males (319 mg/kg/day) and 1500 ppm in females (102 mg/kg/day) in the 104-week toxicity study in rat (Robertson 2015a) (see section 2.6.7).

**2.6.2.10.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding STOT SE (specific target organ toxicity-single exposure)**

Specific target organ toxicity (single exposure) is defined as specific, non-lethal target organ toxicity arising from a single exposure to a substance or mixture. All significant health effects that can impair function, both reversible and irreversible, immediate and/or delayed effects are considered.

In single dose studies in the rat, clinical signs of neurotoxicity were seen within 2-6 hours of dosing at 100 mg/kg and above in females only. According the regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, the criteria for classifying substances for specific target organ toxicity-single exposure as Category 3 for narcotic effects are:

(a) central nervous system depression including narcotic effects in humans such as drowsiness, narcosis, reduced alertness, loss of reflexes, lack of coordination, and vertigo are included. These effects can also be manifested as severe headache or nausea, and can lead to reduced judgment, dizziness, irritability, fatigue, impaired memory function, deficits in perception and coordination, reaction time, or sleepiness;

(b) narcotic effects observed in animal studies may include lethargy, lack of coordination, loss of righting reflex, and ataxia.

Effects observed in acute neurotoxicity studies were transient and rapidly reversible. Although decrease in locomotor activity was observed at a dose (300 mg/kg bw) which is within the guidance value range for STOT SE 1 ( $C \leq 300$  mg/kg bw), this effect was observed without any further impact on health and was not considered to be “more than transient in nature” (CLP regulation 3.8.2.1.7.3 (b)). In addition, evaluation of FOB information in the 90-day and 2-year toxicity studies in rats indicates an absence of such effects after test item repeated administration. RMS considered that classification for STOT SE 1 (or 2) is not warranted and furthermore that the very transient narcotic/neurotoxic signs observed in acute neurotoxicity studies was not sufficient evidence for classification for narcotic effect as STOT SE category 3.

**2.6.2.10.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for STOT SE (specific target organ toxicity-single exposure)**

No classification is required.

**2.6.3 Summary of repeated dose toxicity (short-term and long-term toxicity) [section 10.12 of the CLH report]**

**2.6.3.1 Specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure (STOT RE) [equivalent to section 10.12 of the CLH report template]**

Table 31: Summary table of animal studies on repeated dose toxicity (short-term and long-term toxicity) STOT RE (specific target organ toxicity - repeated exposure)

For more detailed data on STOT RE effects please refer to Volume 3, sections B.6.3, B.6.5 B.6.6 and B.6.7

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, route of exposure, dose levels, duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
<b>Oral studies</b>			
<b>Rat</b>			
28 day toxicity study OECD 407 Non-GLP Acceptable Rat: Han Wistar (CrI:WI(Han)) 6/sex/group. An additional 6/sex/group (high dose and control) were	SYN545974 (purity 98.6%) 0, 500, 4000, 8000 and 16000 ppm  Actual doses 0, 43, 343, 677 and 1322 mg/kg/day (males) and 0, 40, 322, 619 and 1174 mg/kg/day	<b>500 ppm (43 and 40 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively)</b> <u>Clinical chemistry</u> : ↓ glutamate dehydrogenase for females <u>Liver weights</u> : ↑ covariate (13% females). <b>4000 ppm (343 and 322 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively)</b> <u>Food consumption</u> : ↓ females (<42%) for the first 1 to 2 days of treatment <u>Clinical Chemistry</u> : ↓ glutamate dehydrogenase for females. <u>Liver weights</u> : ↑ absolute (male 19%, females 20%) and covariate (males 22%, females 28%). <u>Microscopic findings</u> : minimal centrilobular hepatocellular hypertrophy in 4/6 males	Strepka (2012a)

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, route of exposure, dose levels, duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
killed at days 3 and 7 for cell proliferation investigations	(females)  Continuous in the diet for 4 weeks.	<p><b>8000 ppm (677 and 619 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively)</b>  <i>Food consumption</i> : ↓ females (&lt;75%) for the first 1 to 2 days of treatment  <i>Clinical Chemistry</i>: in females, ↓ glutamate dehydrogenase and ↓ alanine aminotransferase activity  <i>Liver weights</i>: ↑ absolute (male 26%, females 22%) and covariate (male 31%, females 34%).  <i>Microscopic findings</i>: minimal centrilobular hepatocellular hypertrophy in 5/6 males and 3/6 females.</p> <p><b>16000 ppm (1322 and 1174 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively):</b>  <i>Body weight</i> : ↓ BW (↓ 13% males) and BW gain (↓ 34% males, ↓ 31% females*)  <i>Food consumption</i>: markedly ↓ day 1 for males (47%), and days 1-2 for females (60%)  <i>Clinical Chemistry</i>: in females, ↓ glutamate dehydrogenase and ↓ alanine aminotransferase activity  <i>Liver weights</i>: ↑ absolute (males 19%, females 26%) and covariate (male 34%, females 41%)  <i>Microscopic findings</i>: minimal to mild centrilobular hepatocellular hypertrophy in 6/6 males and minimal hypertrophy in 5/6 females.  <b>NOAEL 500 ppm (43 mg/kg/day males and 40 mg/kg/day females)</b></p>	
13-week dietary study in rats OECD 408, GLP Acceptable Rat:Han wistar (CrI:WI(Han)) 10/sex/group	SYN545974 (purity 99.5%)  0, 250, 1500, 8000 or 16000 ppm  Actual doses 0, 18.6, 111, 587 and 1187 mg/kg/day (males) and 0, 21.6, 127, 727 and 1324 mg/kg/day (females)  Continuous in the diet for 13 weeks.	<p><b>250 ppm (males 18.6 mg/kg/day, females 21.6 mg/kg/day):</b>  No effect observed.</p> <p><b>1500 ppm (males 111 mg/kg/day, females 127 mg/kg/day):</b>  <i>Clinical Chemistry</i>: ↓ alkaline phosphatase activity (ALP) in males (30%) and females (41%)  <i>Liver weights</i>: ↑ absolute (21% males, 16% females) and covariate (29% males, 18% females).  <i>Histopathology</i>: ↑ minimal hepatocyte hypertrophy in 5/10 males. Minimal thyroid follicular cell hypertrophy in 4/10 males.</p> <p><b>8000ppm (males 587 mg/kg/day, females 727 mg/kg/day):</b>  <i>Body weight</i>: ↓ 12% BW (males at week 13) and ↓ BW gain ( 27% males and 21% females)  <i>Food consumption</i>: ↓ &lt; ~ 50% during first 2 or 3 days for males and females respectively  <i>Food Utilisation</i>: during weeks 1-4, food utilisation was ↓ 27% males and ↓ 25% females. During weeks 1-13, food utilisation was ↓ 23% for both males and females.  <i>Clinical Chemistry</i>: ↓ alkaline phosphatase activity (ALP) in males (31%) and females (42%); ↑ cholesterol (35%) in females  <i>Liver weights</i>: ↑ absolute (23% males, 36% females) and covariate (44% males, 41% females).  <i>Histopathology</i>: ↑ minimal hepatocyte hypertrophy in 7/10 males and 6/10 females. Minimal/mild thyroid follicular cell hypertrophy in 6/10 males and 4/10 females.</p> <p><b>16000 ppm (males 1187 mg/kg/day, females 1324 mg/kg/day):</b>  <i>Body weight</i>: ↓ 15% BW (males at week 13) and ↓ BW gain (34% males and 25% females)  <i>Food consumption</i>: ↓ ~ 50% during first 2 or 3 days for males and females respectively  <i>Food Utilisation</i>: during weeks 1-4, food utilisation was ↓ 38% males and ↓ 32% females. During weeks 1-13, food utilisation was ↓ 31% males and ↓ 25% females.  <i>Clinical Chemistry</i>: ↓ alkaline phosphatase activity (ALP) in males (39%) and females (39%); ↑ cholesterol (35%) in females  <i>Liver weights</i>: ↑ absolute (26% males, 40% females) and covariate (52%</p>	Shearer and Robertson (2015)

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, route of exposure, dose levels, duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
		<p>males, 43% females).  <i>Histopathology:</i> ↑ minimal hepatocyte hypertrophy in all males and 9/10 females. Minimal/mild thyroid follicular cell hypertrophy in 7/10 males and 8/10 females.  <b>NOAEL 250 ppm (males 18.6 mg/kg/day, females 21.6 mg/kg/day)</b></p>	
<p>Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study                      OECD 453                      GLP                      Acceptable                      Rat: Han Wistar                      Crl: WI (Han)                      64/sex/group (52/sex/group plus 12/sex/group for interim kill at 12 months).                      (see also section 2.6.5)</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 98.5%)                      Males 0, 200, 1000 and 6000 ppm;                      Females 0, 150, 450 and 1500 ppm                      Actual dose 0, 9.9, 51.0 and 319 mg/kg/day (males) and 0, 10.2, 31.0 and 102 mg/kg/day (females)                      Continuous in the diet for 52 or 104 weeks</p>	<p>Only data from the toxicity phase (52 week exposure) are presented.  <b>200 ppm males (9.9 mg/kg/day); 150 ppm females (10.2 mg/kg/day)</b>                      No adverse effects up to week 52.  <b>1000 ppm males (51 mg/kg/day); 450 ppm females (31 mg/kg/day)</b>  <i>Body weights:</i> ↓ throughout the 52 week period (6% males and 7% females).  <i>Food consumption:</i> reduced at times throughout, e.g. ↓ 7% males week 4, and ↓ 10% females week 18.  <i>Food utilisation:</i> ↓ for males throughout 13 week period (5%). Slight decrease for females.  <i>Organ weights:</i> ↑ relative liver weights (16%* males, 9%* females).  <i>Histology:</i> Hepatocellular hypertrophy observed in 5/12 males.  <b>6000 ppm males (319 mg/kg/day); 1500 ppm females (102 mg/kg/day)</b>  <i>Body weights:</i> ↓ throughout the 52 week period (13% males, 10% females).  <i>Food consumption:</i> ↓ at times throughout, e.g. males week 4, 8% females week 18.  <i>Food utilisation:</i> ↓ for males throughout 13 week period (11%). Slight decrease for females.  <i>Organ weights:</i> ↑ relative liver weights (38% males, 19% females).  <i>Histology:</i> prominent liver lobular architecture in 3/12 males. Hepatocellular hypertrophy observed in 11/12 males and 4/10 females.  <b>NOAEL 200 ppm males (9.9 mg/kg/day), 450 ppm females (31 mg/kg/day)</b></p>	<p>Robertson (2015a)</p>
<p>Two generation reproduction                      OECD 416                      GLP                      acceptable                      Oral (continuous in diet)                      Rat, Crl: WI (Han)                      24/sex/group                      (see also sections 2.6.6, 2.6.6.1 and 2.6.6.3)</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 98.5%)                      Males: 0, 150, 750 &amp; 4500 ppm                      Females: 0, 150, 450 &amp; 1500 ppm                      Continuous in the diet</p>	<p><b><u>Parental toxicity - Males</u></b>  <b>150 ppm (9.1 mg/kg/day, F0; 11.9 mg/kg/day, F1)</b>                      No effects  <b>750 ppm (46 mg/kg/day, F0; 59 mg/kg/day, F1)</b>                      F0 &amp; F1: ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (F0: ↑ 9%(males) ; F1: ↑ 12%)  <b>4500 ppm (277 mg/kg/day, F0; 364 mg/kg/day, F1)</b>                      F0: ↓ body weight gain (10% weeks 0-17); ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (↑38% males) and ↑15% females); ↑ incidence of hepatocyte hypertrophy (slight): males 19/24 (control = 0/24 incidence); ↑ incidence of thyroid follicular hypertrophy (minimal) 7/24 (control = 1/24) in males.                      F1: ↓ body weight gain (10% weeks 0-17); ↓ food consumption (8% weeks 0-17); ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (↑42% males and ↑ 17% females); ↑ incidence of hepatocyte hypertrophy (slight) 18/24 (controls = 0/24); ↑ incidence of thyroid follicular hypertrophy (minimal) 7/24(controls = 2/24).  <b><u>Parental toxicity - Females</u></b>  <b>150 ppm: (11.9 mg/kg/day, F0; 14.1 mg/kg/day, F1)</b>                      No effects  <b>450 ppm (36 mg/kg/day, F0; 42 mg/kg/day, F1)</b>                      F0: ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (↑ 6%)  <b>1500 ppm (116 mg/kg/day, F0; 141 mg/kg/day, F1)</b>                      F0 &amp; F1: ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (F0: ↑15% and F1: 19%)                      F0: ↑ incidence of hepatocyte hypertrophy (minimal) 8/24 (controls = 0/24)  <b>NOAEL (parental) 750/450 ppm (46/36 mg/kg/day F0 generation pre-pairing) in males and females respectively</b>  <b><u>Reproductive toxicity</u></b>                      No effects at any dose level</p>	<p>Hackford (2015)</p>

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, route of exposure, dose levels, duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
		<p>NOAEL (reproductive) 4500/1500 ppm (277/116 mg/kg/day F0 generation pre-pairing) in males and females respectively.</p> <p><b><u>Offspring toxicity - Males</u></b>  <u>750 ppm (59 mg/kg/day)</u>                      No effects  <u>4500 ppm (364 mg/kg/day)</u>                      F1: delayed sexual maturation (45.9 days versus 43.0 days in controls) considered secondary to ↓ body weight</p> <p><b><u>Offspring toxicity - Females</u></b>  <u>450 ppm (42.4 mg/kg/day)</u>                      No effects  <u>1500 ppm (141 mg/kg/day)</u>                      F1: delayed sexual maturation (33.0 days versus 30.3 days in controls) considered incidental as no effect on subsequent oestrus cycling, mating performance or fertility and no effect on ano-genital distance</p> <p>NOAEL (offspring) 4500/450 ppm (277/36 mg/kg /day F0 generation pre-pairing) in males and females respectively.</p>	
<b>Mice</b>			
<p>28 day toxicity study                      OECD 407                      Non-GLP                      Acceptable                      Mice: CD-1 (CrI:CD-1 )                      6/sex/group. An additional 6/sex/group (high dose and control) were killed at days 3 and 7 for cell proliferation investigations</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 98.6%)                      0, 500, 1500, 4000 and 7000 ppm                      Actual dose 0, 76, 213, 612 and 1115 mg/kg/day (males) and 0, 96, 266, 701 and 1312 mg/kg/day (females)                      Continuous in the diet for 4 weeks</p>	<p><b><u>500 ppm (76 and 96 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively)</u></b>  <u>Body weight</u> : ↓ BW (8%*) and BW gain 0-28d (55 %*) in males.  <u>Liver weights</u>: ↑ absolute (9% male, 14% female) and covariate (17% males, 28% females).</p> <p><b><u>1500 ppm (213 and 266 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively)</u></b>  <u>Body weight gain</u>: ↓ BW (5%*) and BW gain 0-28d (16 %*) in males  <u>Liver weights</u>: ↑ absolute (25% male, 23% female) and covariate (32% males, 34% females).</p> <p><b><u>4000 ppm (612 and 701 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively)</u></b>  <u>Body weight gain</u>: ↓ BW (6%*) and BW gain 0-28d (45 %*) in males  <u>Liver weights</u>: ↑ absolute (46% males, 39% females) and covariate (55% males, 48% females).</p> <p><b><u>7000 ppm (1115 and 1312 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively):</u></b>  <u>Body weight gain</u>: ↓ BW (11%*) and BW gain 0-28d (80 %) in males  <u>Clinical chemistry</u> : ↑ 215% triglycerides in males; ↓ 34% Phosphate  <u>Liver weights</u>: ↑ absolute (52% males, 51% females) and covariate (66% males, 63% females).                      NB: There is no dose response for decreased bodyweight gain in males.                      No NOAEL was achieved in this study. The LOAEL was 500 ppm (76 and 96 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively) based on lower bw gain in males.</p>	<p>Strepka (2012b)</p>
<p>13 week dietary toxicity Study                      OECD 408                      GLP                      Acceptable                      Mice: CD-1 (CrI:CD-1 )                      10/sex/group</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 99.5%)                      0, 100, 500, 4000 and 7000 ppm                      Actual dose 0, 17.5, 81.6, 630 and 1158 mg/kg/day (males) and 0, 20.4, 106, 846 and 1483 mg/kg/day (females)                      Continuous in the diet for 13 weeks</p>	<p><b><u>100ppm (17.5 and 20.4 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively):</u></b>                      No treatment related effects</p> <p><b><u>500ppm (81.6 and 106 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively):</u></b>  <u>Liver weight</u>: ↑ absolute (18% males) and covariate (15% males)  <u>Histology</u>: mild centrilobular hepatocyte hypertrophy in 2/10 males</p> <p><b><u>4000ppm (630 and 846 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively):</u></b>  <u>Clinical chemistry</u>: ↑ cholesterol (26% males, 29%* females);  <u>Liver weight</u>: ↑ absolute (42% males, 60% females) and covariate (48% males, 62% females).  <u>Histology</u>: mild centrilobular hepatocyte hypertrophy in 4/10 males and 6/10 females.</p> <p><b><u>7000ppm (1158 and 1483 mg/kg/day, males and females respectively):</u></b></p>	<p>Shearer (2015)</p>

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, route of exposure, dose levels, duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
		<p><i>Clinical chemistry:</i> ↑ cholesterol (51% males, 36% females); ↑ triglycerides (86% males, 57% females).</p> <p><i>Liver weight:</i> ↑ absolute (67% males, 54% females) and covariate (75% males, 64% females).</p> <p><i>Histology:</i> mild centrilobular hepatocyte hypertrophy in 5/10 males and 7/10 females</p> <p><b>NOAEL 100/500 ppm (17.5 mg/kg/day for males 106 mg/kg/day for females)</b></p>	
<b>Dog</b>			
13-week oral (capsule) toxicity OECD 409, GLP Acceptable Dog: pure-breed Beagles 4/sex/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 30, 300, 1000 mg/kg/day Capsule administration. No vehicle 13-week duration	<p><b>30 mg/kg/day:</b> No adverse effects.</p> <p><b>300 mg/kg/day:</b> <i>Body weight:</i> Slight loss for females, weeks 1-2. <i>Clinical chemistry:</i> At week 13, ALP ↑ (males 268%, females 204%); triglycerides ↑ (males 68%) <i>Liver weights:</i> ↑ absolute (34% males) and covariate weight (31% males, 16% females).</p> <p><b>1000 mg/kg/day:</b> <i>Body weight:</i> 2/4 males and all females lost weight during 1<sup>st</sup> week, resulting in overall (week 1-13) lower body weight gain for females (not statistically significant). <i>Food consumption:</i> ↓ 6% males and 17% females over 13 weeks. <i>Clinical chemistry:</i> At week 13, ALP ↑ (males 460%, females 321%); triglycerides ↑ (males 144%, females 37%). <i>Liver weights:</i> ↑ absolute (44% males, 38% females) and covariate weight (41% males, 46% females). <i>Histology:</i> minimal hepatocyte hypertrophy in all animals. <b>NOAEL 30 mg/ kg bw/day.</b></p>	Blunt (2015a)
52-week oral (capsule) toxicity OECD 452 GLP acceptable Dog: pure-breed Beagles 4/sex/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 30, 100, 300 mg/kg/day Capsule administration. No vehicle 52-week duration	<p><b>30 mg/kg/day:</b> No treatment related effects.</p> <p><b>100 mg/kg/day:</b> No treatment related effects.</p> <p><b>300 mg/kg/day:</b> <i>Clinical chemistry:</i> ↑ ALP throughout the study (at week 52, males 282%, females 211%). <i>Liver weights:</i> ↑ covariate weight (34% males, 28% females). <b>NOAEL 100 mg/kg/day</b></p>	Blunt (2015b)
<b>Dermal studies</b>			
28-day dermal OECD 410, GLP Acceptable Rat:Han wistar (RccHan™: WIST 10/sex/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 100, 300 and 1000 mg/kg/day. Vehicle: none, moistened with de-ionised water 20 applications over 28 days	<p><b>30 mg/kg/day:</b> No effects</p> <p><b>300 mg/kg/day:</b> No effects</p> <p><b>1000 mg/kg/day:</b> No toxicologically significant effects. <i>Clinical chemistry:</i> Males ↑ globulin (7%), total protein (3%); females ↑ in calcium (4%), phospholipids (25%) and total cholesterol (28%) <b>NOAEL 1000 mg/kg/day</b></p>	Sieber (2013)

\* Statistical significance not reached.

Table 32: Summary table of human data on repeated dose toxicity STOT RE (specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure)

Type of data/report	Test substance	Route of exposure Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 33: Summary table of other studies relevant for repeated dose toxicity STOT RE (specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure)

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

#### 2.6.3.1.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on specific target organ toxicity – repeated exposure (short-term and long-term toxicity)

The short-term toxicity of SYN545974 has been evaluated by the oral route in rats, dogs and mice and by the dermal route in a 28-day study in the rat. In all species, the target organ was the liver (and thyroid in rats only).

##### *Rats*

In rats, the target organs were the liver and thyroid, with increase in liver weight and hypertrophy in both organs. Effects also observed after short-term administration of SYN545974 were a reduction in body weight gain with some minor changes in food consumption and food utilisation.

In the 28-day rat study, at doses  $\geq 4000$  ppm ( $\sim 343/322$  mg/kg/day in males/females respectively), liver weight increase  $\geq 20\%$  of controls and hepatocyte hypertrophy were observed. The NOAEL of the 28-day study was considered to be 500 ppm (40-43 mg/kg/day).

In the 90-day rat study, an increase in absolute and group mean covariant liver weight compared to controls of greater than 20% were observed at doses  $\geq 1500$  ppm (111 mg/kg/day) in males. At these dose levels, liver hepatocyte hypertrophy and thyroid follicular cell hypertrophy were also noted. In females, an increase in absolute and covariant liver weight of greater than 20% associated with hepatocyte hypertrophy and blood clinical chemistry changes were observed at doses  $\geq 8000$  ppm (727 mg/kg/day). At the lower dose level of 1500 ppm, an increase in liver weight (absolute and covariant) greater than 15% and a reduction in alkaline phosphatase activity were observed in females. Decreased body weight gains were also noted from the dose of 8000 ppm (587-727 mg/kg/day) in males and females. The NOAEL of the 90-day study was considered to be 250 ppm (18.6 mg/kg/day and 21.6 mg/kg/day for males and females, respectively)

After 1 year administration of SYN545974 to rats in the chronic toxicity study, an increase in liver weight compared to controls of greater than 15% at doses of 1000 ppm (51 mg/kg/day) in males and 1500 ppm (102 mg/kg/day) in females, was observed. Hepatocyte hypertrophy was observed in males at  $\geq 1000$  ppm (51 mg/kg/day) and females at 1500 ppm (102 mg/kg/day). In males, the increase in liver weight was also associated with prominent liver lobe architecture at the dose of 6000 ppm (319 mg/kg/day). There were no effects on the thyroid after 1 year administration of SYN545974. A NOAEL was established at 200 ppm (9.9 mg/kg/day in males) and 450 ppm (31.0 mg/kg/day in females).

In the two-generation reproduction toxicity study, increases in body weight-related liver weight was seen in males given 750 ppm (46 mg/kg/day) and 4500 ppm (277 mg/kg/day), in both generations, for the P generation females given 450 ppm and for the P and F1 generation females given 1500 ppm. The liver weight increases observed at 750 ppm in males in both generations was slight ( $< 15\%$ ) and not associated with histological findings. Indeed, microscopic findings in the liver (diffuse hepatocyte hypertrophy) were only seen at 4500 ppm for P and F1 generation males and 1500 ppm for P generation females only. Microscopic findings in the thyroid (minimal follicular epithelial hypertrophy) were seen in males of both generations given 4500 ppm. The NOAEL for parental toxicity was considered to be 750 ppm for P and F1 generation males (46 mg/kg/day (pre-pairing)) and 450 ppm for females in the P and F1 generations (36 mg/kg/day (pre-pairing)).

In a 28 day dermal toxicity study in the rat conducted up to the limit dose of 1000 mg/kg/day, there were no indications of local effects at the application site nor were there any signs of systemic toxicity.

**Mice**

In the 28 day mouse study, SYN545974 caused a reduction in body weight gain at all doses in males over the initial week of the study, with an overall reduction in body weight gain for the duration of the study at 7000 ppm (1115 mg/kg/day). Increases in liver weight were observed in both sexes at all doses with an increase >15% from the dose of 1500 ppm, but there were no histopathology effects in the liver at any dose. No NOAEL was established in males in the 28 day study based on effects on bodyweight.

In the 90 day study, which was conducted using a similar dose range as the 28 day study, body weight gain was lower in all male groups and in females receiving 4000 ppm (846 mg/kg/day) or 7000 ppm (1483 mg/kg/day). However, in males, group mean body weight and body weight gain did not demonstrate a dose response and, as body weight gain at 500 ppm (81 mg/kg/day) was 95% of controls at day 91, effects on body weight and body weight gain at doses of 500 ppm and lower are considered incidental to treatment. In females, differences in group mean body weight and body weight gain did not achieve statistical significance and did not demonstrate a dose response and are considered incidental to treatment. Adverse effects were limited to greater than 15% increases in liver weight (absolute and covariant) associated to hepatocyte hypertrophy at doses of 500 ppm and higher in males (81.6 mg/kg/day) and at dose of 4000 ppm a higher in females (846 mg/kg/day). Changes in clinical chemistry (cholesterol and/or triglyceride) were also observed from the dose of 4000 ppm (630-846 mg/kg/day) in males and females. The NOAEL for short-term administration (90-day) in the male mouse was 100 ppm (17.5 mg/kg bw/day) and in female mice the NOAEL was 500 ppm (106 mg/kg/day).

**Dog**

In the 90 day dog study, there were signs of general toxicity at the top dose of 1000 mg/kg/day including slight initial body weight loss and overall lower body weight gain associated with reduced food consumption. Effects were observed in the liver in males and females: blood clinical chemistry changes (ALP and TG increase) and greater than 15% increases in liver weight (absolute and covariant) at doses of 300 and 1000 mg/kg/day and hepatocyte hypertrophy at dose of 1000 mg/kg/day. The NOAEL was established at 30 mg/kg/day. In the 1 year dog study, the same effects (blood clinical chemistry changes and increased liver weight) were observed at the highest dose of 300 mg/kg/day and the NOAEL was established at 100 mg/kg/day.

The liver weight increases observed in rats, mice and dogs have been taken into account for the NOAEL setting when this increase was >15% compared to control and associated with histopathological changes in the liver (hypertrophy) and/or significant liver blood chemical chemistry changes. However, it is noted that these findings reflect the adaptive capacity of the liver being overwhelmed by SYN545974.

Table 34: Extrapolation of equivalent effective dose for toxicity studies of greater or lesser duration than 90 days [if adequate, otherwise please delete]

Study reference	Effective dose (mg/kg/day)	Length of exposure	Extrapolated effective dose when extrapolated to 90-day exposure	Classification supported by the study
Strepka (2012a)	343/322	28 days	114.3/107.3	No
Shearer & Robertson (2015)	111/127	90 days	111/127	No
Robertson (2015a)	51/102	1 year	204/408	No
Strepka (2012b)	76/96*	28 days	25.3/32	No
Shearer (2015)	81.6/846	90 days	81.6/846	No
Blunt (2015a)	300	90 days	300	No
Blunt (2015b)	300	1 year	1200	No
Sieber (2013)	>1000	28 days	> 333	No

\* LOAEL based on reduced body weight gains observed at all doses in males. However, no dose response was observed and the body weight gain reduction reached statistical significance only at the highest dose level. In a conservative approach no NOAEL was proposed by the

applicant.

### 2.6.3.1.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding STOT RE (specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure)

According to the CLP criteria, substances should be classified for repeated dose toxicity if significant adverse effects, which indicate functional impairment, occur at dose levels  $\leq 100$  mg/kg bw/d in a 90-day oral rodent study. Such effects may include significant consistent and adverse changes in clinical biochemistry, haematology, or urinalysis parameters; significant organ damage noted at necropsy and/or subsequently seen or confirmed at microscopic examination; or morphological changes that are potentially reversible but provide clear evidence of marked organ dysfunction. In contrast, adaptive responses that are not considered toxicologically relevant do not warrant classification.

Repeated dose toxicity of SYN545974 was investigated in several species (rats, mice and dogs). The liver was identified as the target organ with a consistent pattern of increased liver weight associated with histopathological changes and/or modified clinical chemistry. These findings reflect the adaptive capacity of the liver being overwhelmed by SYN545974. In addition, lower body weight gains were observed in all species and thyroid hypertrophy in rats only. All of these effects were observed at doses above the critical value for STOT RE 2 (H373) (100 mg/kg bw).

It can be concluded that repeated dosing with SYN545974 produced no effects that were considered to be indicative of organ dysfunction at dose level below the guidance value for classification.

### 2.6.3.1.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for STOT RE (specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure)

No classification is required for STOT RE (specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure)

## 2.6.4 Summary of genotoxicity / germ cell mutagenicity [equivalent to section 10.8 of the CLH report template]

Table 35: Summary table of genotoxicity/germ cell mutagenicity tests *in vitro*

Method, guideline, deviations if any	Test substance	Relevant information about the study including rationale for dose selection (as applicable)	Observations /Results	Reference
Bacterial reverse mutation OECD 471 GLP Acceptable	SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w) Vehicle: DMSO	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> (TA1535, TA1537, TA98 and TA100) cells. <i>Escherichia coli</i> strains WP2uvrApKM101 and WP2pKM101 Concentrations 3, 10, 33, 100, 333, 1000, 2500 and 5000 µg/plate. Plate incorporation test (experiment 1) and the pre-incubation test (experiment 2).	+ S9: Negative - S9: Negative Cytotoxicity observed in strains TA1535 and TA1537 with metabolic activation (+S9) in experiment 1 and in experiment 2 in strains TA1537 and TA98 without metabolic activation (-S9) and in strain TA1535 with metabolic activation (+S9). Positive controls included	Sokolowski (2012)
Bacterial reverse mutation OECD Guideline 471 GLP Acceptable	SYN545974 (purity 96.7% w/w) Vehicle: DMSO	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> (TA1535, TA1537, TA98 and TA100) cells. <i>Escherichia coli</i> strains WP2uvrApKM101 and WP2pKM101 Concentrations 3, 10, 33, 100, 333, 1000, 2500 and 5000 µg/plate. Plate incorporation test (experiment I) and the pre-incubation test (experiment II).	+ S9: Negative - S9: Negative Cytotoxicity observed in strain TA1537 in experiment I with and without metabolic activation (+ and - S9) and in strain TA 98 in experiment II with metabolic activation (+S9). Positive controls included	Sokolowski (2014)
Mammalian cell gene mutation test OECD 476 GLP	SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w) Vehicle: DMSO	<i>L5178Y TK +/-</i> mouse lymphoma cells Concentrations: <u>In the absence of S9</u> Experiment I: 7.5; 15.0; 22.5; 30.0; 60.0	+ S9: Negative - S9: Negative Cytotoxicity occurred without metabolic activation (-S9) in the following experiments:	Wollny (2013)

Method, guideline, deviations if any	Test substance	Relevant information about the study including rationale for dose selection (as applicable)	Observations /Results	Reference
Acceptable		µg/mL; Experiment II: 7.5; 15.0; 30.0; 45.0; 60.0 µg/mL <u>In the presence of S9</u> Experiment I: 7.5; 15.0; 30.0; 45.0; 60.0 µg/mL; Experiment II 7.5; 15.0; 30.0; 60.0; 90.0 µg/mL; Experiment III 40.0; 80.0; 90.0; 100.0; 110.0 µg/mL	Experiment I at 60 µg/mL Experiment II at 45.0 µg/mL and above Experiment III at 80.0 µg/mL and above Positive controls included	
Chromosome aberration test OECD 473 GLP Acceptable	SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w) Vehicle: DMSO	Human lymphocytes Concentrations: <u>Absence of S9</u> Experiment I: 16.1, 28.1, 150.8 µg/mL. Experiment IIA: 5.3, 9.2, 16.1 µg/mL, Experiment IIB: 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0, 40.0 µg/mL <u>Presence of S9</u> Experiment I: 16.1, 28.1, 49.2 µg/mL, Experiment IIA: 9.2, 16.1, 2475.4, 4332.0 µg/mL.  4332.0 µg/mL of the test substance, approx. 10 mM	+ S9: Negative - S9: Positive clastogenic Experiment IIA without metabolic activation (-S9) one statistically significant increase in aberrant cells after treatment with 5.3 µg/mL. In confirmatory Experiment IIB statistically significant increases occurred after treatment with 20.0 and 40.0 µg/mL  Cytotoxicity observed in Experiments I and IIA without metabolic activation (-S9). No cytotoxicity in Experiment I and IIA in the presence of metabolic activation (+S9) or in the confirmatory Experiment IIB without metabolic activation (-S9). Positive controls included	Bohnenberger (2013)

Table 36: Summary table of genotoxicity/mutagenicity tests in mammalian somatic or germ cells *in vivo*

Method, guideline, deviations if any	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations/Results	Reference
Micronucleus test OECD 474 GLP	SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w) Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	Mouse NMRI 7 males/dose/sampling time. Negative and positive control consisting of 5 males Single oral gavage administration, bone marrow cells collected after 24 and 48 hours  Doses 24 hour preparation interval: 500, 1000, and 2000 mg/kg bw; 48 hour preparation interval: 2000 mg/kg bw. 2000 mg/kg suitable maximum tolerated dose	Negative 2000 polychromatic erythrocytes scored per animal Positive controls included	Roth M (2012)
Micronucleus test OECD 474 GLP	SYN545974 (purity: 96.7% w/w) Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	Mouse NMRI 7 males/dose/sampling time. Negative and positive control consisting of 5 males Single oral gavage administration, bone marrow cells collected after 24 and 48 hours  Doses 24 hour preparation interval: 500, 1000, and 2000 mg/kg bw; 48 hour preparation interval: 2000 mg/kg bw. 2000 mg/kg suitable maximum tolerated dose	Negative 2000 polychromatic erythrocytes scored per animal Positive controls included	Dony (2014)

Table 37: Summary table of human data relevant for genotoxicity / germ cell mutagenicity

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
---------------------	----------------	--	--------------	-----------

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

#### 2.6.4.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on genotoxicity / germ cell mutagenicity

In a mutagenicity study in mammalian cells, testing for forward mutation in mouse lymphoma cells, SYN545974 did not increase the mean mutant frequency in the presence or absence of S9-mix. SYN545974 is therefore considered non-mutagenic in cultured mammalian cells (Wollny, 2013).

The clastogenic effect of SYN545974 was tested in an *in vitro* chromosome aberration study in human lymphocytes (Bohnenberger, 2013). In two of 3 experiments, in the absence of S9 mix, there were statistically significant increases in chromosomal aberrations. In Experiment IIA in the absence of S9 mix, one statistically significant increase in aberrant cells was observed after treatment with 5.3 µg/mL (concentrations tested were 5.3, 9.2, 16.1 µg/mL). In a confirmatory Experiment IIB, statistically significant increases occurred after treatment with 20.0 and 40.0 µg/mL; these were the two highest concentrations tested.

*In vivo* SYN545974 was found negative in two studies designed to detect clastogenicity (mouse bone marrow micronucleus test) (Roth, 2012; Dony, 2014). There was no evidence of chromosome damage at the maximum dose of 2000 mg/kg bw in two separate studies.

#### 2.6.4.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding genotoxicity / germ cell mutagenicity

SYN545974 was found negative in a reverse mutagenicity test in bacteria with and without metabolic activation and also in a mammalian cell mutation test. SYN545974 is therefore considered non-mutagenic in bacteria and in cultured mammalian cells.

The clastogenic effect of SYN545974 was investigated both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In the *in vitro* test in human lymphocytes there was some evidence of chromosome damage in cultures without metabolic activation. *In vivo* however, SYN545974 did not induce micronuclei in the polychromatic erythrocytes of the bone marrow of mice. Although the mean number of polychromatic erythrocytes was not substantially decreased after the treatment compared to controls, systemic exposure to SYN545974 has been demonstrated in mice previously (see section 2.6.1). Based on these studies it is concluded that SYN545974 is not clastogenic *in vivo*.

The RMS proposes to require a confirmatory *in vivo* test to definitely exclude the clastogenic effect observed *in vitro* on human lymphocytes. Since *in vitro* clastogenic effect in absence of metabolic activation and carcinogenic effect (liver tumors, see 2.6.5) in male mouse were observed after pydiflumetofen exposure, a comet assay should be performed on an organ from the gastrointestinal tract (stomach and/or colon and/or duodenum) and also on liver. The choice of gastrointestinal tract tissue is considered particularly relevant because positive results in the *in vitro* test were observed without metabolic activation. Thus, it is considered valuable to have additional information on the genotoxic potential of pydiflumetofen *in vivo* on a local tissue (before liver metabolism). This additional test would allow to definitely exclude the possibility of a genotoxic mode of action for the liver tumor and ensure of the absence of genotoxicity on a local tissue exposed upstream liver metabolism (e.i. gastrointestinal tract). Anyway, the RMS proposed that a discussion regarding this issue (need of a confirmatory genotoxicity test) could take place during the EFSA peer review process.

#### 2.6.4.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for genotoxicity / germ cell mutagenicity

No classification is required for genotoxicity/germ cell mutagenicity (a confirmatory *in vivo* genotoxicity assay is required)

### 2.6.5 Summary of long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity [equivalent to section 10.9 of the CLH report template]

Table 38: Summary table of animal studies on long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, dose levels, duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
<p>2 year chronic toxicity/ carcinogenicity</p> <p>OECD 453</p> <p>GLP</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Rat: Han Wistar</p> <p>CrI: WI (Han)</p> <p>64/sex (52/sex/group main group, 12/sex/group interim kill after 12 months)</p> <p>(see also section 2.6.3)</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w)</p> <p>Males 0, 200, 1000 &amp; 6000 ppm;</p> <p>Females 0, 150, 450 &amp; 1500 ppm</p> <p>Actual doses 9.9, 51.0 and 319 mg/kg/day (males); 10.2, 31.0 and 102 mg/kg/day (females).</p> <p>Continuous in the diet for 104 weeks</p>	<p><b>Non-neoplastic findings:</b> no treatment related increase in mortality</p> <p><b>150 ppm (females 10.2 mg/kg/day):</b> No treatment-related effects.</p> <p><b>200 ppm (males 9.9 mg/kg/day):</b> No treatment-related effects.</p> <p><b>450 ppm (females 31.0 mg/kg/day):</b> Body weight: ↓ BW 7.6% week 104 <i>Food consumption:</i> slightly lower and achieved statistical significance on several occasions</p> <p><b>1000 ppm (males 51.0 mg/kg/day):</b> <i>Body weight:</i> ↓ BW (↓ 10.8 %) and BW gain (↓13%) at week 104. <i>Food consumption:</i> slightly lower and achieved statistical significance on several occasions <i>Food utilisation:</i> ↓ 7.2 % weeks 1 to 4 <i>Liver weight :</i> ↑ covariate weight (12%). <i>Pathology:</i> ↑ hepatocyte hypertrophy 3/52 minimal</p> <p><b>1500 ppm (females 102 mg/kg/day):</b> <i>Body weight:</i> ↓ BW (↓ 9.1 %) and BW gain (↓ 13%) at week 104 <i>Food consumption:</i> consistently slightly lower and achieved statistical significance on several occasions <i>Liver weight:</i> ↑ covariate weight (10%). <i>Pathology:</i> ↑ hepatocyte hypertrophy 3/52 minimal-mild</p> <p><b>6000 ppm (males 319 mg/kg/day):</b> <i>Body weight:</i> ↓ 4.4% week 4, 18.2% week 104 <i>Food consumption:</i> consistently slightly lower and achieved statistical significance on several occasions (↓ 12% week 4) <i>Food utilisation:</i> ↓ 15.7 % weeks 1 to 4 <i>Liver weight:</i> ↑ covariate weight (24%). <i>Pathology:</i> ↑ hepatocyte hypertrophy 39/52 minimal to moderate; ↑ eosinophilic inclusions hepatocytes 19/52</p> <p><b>NOAEL for toxicity 200 ppm (equivalent to 9.9 mg/kg/day for males and 450 ppm (equivalent to 31.0 mg/kg/day) in females</b></p> <p><b>Neoplastic findings</b> No treatment-related changes in neoplastic findings at any dose level.</p> <p><b>NOAEL for carcinogenicity 6000 ppm (equivalent to 312 mg/kg/day for males and 1500 ppm (equivalent to 102 mg/kg/day) in females</b></p>	<p>Robertson (2015a)</p>
<p>Carcinogenicity</p> <p>OECD 451</p> <p>GLP</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Mice: CD-1 (ICR)</p> <p>50/sex /group</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w)</p> <p>0, 75, 375 &amp; 2250 ppm</p> <p>Actual doses 0, 9.2, 45.4 and 287.9 mg/kg/day for males and 0, 9.7, 48.4 and 306.2 mg/kg/day for females</p> <p>Continuous in</p>	<p><b>Non-neoplastic findings</b></p> <p><b>75 ppm (males 9.2 mg/kg/day, females 9.7 mg/kg/day):</b> No toxicologically significant treatment-related effects.</p> <p><b>375 ppm (males 45.4 mg/kg/day, females 48.4 mg/kg/day):</b> <i>Pathology:</i> ↑ 6/49 hepatocellular hypertrophy in males</p> <p><b>2250 ppm (males 287.9 mg/kg/day, females 306.2 mg/kg/day):</b> <i>Bodyweight:</i> ↓ 6.9% males; 11.6% females (week 80). <i>Food consumption:</i> slightly lower and achieved statistical significance on several occasions <i>Food utilisation:</i> ↓ 11.8% males weeks 1-13 (not statistically significant) <i>Liver weights:</i> ↑ 52.3% males, 17.1% females covariate values <i>Pathology:</i> ↑ 18/50 hepatocellular hypertrophy, ↑ 10/50 eosinophilic</p>	<p>Robertson (2015b)</p>

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, dose levels, duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference																									
	the diet for 80 weeks	<p>foci of cellular alteration in males</p> <p><b>NOAEL for non-neoplastic change was 75 ppm in males, equating to dose levels of 9.2 mg/kg/day and 375 ppm in females, equating to 48.4 mg/kg/day</b></p> <p><i>Neoplastic findings</i></p> <p>No treatment-related neoplastic findings in females at any dose level.</p> <p>In males only, hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas were increased at 375 and 2500 ppm, correlating to liver masses observed at necropsy.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>MALES</th> <th colspan="4">Dose level (ppm)</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Finding</th> <th>0</th> <th>75</th> <th>375</th> <th>2250</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>Liver (no. examined)</b></td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>49</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>hepatocellular carcinoma</td> <td>2 (4%)</td> <td>3 (6%)</td> <td>4 (8.2%)</td> <td>10* (20.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>hepatocellular adenoma</td> <td>4 (8.0%)</td> <td>6 (12.0%)</td> <td>9 (18.4%)</td> <td>22** (44.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><u>Historical control data</u></p> <p>Hepatocellular Carcinoma 19 (7.7%) range 6-10%, n=250</p> <p>Hepatocellular adenoma 45 (18.0%) range 10-28%, n=250</p> <p><b>NOAEL for carcinogenicity was 2250 (306.2 mg/kg/day) in females and 75 ppm (9.2 mg kg/day) in males.</b></p>	MALES	Dose level (ppm)				Finding	0	75	375	2250	<b>Liver (no. examined)</b>	50	50	49	50	hepatocellular carcinoma	2 (4%)	3 (6%)	4 (8.2%)	10* (20.0%)	hepatocellular adenoma	4 (8.0%)	6 (12.0%)	9 (18.4%)	22** (44.0%)	
MALES	Dose level (ppm)																											
Finding	0	75	375	2250																								
<b>Liver (no. examined)</b>	50	50	49	50																								
hepatocellular carcinoma	2 (4%)	3 (6%)	4 (8.2%)	10* (20.0%)																								
hepatocellular adenoma	4 (8.0%)	6 (12.0%)	9 (18.4%)	22** (44.0%)																								

Table 39: Summary table of human data on long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 40: Summary table of other studies relevant for long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
<p>CAR activation assay <i>in vitro</i></p> <p>Non-guideline investigative study.</p> <p>Non-GLP</p> <p>Supportive (mechanistic data)</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w).</p> <p>Concentrations 1, 3, 10, and 30 µM.</p> <p>Vehicle: DMSO</p>	<p>Expression vectors for CAR3 variants of mouse, rat and human CAR</p> <p>Positive controls CITCO, TCPOBOP and clotrimazole produced robust responses in human, mouse and rat CAR3 constructs, respectively.</p>	<p><b>30 µM</b></p> <p>rat CAR3 ↑ 42 fold</p> <p>mouse CAR3 ↑ 20 fold</p> <p>human CAR3 ↑ 15 fold</p> <p><b>10 µM</b></p> <p>rat CAR3 ↑ 37 fold</p> <p>mouse CAR3 ↑ 32 fold</p> <p>human CAR3 ↑ 13 fold</p> <p><b>3 µM</b></p> <p>rat CAR3 ↑ 14 fold</p> <p>mouse CAR3 ↑ 34 fold</p> <p>human CAR3 ↑ 5 fold</p> <p><b>1 µM</b></p> <p>rat CAR3 ↑ 2.8 fold</p> <p>mouse CAR3 ↑ 24 fold</p>	Omięcinski (2014)

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
			human CAR3 ↑ 1.5 fold <b>SYN545974 is a direct activator of CAR from mouse, rat and human, and has high efficacy in all three species.</b>	
Non-guideline investigative study.  Non-GLP  Supportive (mechanistic data)	SYN545974 (purity: 98.8% w/w).  Concentrations 5, 10, 25 and 35 µM.  Vehicle: DMSO	<i>In vitro</i> mouse hepatocyte study, male CD-1 (CRL) hepatocyte cultures  ATP 6 samples per group; S-phase labelling index 5 samples per group; BROD and PROD 3 samples per group  Positive controls included: Phenobarbital sodium salt (PB) and epidermal growth factor (EGF)	<b>All groups</b> ↓ 9.3-17.6% ATP as an indicator of cytotoxicity  <b>35 µM</b> ↑ 50.6% hepatocyte proliferation as measured by replicative DNA synthesis ↓ PROD and BROD enzyme activities (not statistically significant)  <b>25 µM</b> ↑ 89.8% hepatocyte proliferation as measured by replicative DNA synthesis ↓ PROD and BROD enzyme activities (not statistically significant)  <b>10 µM</b> ↑ 71.3 and 97.0% respectively PROD and BROD enzyme activities  <b>5 µM</b> ↑ 84.6 and 98.5% respectively PROD and BROD enzyme activities  <b>Treatment of cultured male CD-1 mouse hepatocytes resulted in increased cell proliferation (S-phase of the cell cycle) and increased CYP2B/3A activities (measured as PROD activity).</b>	Lowe (2015a)
<i>In vitro</i> hepatocyte proliferation indexing and enzyme activity measurements  Non-guideline investigative study  Non-GLP  Supportive (mechanistic data)	SYN545974 (purity 98.8% w/w).  Concentrations 5, 10, 25 and 35 µM.  Vehicle: DMSO	Human male hepatocyte cultures  ATP 6 samples per group; S-phase labelling index 5 samples per group; BROD and PROD 3 samples per group  Positive controls included: Phenobarbital sodium salt (PB) and epidermal growth factor (EGF)	<b>35 µM</b> ↓ 34 % ATP as an indicator of cytotoxicity ↑ 164 and 218% respectively PROD and BROD enzyme activities  <b>25 µM</b> ↓ 35 % ATP as an indicator of cytotoxicity ↑ 155 and 326% respectively PROD and BROD enzyme activities  <b>10 µM</b> ↑ 236 and 491% respectively PROD and BROD enzyme activities  <b>5 µM</b> ↑ 191 and 237% respectively PROD and BROD enzyme activities  <b>Treatment of cultured male human hepatocytes had no effect on cell proliferation (S-phase of the cell cycle). CYP2B/3A activities (measured as PROD and BROD activities) were elevated.</b>	Lowe (2015b)
<i>In vivo</i> 28 day mouse study mode of action study  Non-guideline investigative	SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w)  0, 75 & 2250 ppm  Actual doses 0,	Mouse CD-1 (CRL)  10 males/dose/ termination time after 2, 7 or 28 days	<b>2250 ppm (324 mg/kg/day)</b> ↑ absolute liver weight 22% and 24% after 28 and 7 days respectively ↑ liver:body weight ratio 28% and 21% after 28 and 7 days respectively ↓ 40% AST activity 28 days	Elcombe (2015)

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
study. Non-GLP Supportive (mechanistic data)	10.0 or 324.0 mg/kg/day  Continuous in the diet for 2, 7 or 28 days		↑ cytochrome P450 approx. 2-fold after 2, 7 and 28 days ↑ PROD activity 28, 36 and 37-fold after 2, 7 and 28 days respectively (marker of Cyp2b activity) ↑ BrdU incorporation 14-, 5 and 6-fold after 2, 7 and 28 days respectively ↑ Centrilobular hepatic hypertrophy in 9/10, 9/10 and 10/10 animals after 2, 7 and 28 days respectively ↑ Mitotic cells in liver 7/10 after 2 days <b>75 ppm (10 mg/kg/day)</b> ↑ PROD activity 1.9, 1.6 and 2.4-fold after 2, 7 and 28 days respectively not statistically significant ↑ BrdU incorporation 3.1, 2.3- and 5.6-fold after 7 and 28 days respectively	
<i>Ex-vivo</i> enzyme analysis of liver samples taken at termination 28 day dietary study in the mouse  Non-guideline investigative study.  Non-GLP  Supportive (mechanistic data)	SYN545974 (purity 98.6% w/w)  0, 500, 1500, 4000 or 7000 ppm  Continuous in the diet for 3, 7 or 28 days	Mice: CD1 6 males and 6 females/group killed after 28 days. Satellite groups control and high dose killed after 3 and 7 days	No increase in peroxisome palmitoyl CoA oxidation and only minimal increase (<4fold) in microsomal lauric acid 12-hydroxylation, indicating SYN545974 is not a peroxisome proliferator. EROD ( marker for Cyp1a activity), no biologically significant effects.  Dose related increase in hepatic total P450 content to maximum of 1.8 and 1.6 fold (males and females) at 7000 ppm on day 28. <b>7000 ppm:</b> ↑ PROD activity 10, 21 and 15 fold in males 13, 11 and 3-fold in females after 3, 7 and 28 days (marker of Cyp2b activity) Minimal ↑ Benzyloxyquinoline-O-debenzylation (BQ) (<5 fold) in males and females at all timepoints (marker Cyp3a activity) <b>4000 ppm- 28 days</b> ↑ hepatic total P450 1.6 and 1.7-fold in males and females ↑ PROD activity 9.0 and 4.2-fold in in males and females (marker Cyp2b activity) ↑ BQ 2.7 and 2.5-fold in males and females (marker Cyp3a activity) <b>1500 ppm- 28 days</b> ↑ hepatic total P450 1.6 and 1.3-fold in males and females ↑ PROD activity 9.2 and 5.4-fold in in males and females (marker Cyp2b activity) ↑ BQ 1.2-fold in males (marker Cyp3a activity) <b>500 ppm</b> ↑ hepatic total P450 1.5 and 1.2-fold in males and females ↑ PROD activity 11.8 and 5.2-fold in in males and females (marker Cyp2b	Haines (2012)

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
			activity) ↑ BQ 1.5-fold in males (marker Cyp3a activity) <b>SYN545974 did not demonstrate the prototypical properties of peroxisome proliferators but exhibited characteristics in common with “phenobarbital-like” inducing agents.</b>	
Effect on rat thyroid peroxidase activity <i>in vitro</i>  Investigative study no relevant guidelines  Non-GLP  Supportive (mechanistic data)	SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w)  Concentrations used: 0, 0.007, 0.1, 1.5 and 10 µM  Vehicle: DMSO	Rat: Pooled thyroid gland microsomal fraction from male Wistar Han	Treatment with SYN545974 had no significant effect on rat thyroid peroxidase activity at any concentration tested.  Positive control item, 6-propyl-2-thiouracil (PTU) resulted in a 99.9% inhibition of thyroid peroxidase activity <b>SYN545974 is not an inhibitor of rat thyroid peroxidase activity <i>in vitro</i></b>	Lake (2014)
Effect on hepatic UDP-glucuronosyltransferase activity <i>in vitro</i>  Investigative study no relevant guidelines  Non-GLP  Supportive (mechanistic data)	SYN545974 (purity 99.5%)  Concentrations used: 0, 250, 1500 and 8000 ppm  18.6, 111 and 587 mg/kg/day	Rat male Han Wistar (CrI:WI(Han) hepatic microsomes from 90 day study (Shearer and Robertson, 2015).	<b>Values expressed as % of control</b> <b>8000 ppm (587 mg/kg/day)</b> ↑ UDPGT activity 288 % specific activity, ↑ 347% per gram of liver, 421% per liver; ↑ 486% per relative liver weight <b>1500 ppm (111 mg/kg/day)</b> ↑ UDPGT activity 171 % specific activity, ↑ 194% per gram of liver, 244% per liver; ↑ 239% per relative liver weight <b>250 ppm (18.6 mg/kg/day)</b> ↑ UDPGT activity 152% specific activity; ↑ 162% per gram of liver. Changes in activity based on per liver and per relative liver weight were not statistically significant. <b>SYN545974 is an inducer of hepatic microsomal UDP glucuronosyltransferase activity towards thyroxine as substrate in male rats</b>	Lake (2015)

### 2.6.5.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity

SYN545974 has been evaluated for chronic toxicity in the rat and for carcinogenic potential in the rat and the mouse.

In a 2 year combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study in Wistar rats, SYN545974 was tested at dietary inclusion levels of 0, 200, 1000 and 6000 ppm for males and 150, 450 and 1500 ppm for females. Significantly lower body weight, body weight gain and food consumption were observed in both sexes at the mid and high dose (1000 and 6000 ppm in males; 450 and 1500 ppm in females). Food utilisation was also lower at the top dose in males and females. In males at the mid and high dose and females at the high dose liver hepatocyte hypertrophy was observed at 52 and 104 weeks, with a corresponding increase in liver weight in both sexes from the mid dose. In addition, in males at 6000 ppm grossly prominent lobular architecture of the liver was observed at 52 and 104 weeks and hepatocyte cytoplasmic eosinophilic inclusions in males at 6000 ppm at 104 weeks. There were no other treatment related effects on organ weight or histopathology. There were no treatment-related neoplastic findings. A NOAEL was established at 200 ppm (9.9 mg/kg/day in males) and 450 ppm (31.0 mg/kg/day in

females).

In a carcinogenicity study in the mouse, groups of 50 male and 50 female CD-1 mice were fed diets containing 0, 75, 375 or 2250 ppm of SYN545974 for a period of at least 80 weeks. Statistically significantly lower group mean body weight was observed in males and females treated with 2250 ppm. This was associated with a statistically lower group mean body weight gain compared to the control animals and lower food consumption. Food utilization was only significantly lower in males at the high dose. Liver weights were increased in both sexes at the high dose. There were no treatment-related neoplastic findings in females in this study. In males, neoplastic and non-neoplastic findings were observed in the liver only. Treatment related increased incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas (present as multiple adenomas) were observed in males at 375 and 2250 ppm, which were statistically significant at 2250 ppm only. In addition, centrilobular hypertrophy was observed in males only at 375 and 2250 ppm. Although there was a slightly higher incidence of eosinophilic foci of cellular alteration in the liver of male mice at 75 ppm when compared with controls, this difference was not statistically significant and is considered to be incidental to treatment.

The NOAEL for the 80 week mouse study was established at 75 ppm in males, which is equivalent to 9.2 mg/kg/day and 375 ppm in female, which is equivalent to 48.4 mg/kg/day in females.

Additional studies have been conducted to elucidate the mode of action (MOA) for the liver hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas observed in male mice and detailed study summaries are presented in Table 53. In addition, a review of the data and an assessment of the relevance of the mouse liver tumours to humans has been conducted following the IPCS and ILSI/HESI framework and is also presented as a detailed review and comparison with criteria (Cowie, 2015; See volume 3 B.6.5).

Based on an evaluation of the MOA studies and the regulatory toxicology database the following key events in the MOA have been demonstrated:

- Activation of the constitutive androstane receptor (CAR).
- An early, transient, increase in hepatocellular proliferation.
- Increased hepatocellular foci as a result of clonal expansion of spontaneously mutated (initiated) cells.
- Eventual progression to form liver tumours.

And the following associative events:

- Increased expression of genes encoding cytochrome P450s (CYPs), particularly Cyp2b/Cyp3a isoforms.
- Increased incidence of hepatocellular hypertrophy.
- Increased liver weight.

Alternative modes of action leading to liver tumourogenesis were evaluated and excluded.

The key events of CAR activation and proliferation were demonstrated to occur in *in vitro* mouse hepatocyte cultures while no increase in proliferation was shown to occur in cultures of human hepatocytes, despite CAR activation occurring and the human hepatocytes being able to respond to proliferative stimuli.

Based on the available data, the MOA for liver tumour formation in male CD-1 mice treated with SYN545974 has been established. This MOA involves key events that include an initial activation of CAR, altered CAR-dependent gene transcription, and a critical key event of increased cell proliferation. Based on the qualitative species difference in the hepatocellular proliferation response to SYN545974 it has been established that this MOA is not relevant to humans.

**2.6.5.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding carcinogenicity**

Table 41: Compilation of factors to be taken into consideration in the hazard assessment

Species and strain	Tumour type and background incidence	Multi-site responses	Progression of lesions to malignancy	Reduced tumour latency	Responses in single or both sexes	Confounding effect by excessive toxicity?	Route of exposure	MoA and relevance to humans
Mice: CD-1 (ICR)	Hepatocellular carcinoma 19 (7.6%) range 6-10%, n=250 Hepatocellular adenoma 45 (18.0%) range 10-28%, n=250	No	No	No	Single	No	Oral, diet	CAR activator not relevant to humans

Species and strain	Tumour type and background incidence	Multi-site responses	Progression of lesions to malignancy	Reduced tumour latency	Responses in single or both sexes	Confounding effect by excessive toxicity?	Route of exposure	MoA and relevance to humans
Rat: Han Wistar Crl: WI (Han)	None	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Oral, diet	n/a

It is considered that the available data from targeted investigative studies support the conclusion that SYN545974 does not pose a hepatocarcinogenic hazard to humans.

There are no human data for SYN545974. The available animal studies demonstrate that SYN545974 is not carcinogenic in the rat or female mouse, but at high doses in male mice increased incidences of liver adenomas and carcinomas were observed. SYN545974 is not genotoxic, but resulted in increased incidences of tumours in a single tissue (liver) of a single species (mice) in one sex (males). Treatment-related inductions of tumours in a single species and one sex with a non-genotoxic mode of action could warrant classification for category 2 carcinogen. However, the evaluation of mode of action studies against the IPCS and ILSI/HESI framework demonstrates a CAR activation MOA. Data generated in human hepatocytes confirm the human non-relevance due to quantitative differences in responses to proliferative stimuli. Due to the demonstrated human non-relevance of the liver tumours observed in male mice, SYN545974 does not meet the criteria for classification.

#### **RMS consideration regarding the carcinogenic potential of 2,4,6 TCP and the dose level selection for SYN545974 toxicity studies:**

As previously mentioned, the RMS had some reservations regarding the dose level selection which has been proposed by the applicant based on pharmacokinetic data (see section 2.6.1 and B.6.1 in volume 3). The RMS questioned on the possibility that the doses of SYN545974 selected by the applicant for the long-term studies might be not sufficiently high to cover the carcinogenic potential of TCP, especially in rat. Indeed, leukemias were observed from the dose of 250 mg/kg/day of 2,4,6 TCP in male rat in a long-term toxicity study from the NTP (NCI, 1979). In order to verify whether higher doses of SYN545974 (>300 and up to 1000mg/kg bw/day) would have actually covered the carcinogenic potential of 2,4,6 TCP, systemic exposure of 2,4,6 TCP (and related compound) have been estimated for these non-experimentally tested doses levels. Taking into consideration the dose-limited oral absorption, the estimated systemic exposure of 2,4,6 TCP following an oral administration of 1000 mg/kg/day SYN545974 in rats, would give a value close to the dose of TCP which causes 25% of leukemia in the rat (T25) in the NTP study (see details in volume 3B.6). It may therefore be difficult in this context to conclude on the carcinogenicity classification of SYN545974 without long-term studies performed with sufficiently high level of the test substance. However, the maximal tolerable dose (MTD) is often used to decide whether the top dose tested in a long-term toxicity study is adequate to give confidence in a negative result. There is actually broad acceptance that the top dose selected in a long-term study should ideally provide some signs of toxicity (such as slight depression of body weight gain (not more than 10%)), without causing e.g., tissue necrosis or metabolic saturation and without substantially altering normal life span due to effects other than tumors (OECD 2012<sup>3</sup>). Indeed, the MTD was reached in the 2-year study in rat, as the top dose in the male (300 mg/kg/d) and the top dose in the female (100 mg/kg/d) resulted in a 18% and 9% reduction of body weight, respectively. In conclusion, it can be reasonably considered that the carcinogenic potential of SYN545974 was appropriately assessed.

#### **2.6.5.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for carcinogenicity**

Based on the available animal studies, SYN545974 does not meet the criteria for classification.

#### **2.6.6 Summary of reproductive toxicity [equivalent to section 10.10 of the CLH report template]**

##### **2.6.6.1 Adverse effects on sexual function and fertility – generational studies [equivalent to section 10.10.1 of the CLH report template]**

Table 42: Summary table of animal studies on adverse effects on sexual function and fertility – generational studies

<sup>3</sup> OECD 2012: Guidance document 116 on the conduct and design of chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity studies, supporting test guidelines 451, 452 and 453 2<sup>nd</sup> édition. ENV/JM/MONO(2011)47.

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, dose levels duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL (for sexual function and fertility, parents) - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
<p>Two generation reproduction OECD 416 GLP acceptable Oral (continuous in diet) Rat, Crl:WI (Han) 24/sex/group (see also sections 2.6.3 and 2.6.6.3)</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) Males: 0, 150, 750 &amp; 4500 ppm Females: 0, 150, 450 &amp; 1500 ppm Continuous in the diet</p>	<p><b><u>Parental toxicity - Males</u></b> <u>150 ppm (9.1 mg/kg/day, F0; 11.9 mg/kg/day, F1)</u> No effects <u>750 ppm (46 mg/kg/day, F0; 59 mg/kg/day, F1)</u> F0 &amp; F1: ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (F0: ↑ 9% ; F1: ↑ 12%) <u>4500 ppm (277 mg/kg/day, F0; 364 mg/kg/day, F1)</u> F0: ↓ body weight gain (10% weeks 0-17); ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (↑38%); ↑ incidence of hepatocyte hypertrophy (slight): 19/24 (control = 0/24 incidence); ↑ incidence of thyroid follicular hypertrophy (minimal) 7/24 (control = 1/24) F1: ↓ body weight gain (10% weeks 0-17); ↓ food consumption (8% weeks 0-17); ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (↑42% males); ↑ incidence of hepatocyte hypertrophy (slight) 18/24 (controls = 0/24); ↑ incidence of thyroid follicular hypertrophy (minimal) 7/24 (controls = 2/24). <b><u>Parental toxicity - Females</u></b> <u>150 ppm: (11.9 mg/kg/day, F0; 14.1 mg/kg/day, F1)</u> No effects <u>450 ppm (36 mg/kg/day, F0; 42 mg/kg/day, F1)</u> F0: ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (↑ 6%) <u>1500 ppm (116 mg/kg/day, F0; 141 mg/kg/day, F1)</u> F0 &amp; F1: ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (F0: ↑15% and F1: 19%) F0: ↑ incidence of hepatocyte hypertrophy (minimal) 8/24 (controls = 0/24) <b>NOAEL (parental) 750/450 ppm (46/36 mg/kg/day F0 generation pre-pairing) in males and females respectively</b> <b><u>Reproductive toxicity</u></b> No effects at any dose level <b>NOAEL (reproductive) 4500/1500 ppm (277/116 mg/kg/day F0 generation pre-pairing) in males and females respectively.</b> <b><u>Offspring toxicity - Males</u></b> <u>750 ppm (59 mg/kg/day)</u> No effects <u>4500 ppm (364 mg/kg/day)</u> F1: delayed sexual maturation (45.9 days versus 43.0 days in controls) considered secondary to ↓ body weight <b><u>Offspring toxicity - Females</u></b> <u>450 ppm (42.4 mg/kg/day)</u> No effects <u>1500 ppm (116 mg/kg/day)</u> F1: delayed sexual maturation (33.0 days versus 30.3 days in controls). No subsequent effect on oestrus cycling, mating performance or fertility and no effect on ano-genital distance <b>NOAEL (offspring) 4500/450 ppm (277/36 mg/kg /day F0 generation pre-pairing) in males and females respectively.</b></p>	<p>Hackford (2015)</p>

Table 43: Summary table of human data on adverse effects on sexual function and fertility

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
---------------------	----------------	--	--------------	-----------

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 44: Summary table of other studies relevant for toxicity on sexual function and fertility

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

#### 2.6.6.1.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on adverse effects on sexual function and fertility – generational studies

SYN545974 has been evaluated for effects on reproduction and fertility in a 2-generation reproduction study (Hackford, 2015) in rats. Wistar rats were administered SYN545974 continuously in the diet at inclusion levels of 0, 150, 750 and 4500 ppm for males and 0, 150, 450 and 1500 ppm for females.

Paternal toxicity (reduced body weight gain) was observed in males at the highest dose level tested of 4500 ppm (equivalent to 277 mg/kg/day) but there was no effect on sexual function or fertility. There was no effect of treatment on sperm parameters for males from either generation or on the quantification of the F1 generation ovarian follicles in females.

Sexual maturation was slightly delayed for F1 generation males given 4500 ppm and females given 1500 ppm. The delay in sexual maturation in males was secondary to reduced body weight gain and not a direct effect of treatment with SYN545974. In female, the delay was not secondary to bodyweight effects. However, this can be considered questionable as there was no effect on related parameters such as oestrus cycling, mating performance or fertility and no effect on ano-genital distance of F1 generation pups. In a conservative approach, this finding was taken into account to derive the NOAEL of the study. Overall, the NOAEL for systemic toxicity was 46/36 mg/kg/day for males and females respectively, the NOAEL for reproductive toxicity was 277/116 mg/kg/day for males and females respectively (both based on F0 pre-pairing period dose intake) and the NOAEL for offspring toxicity was 277/36 mg/kg/day for males and females respectively.

There were no short-term toxicity studies relevant for toxicity on sexual function and fertility i.e. there was no indication of any adverse effects on the reproductive organs including spermatogenesis from routine histopathology. The short-term toxicity of SYN545974 was evaluated by the oral route in rats, dogs and mice and by the dermal route in rats. In general, the effects included a reduction in body weight gain and minor changes in food consumption and utilization. The target organs were the liver and thyroid (increased liver weight and hypertrophy in both organs).

#### 2.6.6.1.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding adverse effects on sexual function and fertility

In the classification system, reproductive toxicity is subdivided under two main headings:

(a) *Adverse effects on sexual function and fertility:*

Any effect of substances that have the potential to interfere with sexual function and fertility. This includes, but is not limited to, alterations to the female and male reproductive system, adverse effects on onset of puberty, gamete production and transport, reproductive cycle normality, sexual behaviour, fertility, parturition, pregnancy outcomes, premature reproductive senescence, or modifications in other functions that are dependent on the integrity of the reproductive systems.

(b) *Adverse effects on development of the offspring:*

Developmental toxicity includes, in its widest sense, any effect which interferes with normal development of the conceptus, either before or after birth, and resulting from exposure of either parent prior to conception, or exposure of the developing offspring during prenatal development, or postnatally, to the time of sexual maturation. There were no effects to warrant classification of SYN545974 as a reproductive toxicant.

There were no effects to warrant classification of SYN545974 as a reproductive toxicant.

**2.6.6.2 Adverse effects on development [equivalent to section 10.10.4 of the CLH report template]**

Table 45: Summary table of animal studies on adverse effects on development

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, dose levels duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL (for parent, offspring and for developmental effects) - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference																									
Developmental toxicity OECD 414 GLP Acceptable Oral (gavage) Rat, CrI:CD (SD) 24 mated females/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 10, 30 or 100 mg/kg/day on days 6-19 of gestation Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	<i>Maternal toxicity</i> <u>100 mg/kg/day</u> : No effects at highest dose tested Maternal NOAEL 100 mg/kg/day <i>Developmental toxicity</i> <u>100 mg/kg/day</u> : No effects at highest dose tested Developmental NOAEL 100 mg/kg/day	Davies (2015)																									
Preliminary developmental toxicity Non-guideline Non-GLP Supplementary Oral (gavage) Rat, CrI:CD (SD) 6 mated females/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.6%) 0, 100, 200, 500 or 1000 mg/kg/day on days 6-19 of gestation Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	<i>Maternal toxicity</i> <u>1000 mg/kg/day</u> : No effects at highest (limit) dose tested <i>Developmental toxicity (no skeletal examination)</i> <u>1000 mg/kg/day</u> : No effects at highest (limit) dose tested	Manton (2011)																									
Developmental toxicity OECD 414 GLP Acceptable Oral (gavage) Rabbit, New Zealand White 24 mated females/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 10, 100 or 500 mg/kg/day on days 6-27 of gestation Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	<i>Maternal toxicity</i> <u>500 mg/kg/day</u> : No effects at highest dose tested Maternal NOAEL 500 mg/kg/day <i>Developmental toxicity</i> <u>≥ 100 mg/kg/day</u> : Increased incidence of one skeletal variant (rib costal cartilage interrupted) without clear dose response. No historical control data available <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="4">Dose level (mg/kg/day)</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>10</th> <th>100</th> <th>500</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>Observations</b></td> <td colspan="4">Rib: one or more: costal cartilage interrupted (variant)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fetuses</td> <td>8/163 (4.4%)</td> <td>8/142 (5%)</td> <td>14/132 (14%)</td> <td>12/154 (8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Litters</td> <td>6/22 (27.3%)</td> <td>6/18 (33.3%)</td> <td>12/19 (63%)*</td> <td>10/21 (47.6%)*</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Developmental NOAEL 10 mg/kg/day		Dose level (mg/kg/day)					0	10	100	500	<b>Observations</b>	Rib: one or more: costal cartilage interrupted (variant)				Fetuses	8/163 (4.4%)	8/142 (5%)	14/132 (14%)	12/154 (8%)	Litters	6/22 (27.3%)	6/18 (33.3%)	12/19 (63%)*	10/21 (47.6%)*	Penn (2015b)
	Dose level (mg/kg/day)																											
	0	10	100	500																								
<b>Observations</b>	Rib: one or more: costal cartilage interrupted (variant)																											
Fetuses	8/163 (4.4%)	8/142 (5%)	14/132 (14%)	12/154 (8%)																								
Litters	6/22 (27.3%)	6/18 (33.3%)	12/19 (63%)*	10/21 (47.6%)*																								
Preliminary developmental toxicity Non-guideline Non-GLP Supplementary Oral (gavage) Rabbit, New Zealand White 10 mated	SYN545974 (purity 99.3%/ 98.5%) 0, 250, 500 or 1000 mg/kg/day on days 6-27 of gestation Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	<i>Maternal toxicity</i> <u>1000 mg/kg/day</u> : ↓ body weight 35% days 6-28 Maternal NOAEL 500 mg/kg/day <i>Developmental toxicity (no skeletal examination)</i> <u>1000 mg/kg/day</u> : No effects at highest (limit) dose tested Developmental NOAEL 1000 mg/kg/day	Penn (2015c)																									

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, dose levels duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL (for parent, offspring and for developmental effects) - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
females/group			
Two generation reproduction OECD 416 GLP acceptable Oral (continuous in diet) Rat, Crl:WI (Han) 24/sex/group (see also sections 2.6.3 and 2.6.6.3)	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) Males: 0, 150, 750 & 4500 ppm Females: 0, 150, 450 & 1500 ppm Continuous in the diet	Only data for offspring developmental toxicity are presented <u>Offspring toxicity - Males</u> 750 ppm (59 mg/kg/day) No effects 4500 ppm (364 mg/kg/day) F1: delayed sexual maturation (45.9 days versus 43.0 days in controls) considered secondary to ↓ body weight <u>Offspring toxicity - Females</u> 450 ppm (42.4 mg/kg/day) No effects 1500 ppm (116 mg/kg/day) F1: delayed sexual maturation (33.0 days versus 30.3 days in controls). No subsequent effect on oestrus cycling, mating performance or fertility and no effect on anogenital distance <b>NOAEL (offspring) 4500/450 ppm (277/36 mg/kg /day F0 generation pre-pairing) in males and females respectively.</b>	Hackford (2015)

Table 46: Summary table of human data on adverse effects on development

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 47: Summary table of other studies relevant for developmental toxicity

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No relevant studies				

**2.6.6.2.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on adverse effects on development**

For the prenatal developmental toxicity study in the rat, dose levels of 0, 10, 30 and 100 mg/kg/day were evaluated in a GLP study, conducted to current OECD Test Guideline No. 414 (Davies, 2015). An initial reduction in body weight and food intake in response to the onset of dosing with 100 mg/kg/day on day 6, was resolved by day 9 with no overall adverse effects on either parameter. There was no evidence of developmental toxicity at any dose level. The incidences and intergroup distribution of major, minor and variant foetal abnormalities were considered not to be related to administration of SYN545974. Based on the results of this study the NOAEL for maternal and embryo-fetal development is considered to be 100 mg/kg/day. In addition, a preliminary developmental toxicity study was conducted in the rat at doses of 0, 100, 200, 500 or 1000 mg/kg/day. No maternal or developmental toxicity was seen at the highest dose tested.

For the prenatal developmental toxicity study in the rabbit, dose levels of 0, 10, 100 and 500 mg/kg/day were evaluated in a GLP study, conducted to current OECD Test Guideline No. 414 (Penn, 2015c). No maternal effects were observed in the study. A marginally increased incidence of rib cartilage variant (one or more costal cartilage interrupted) was observed at 100 and 500 mg/kg/day without a clear dose response. The absence of available historical control data leads difficult the interpretation of this findings. However in a conservative approach, the NOAEL for embryo-fetal development was considered to be 10 mg/kg/day. In addition, a preliminary developmental toxicity study was conducted in the rabbit at doses of 0, 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg/day. The

maternal NOAEL was 500 mg/kg/day based on decrease in maternal body weight gain at 1000 mg/kg/day. No developmental toxicity was seen at the highest dose level.

In the 2-generation study in rat (Hackford, 2015), sexual maturation was delayed for F1 generation males given 4500 ppm and females given 1500 ppm. In males, the delay in sexual maturation was secondary to reduced body weight gain and not a direct effect of treatment with SYN545974. In female, the delay was not secondary to reduced body weight gain. However, this effect was considered questionable as there was no effect on related parameters such as oestrus cycling, mating performance or fertility and there was no effect on ano-genital distance of F1 generation pups. In a conservative approach, this finding was considered to derive the NOAEL (offspring) of the study at 36 mg/kg/day.

#### 2.6.6.2.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding adverse effects on development

In the classification system, adverse effects on development of the offspring include any effect which interferes with normal development of the conceptus, either before or after birth, and resulting from exposure of either parent prior to conception, or exposure of the developing offspring during prenatal development, or postnatally, to the time of sexual maturation.

In the rat prenatal developmental toxicity studies, compliant with current test guidelines, no maternal or developmental toxicity was seen. In the rabbit, a marginally increased incidence of a skeletal variant was observed at 100 and 500 mg/kg/day in the absence of maternal toxicity. However, no clear dose response was observed and no historical control data regarding this finding were submitted by the Applicant. In a conservative approach for the risk assessment, this effect was considered to derive the NOAEL of the study. However, this is only a minor defect with no consequence on post-natal survival or development and according to the CLP regulation, variants may not lead to classification if considered to be of low toxicological significance.

In the 2-generation study in rat (Hackford, 2015), sexual maturation was slightly delayed for F1 generation females given 1500 ppm and this delay was not secondary to reduced body weight gain. Although this effect has been considered to derive the NOAEL of the study, they remain questionable for the following reasons:

- (i) no subsequent effect on related parameters such as oestrus cycling, mating performance or fertility were observed in the F1 generation pups
- (ii) There was no effect on ano-genital distance of F1 generation pups
- (iii) No effect on endocrine or reproductive organs were observed in all the available repeated toxicity studies database (rat, mice, dog)

In conclusion, the RMS is of opinion that these findings (skeletal variant and sexual maturation) are not sufficiently convincing to be a basis for classification of SYN545974 as a developmental toxicant.

#### 2.6.6.3 Adverse effects on or via lactation [equivalent to section 10.10.7 of the CLH report template]

Table 48: Summary table of animal studies on effects on or via lactation

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, dose levels duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
Two generation reproduction OECD 416 GLP acceptable Oral (continuous in diet)	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) Males: 0, 150, 750 & 4500 ppm Females: 0, 150, 450 & 1500 ppm Continuous in the	<u>Parental toxicity - Males</u> <u>150 ppm (9.1 mg/kg/day, F0; 11.9 mg/kg/day, F1)</u> No effects <u>750 ppm (46 mg/kg/day, F0; 59 mg/kg/day, F1)</u> F0 & F1: ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (F0: ↑ 9% (males) ; F1: ↑ 12%) <u>4500 ppm (277 mg/kg/day, F0; 364 mg/kg/day, F1)</u>	Hackford (2015)

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, dose levels duration of exposure	Results - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ - critical effects at the LOAEL	Reference
Rat, Crl:WI (Han) 24/sex/group (see also section 2.6.3 and 2.6.6.1)	diet	<p>F0: ↓ body weight gain (10% weeks 0-17); ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (↑38% males) and ↑15% females); ↑ incidence of hepatocyte hypertrophy (slight): males 19/24 (control = 0/24 incidence); ↑ incidence of thyroid follicular hypertrophy (minimal) 7/24 (control = 1/24) in males.</p> <p>F1: ↓ body weight gain (10% weeks 0-17); ↓ food consumption (8% weeks 0-17); ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (↑42% males and ↑ 17% females); ↑ incidence of hepatocyte hypertrophy (slight) 18/24 (controls = 0/24); ↑ incidence of thyroid follicular hypertrophy (minimal) 7/24(controls = 2/24).</p> <p><b><u>Parental toxicity - Females</u></b>  <u>150 ppm (11.9 mg/kg/day, F0; 14.1 mg/kg/day, F1)</u>                      No effects  <u>450 ppm (36 mg/kg/day, F0; 42 mg/kg/day, F1)</u>                      F0: ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (↑ 6%)  <u>1500 ppm (116 mg/kg/day, F0; 141 mg/kg/day, F1)</u>                      F0 &amp; F1: ↑ liver weight adjusted for bw (F0: ↑15% and F1: 19%)                      F0: ↑ incidence of hepatocyte hypertrophy (minimal) 8/24 (controls = 0/24)  <b>NOAEL (parental) 750/450 ppm (46/36 mg/kg/day F0 generation pre-pairing) in males and females respectively</b></p> <p><b><u>Reproductive toxicity</u></b>                      No effects at any dose level  <b>NOAEL (reproductive) 4500/1500 ppm (277/116 mg/kg/day F0 generation pre-pairing) in males and females respectively.</b></p> <p><b><u>Offspring toxicity - Males</u></b>  <u>750 ppm (59 mg/kg/day)</u>                      No effects  <u>4500 ppm (364 mg/kg/day)</u>                      F1: delayed sexual maturation (45.9 days versus 43.0 days in controls) considered secondary to ↓ body weight</p> <p><b><u>Offspring toxicity - Females</u></b>  <u>450 ppm (42.4 mg/kg/day)</u>                      No effects  <u>1500 ppm (1416 mg/kg/day)</u>                      F1: delayed sexual maturation (33.0 days versus 30.3 days in controls). No subsequent effect on oestrus cycling, mating performance or fertility and no effect on ano-genital distance  <b>NOAEL (offspring) 4500/450 ppm (277/36 mg/kg /day F0 generation pre-pairing) in males and females respectively.</b></p>	

Table 49: Summary table of human data on effects on or via lactation

Type of data/report	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
No evidence of adverse health effects in humans				

Table 50: Summary table of other studies relevant for effects on or via lactation

Type of study/data	Test substance	Relevant information about the study (as applicable)	Observations	Reference
--------------------	----------------	--	--------------	-----------

No relevant studies
---------------------

### 2.6.6.3.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on effects on or via lactation

The two generation reproduction study (Hackford, 2015) has been described previously. The results showed that administration of 1500 ppm for females (equivalent to 116 mg/kg/day, F0; 141 mg/kg/day, F1) increased liver weight adjusted for body weight in both generations. In addition, the F0 females also showed an increased incidence of hepatocyte hypertrophy. Increased liver weight adjusted for body weight in both generations was also observed in females at the lower dose of 450 ppm (equivalent to 36 mg/kg/day, F0; 42 mg/kg/day, F1).

There was no indication of impaired nursing behaviour or decreased pup viability during lactation and no effect on pup growth to weaning. The results of the study do not indicate any direct, adverse effect on the offspring due to transfer of the chemical via the milk or to the quality of the milk.

### 2.6.6.3.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria regarding effects on or via lactation

The classification is intended to indicate when a substance may cause harm due to its effects on or via lactation and is independent of consideration of the reproductive or developmental toxicity of the substance. There were no effects to warrant classification of SYN545974 for effects on or via lactation.

### 2.6.6.4 Conclusion on classification and labelling for reproductive toxicity

According to CLP criteria, no classification is required for reproductive toxicity.

### 2.6.7 Summary of neurotoxicity

The need for classification related to neurotoxic effects have been considered in the appropriate sections i.e. STOT SE (2.6.2.10), STOT RE (2.6.3)

Table 51: Summary table of animal studies on neurotoxicity

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, dose levels if duration of exposure	Results: - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ -critical effect at LOAEL	Reference
Acute oral neurotoxicity study OECD Guideline 424 GLP Acceptable Rat Han-Wistar (RccHan™ WIST) 10/ sex/group  (see also section 2.6.2.10)	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 100 (females only), 300 (males only), 1000 or 2000 mg/kg Single oral (gavage) dose Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	<b>100 mg/kg bw (females)</b> No differences from control. <b>1000 mg/kg bw (females)</b> 1/10 females at 1000 mg/kg showed marked clinical signs and was euthanized ~3.25 hours post dose <i>Clinical signs at 6 hours post dose:</i> recumbency 1/9, piloerection 4/9, reduced activity 2/9, abnormal gait 1/9, skin cold to touch 1/9; pupillary reflex impaired 1/9 and mydriasis 1/9; ↓ 2.6% body temperature; ↓ decrease in locomotor activity (48%, 66% in mean distance travelled and number of rearings) <i>Clinical signs after 1 day:</i> No differences from control. <b>2000 mg/kg bw (females)</b> <i>Clinical signs at 6 hours post dose only:</i> Hunched posture 2/10, piloerection 4/10, reduced activity 1/10, abnormal gait 1/10; ↓ 3.1% body temperature; ↓ decrease in locomotor activity (59%, 81% in mean distance travelled and number of rearings, respectively) <i>Clinical signs after 1 day:</i> No differences from control.  No treatment –related histopathological findings  <b>NOAEL General toxicity and neurotoxicity: 2000 mg/kg (males) / 100 mg/kg (females)</b>	Broich (2015a)

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, dose levels duration of exposure	Results: - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ -critical effect at LOAEL	Reference
<p>Acute oral neurotoxicity study (modified females only) OECD Guideline 424 Acceptable Rat Han Wistar (RccHan<sup>TM</sup>; WIST) 10/ females/group  (see also section 2.6.2.10)</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 100, 300 or 1000 mg/kg Single oral gavage dose Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)</p>	<p><b>100 mg/kg bw</b> <i>Clinical signs</i> ~ 2-5 hours post dose: in 2/10 animals the following were observed; ruffled fur, eyes half closed and ventral recumbency. <i>Clinical signs</i> 6 hours post dose: piloerection 1/10, skin cold to touch 2/10; impaired extensor thrust reflex 2/10; ↓ 0.8% body temperature; ↓ decrease in locomotor activity 4%, in mean distance travelled (no difference in number of rearings) <b>300 mg/kg bw</b> <i>Clinical signs</i> 6 hours post dose: ↓ 1.1% body temperature; ↓ decrease in locomotor activity (26%, 37% in mean distance travelled and number of rearings) <b>1000 mg/kg bw</b> <i>Clinical signs</i> ~ 3 hours post dose: in 1/10 animals the following were observed; ruffled fur, eyes half closed and ventral recumbency. <i>Clinical signs</i> 6 hours post dose: piloerection 1/10, skin cold to touch 1/10, tremor 1/10, impaired extensor thrust reflex 1/10; ↓ 1.1% body temperature; ↓ decrease in locomotor activity (28%, 41.0% in mean distance travelled and number of rearings)  <b>NOAEL General toxicity and neurotoxicity (females):100 mg/kg</b></p>	<p>Broich (2015b)</p>
<p>13-week dietary study in rats OECD 408, GLP Acceptable Rat:Han wistar (CrI:WI(Han)) 10/sex/group  (see also sections 2.6.3)</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 99.5%) 0, 250, 1500, 8000 or 16000 ppm  Actual doses 0, 18.6, 111, 587 and 1187 mg/kg/day (males) and 0, 21.6, 127, 727 and 1324 mg/kg/day (females)  Continuous in the diet for 13 weeks.</p>	<p>Only results on functional observation battery parameters (FOB) are presented <b>≥ 250 ppm (males 18.6 mg/kg/day, females 21.6 mg/kg/day):</b> No treatment-related effects on FOB parameters: detailed clinical observations, tests for reflexes and other stimuli, grip strength, landing foot splay, body temperature or on motor activity.</p>	<p>Shearer and Robertson (2015)</p>
<p>2 year chronic toxicity/ carcinogenicity OECD 453 GLP Acceptable Rat: Han Wistar CrI: WI (Han) 64/sex (52/sex/group main group, 12/sex/group interim kill after 12 months)</p>	<p>SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w)  Males 0, 200, 1000 &amp; 6000 ppm;  Females 0, 150, 450 &amp; 1500 ppm  Actual doses 9.9, 51.0 and 319 mg/kg/day (males); 10.2, 31.0 and 102 mg/kg/day (females).</p>	<p>Only functional observation battery parameters (FOB) are presented <b>≥ 6000 ppm (males 319 mg/kg/day) and ≥ 1500 ppm (females 102 mg/kg/day):</b> No treatment-related effects on FOB parameters: detailed clinical observations, tests for reflexes and other stimuli, grip strength, landing foot splay, body temperature or on motor activity.</p>	<p>Robertson (2015a)</p>

Method, guideline, deviations if any, species, strain, sex, no/group	Test substance, dose levels if duration of exposure	Results: - NOAEL/LOAEL - target tissue/organ -critical effect at LOAEL	Reference
(see also section 2.6.3)	Continuous in the diet for 104 weeks		


The acute neurotoxicity of SYN545974 has been evaluated in the rat. In the acute study, single gavage doses of 0, 300 (males) or 100 (females), 1000 and 2000 mg/kg produce clinical signs of toxicity and effect on body temperature and locomotor activity (LMA) at dose levels  $\geq$  1000 mg/kg only in females on the day of treatment. No effects were observed in males. A subsequent modified acute neurotoxicity study in female rats only, with single oral gavage doses of 0, 100, 300 and 1000 mg/kg, produce the same effects from 300 mg/kg. All signs of toxicity were resolved by day 2.

It should be noted that in the 90-day toxicity study in rat (Shearer and Robertson 2015), no effect were observed on detailed clinical observations, functional observation battery (FOB) parameters or on locomotor activity (LMA) up to the highest dose tested (16000ppm equivalent to 1322-1174 mg/kg bw/d in males and females; respectively). In addition, there were no treatment effects in the FOB parameters or on motor activity following administration of SYN545974 at doses levels up to 6000ppm in males (319 mg/kg/day) and 1500 ppm in females (102 mg/kg/day) in the 104-week toxicity study in rat (Robertson 2015a).

## 2.6.8 Summary of other toxicological studies

### 2.6.8.1 Toxicity studies of metabolites and impurities


- **Metabolite CSAA788670**



CSAA798670 is a common metabolite to a number of SDHI molecules and toxicity studies performed on this metabolite have been assessed during the peer-review of other pyrazole active substances (sedaxane, fluxapyroxade, benzovendiflupyr).

Metabolite CSAA798670 presented low oral acute and short-term toxicity, no adverse effects were observed up to 1000 mg/kg bw/day (limit dose) in a 90-day dietary study in rats; no adverse effect (regarding maternal and developmental toxicity) was observed in a developmental toxicity study in rabbits up to the highest dose tested of 250 mg/kg bw/day, but CSAA798670 produced high maternal toxicity at 500 mg/kg bw/day in a developmental range-finding study. No genotoxic potential is attributed to the metabolite. CSAA798670 was found to be less toxic than the parent compound. If reference values are needed for this metabolite, no acute reference dose (ARfD) is allocated and the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) is 0.25 mg/kg bw/day, based on the NOAEL of 250 mg/kg bw/day from the developmental toxicity study in rabbits with an assessment factor (AF) of 1000 applied, to account for the limited database available (no long-term, multigeneration or rat developmental toxicity study available).

- **Metabolite SYN508272:**




Metabolite SYN508272 presented a higher acute oral toxicity than the parent SYN545974. In a 28-day toxicity study in rat, reductions in body weight and food consumption were observed at 143 mg/kg bw/day (males)/243.5 mg/kg bw/day (females). Metabolite SYN508272 was showed to be clastogenic *in vitro* in a chromosome

aberration test in human lymphocytes; however, no genotoxic potential was attributed to the metabolite *in vivo* in a rat micronucleus assay in bone marrow cells.


After an oral administration of SYN545974 in rat, SYN508272 was the major pyrazole specific metabolite detected in plasma accounting for up to 14.8% of total radioactivity AUC (TRA) with its precursor SYN548263 accounting for up to 8.1% TRA (MacDonald and Jewkes (2015); see section B.6.1.1). Therefore, the mammalian toxicity database on SYN545974 also assesses the toxicity of the metabolite SYN508272 and risk assessment endpoints for SYN545974 are considered appropriate also for SYN508272. Therefore, if reference values are needed, the reference values of the parent SYN545974 are applicable for the metabolite SYN508272

- **Metabolite SYN545547:**



SYN545547 is a metabolite of SYN545974, which has been identified in animal commodities, primary and rotated crops. A comparative QSAR analysis has been conducted on SYN545547. Based on the structural similarity to parent and absence of any additional QSAR alerts (especially for genotoxicity), the Threshold of Toxicological Concern (TTC) for non-genotoxic compounds ('Cramer Class III') are considered appropriate for assessing dietary exposure to SYN545547.


- **Metabolite 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP)**



2,4,6-trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP) and its related metabolites (hydroxyl TCP sulphate and 2,4,6-TCP sulphate) were identified in animal commodities and are included in the definition of residue only for animal commodities. Toxicological data regarding this substance are available in the published literature as well as in reviews from regulatory agencies and an overview of them are provided in the volume 3 section B.6.8.2. The 2,4,6-TCP has been classified as carcinogen by several international bodies: Carc. Cat. 2 H351 by the European Union (ATP0); carcinogen group 2B by IARC or carcinogen group B2 by US-EPA. This classification is based on the increase incidence of monocytic leukemia observed in male rats and liver tumours observed in mice in long term toxicity studies.

In the rat and mouse, 2,4,6-TCP was the major circulating metabolite of SYN545974 in plasma. Thus, it could be considered that the mammalian toxicity data package on SYN545974 has sufficiently assessed the toxicity of 2,4,6 TCP and its conjugates. However, as previously mentioned, the RMS questioned on the possibility that the doses of SYN545974 selected by the applicant for the long-term studies might be not sufficiently high to cover the carcinogenic potential of TCP, especially in rat (see section 2.6.1 and 2.6.5). In this context, a rationale based on margins of safety was added by the RMS in section 2.6.10.1 and in volume 3 section B.6.8.1. This rationale confirms that the mammalian toxicity data package on SYN545974 has sufficiently assessed the toxicity of 2,4,6 TCP and its conjugates and risk assessment endpoints for SYN545974 can be considered appropriate also for 2,4,6 TCP.

- **Metabolite SYN547897**



SYN547897 is a metabolite of SYN545974, which has been identified in animal commodities in goat liver and goat kidney. After an oral administration of SYN545974 in rat, SYN547897 was detected in plasma accounting

for up to 4.3% of total radioactivity AUC (TRA) and for up to 0.9% in urine. Based on the structural similarity to parent and its presence in rat metabolism, genotoxicity data generated on SYN545974 are considered appropriate to assess SYN547897. Therefore, the Threshold of Toxicological Concern (TTC) for non-genotoxic compounds ('Cramer Class III') are considered appropriate for assessing dietary exposure to SYN547897, if necessary.

### **2.6.8.2 Supplementary studies on the active substance**

A small number of investigative studies have been conducted using SYN545974 as the test item. Samples of liver from the 90 day study in the male rat were analysed for UDP-GT activity and it was concluded that SYN545974 in the male rat was an inducer of hepatic microsomal UDP-GT. However, SYN545974 was not an inhibitor of rat thyroid peroxidase activity *in vitro*.

According to Commission Regulation (EU) No 283/2013 supplementary studies on the immunotoxicological potential are required for an active substance when they are necessary to further clarify observed effects on the immune system. SYN545974 does not fulfil these criteria and specific studies on immunotoxicity would not be required. However, the toxicity database has been evaluated for endpoints considered relevant for the identification of potential immunotoxicity. It was concluded that SYN545974 has no immunotoxic disruption potential.

### **2.6.8.3 Endocrine disrupting properties**

All of the relevant data for SYN545974 for potential endocrine disruption in mammalian species have been evaluated using a weight of evidence approach proposed by the European Chemical Industry Council (CEFIC) Endocrine Modulators Steering Group (EMSG), structured according to the OECD Conceptual Framework for Testing and Assessment of Endocrine Disrupters. SYN545974 has been extensively tested, with the relevant data from the regulatory studies covering a wide range of study types *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These data fall into the levels 2, 4 and 5 of the OECD Conceptual Framework. It was concluded that SYN545974 has no endocrine disruption potential.

## **2.6.9 Summary of medical data and information**

SYN545974 has only been manufactured at pre-production scale at a Syngenta plant in Münchwilen, Switzerland since 2011. Formulation activities have taken place at Syngenta R&D sites at Jealott's Hill, UK, Greensboro, US and Münchwilen, Switzerland. Field trials have also taken place globally including EU, South-Africa, Australia, New Zealand, China, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, USA, Canada, Argentina, Chile, Brazil and Mexico.

The Occupational Health group of Syngenta has maintained a data base of incidents involving chemical exposure of workers since 1983. From 1994 data has been collected from all our manufacturing, formulation and packing sites around the world. A query of the Syngenta internal database in June 2015 for SYN545974 produced zero records of adverse health effects reported during AI manufacture, subsequent formulation and field trials. As SYN545974 is still under development, no commercial sales have been made and therefore there are no observations following human exposure. There are no epidemiology studies or monitoring programs performed in humans.

SYN545974 is of low acute toxicity. Intoxication is only likely if large quantities are ingested. In animal studies, minor clinical signs of toxicity were evident at 5000 mg/kg bw. The same would be expected to occur in humans if similar dose levels were consumed. However, no cases of intoxication with SYN545974 have been observed.

## 2.6.10 Toxicological end points for risk assessment (reference values)

Table 52: Overview of relevant studies for derivation of reference values for risk assessment

Species	Study (method/type, length, route of exposure)	Test substance	Critical effect	NOAEL	LOAEL	Cross reference
Rat Han wistar (CrI:WI(Han))	13-week dietary toxicity study OECD 408, GLP 10/sex/group	SYN545974 (purity 99.5%) 0, 250, 1500, 8000 or 16000 ppm Actual doses 0, 18.6, 111, 587 and 1187 mg/kg/day (males) and 0, 21.6, 127, 727 and 1324 mg/kg/day (females) Continuous in the diet for 13 weeks.	<u>≥1500 ppm</u> : <b>Male</b> : Liver weight increase ≥ 20% of control associated with centrilobular hypertrophy and blood clinical chemistry parameter changes (ALP decrease). Follicular cell hypertrophy in the thyroid gland. <b>Female</b> : Liver weight increase ≥ 15% of control associated blood clinical chemistry parameter changes (ALP decrease). <u>≥ 8000 ppm</u> : reduction in body weight gain (> 20%) and food utilisation in males and females. Liver weight increase ≥ 20% of control associated with centrilobular hypertrophy in females. Follicular cell hypertrophy in the thyroid gland in females.	250 ppm (18.6 mg/kg/day males, 21.6 mg/kg/day females)	1500 ppm (111 mg/kg/day males, 127 mg/kg/day females)	Shearer & Robertson (2015)
Mice CD-1 (CrI:CD-1)	13 week dietary toxicity Study OECD 408 GLP 10/sex/group	SYN545974 (purity 99.5%) 0, 100, 500, 4000 and 7000 ppm Actual dose 0, 17.5, 81.6, 630 and 1158 mg/kg/day (males) and 0, 20.4, 106, 846 and 1483 mg/kg/day (females) Continuous in the diet for 13 weeks	<u>≥ 100 ppm</u> : Liver weight increase ≥ 15% of control associated with hepatocyte hypertrophy and blood clinical chemistry parameter changes (dose-related increase cholesterol) in male. <u>≥4000 ppm</u> : Liver weight increase ≥ 50% of control associated with hepatocyte hypertrophy and blood clinical chemistry parameter changes (increase cholesterol and triglycerides) in female  There was a reduction in bodyweight gain with isolated statistical significance in males at all doses but without dose response in males (by 47%, 5%, 28%, 26%, respectively with increasing doses).	<b>Male</b> 100 ppm (17.5 mg/kg/day male)  <b>Female</b> 500 ppm ( 105.9 mg/kg/day)	<b>Male</b> 500 ppm (81.6 mg/kg bw/d)  <b>Female</b> 4000 ppm (846 mg/kg/day female)	Shearer (2015)
Dog Pure-breed Beagles	13-week oral (capsule) toxicity OECD 409, GLP 4/sex/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 30, 300, 1000 mg/kg/day Capsule administration. No vehicle 13-week duration	<u>≥300 mg/kg bw/day</u> : blood chemical changes (ALP (>200%) and triglyceride increases), liver weight increase (30%) but without corresponding liver histopathological findings observed in males.  <u>1000 mg/kg bw/day</u> : reduced body weight gain, food consumption, blood chemical changes in females (elevated ALP in females and elevated ALP and TG in males, increase liver weight (>40%) associated with liver hepatocyte hypertrophy in both sexes.	<b>Males</b> : 30 mg/kg bw/day  <b>Females</b> : 300 mg/kg bw/day	<b>Males</b> : 300 mg/kg bw/day  <b>Females</b> : 1000 mg/kg bw/day	Blunt (2015a)
Dog Pure-breed Beagles	52-week oral (capsule) toxicity OECD 452	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 30, 100, 300 mg/kg/day	<u>300 mg/kg/d</u> (males): higher alkaline phosphatase levels evident at all time-points and higher liver weights (+35%) but not associated with histopathological changes.	<b>Males</b> 100 mg/kg bw/day	<b>Males</b> 300 mg/kg bw/day	Blunt (2015a)

Species	Study (method/type, length, route of exposure)	Test substance	Critical effect	NOAEL	LOAEL	Cross reference
	GLP 4/sex/group	Capsule administration. No vehicle 52-week duration		<u>Females</u> 300 mg/kg bw/d	<u>Females</u> None	
<i>Rat</i> CrI:CD (SD)	Developmental toxicity OECD 414 GLP Oral (gavage) 24 mated females/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 10, 30 or 100 mg/kg/day on days 6-19 of gestation Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	<u>Maternal:</u> Marginal effects on bodyweight and food consumption were seen at 100 mg/kg/day during gestation days 6-9.  <u>Fetal:</u> None.	<u>Maternal:</u> 100 mg/kg/day  <u>Fetal:</u> 100 mg/kg bw/day	<u>Maternal:</u> >100 mg/kg/day  <u>Fetal:</u> >100 mg/kg bw/day	Davies (2015)
<i>Rabbit</i> New Zealand White	Developmental toxicity OECD 414 GLP Oral (gavage) 24 mated females/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 10, 100 or 500 mg/kg/day on days 6-27 of gestation Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	<u>Maternal:</u> None.  <u>Fetal:</u> Increased incidence of one skeletal variant (rib costal cartilage interrupted) at 100 and 500 mg/kg/day without clear dose response. No historical control data available	<u>Maternal:</u> 500 mg/kg bw/day  <u>Fetal:</u> 10 mg/kg bw/day	<u>Maternal:</u> >500 mg/kg bw/day  <u>Fetal:</u> 100 mg/kg bw/day	Penn (2015b)
<i>Rat</i> CrI:WI (Han)	Two generation reproduction OECD 416 GLP Oral (continuous in diet) 24/sex/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) Males: 0, 150, 750 & 4500 ppm Females: 0, 150, 450 & 1500 ppm Continuous in the diet	<u>750ppm (males)-450 ppm (females):</u> Adaptive responses observed in the liver (slight increased liver weight (<10%) without hepatocellular hypertrophy) in males at ≥ 750 ppm in both generations and in P generation female ≥450 ppm.  <u>4500 ppm (males)-1500 ppm (females):</u> - Reduction in overall cumulative body weight gains (0 to 17 weeks) in P and F1 male generations. - Increased liver weight (≈38% in male and ≈16% in female) in P and F1 generations associated with hepatocellular hypertrophy in P generation (male and female) and in F1 generation (male). - Increased thyroid weight in P generation (male and female) and in F1 generation (male) associated with follicular hypertrophy in the males of the P and F1 generations.  No effects on reproduction observed.	<u>Parental:</u> Males 750 ppm (46 mg/kg bw/day)  Females 450 ppm (36.1 mg/kg bw/day)	<u>Parental:</u> Males 4500 ppm (276.6 mg/kg bw/day)  Females 1500 ppm (116.2 mg/kg bw/day)	Hackford (2015)
			In female F1 pups, a delayed sexual maturation (33 days vs 30.3 days in controls) was observed at 1500	<u>Reproduction:</u> Males ≥ 4500 ppm (276.6 mg/kg bw/day)  Females ≥ 1500 ppm (116.2 mg/kg bw/day)	<u>Reproduction:</u> None	
				<u>Offspring:</u> Males ≥ 4500 ppm	<u>Offspring:</u> Males: None	

Species	Study (method/type, length, route of exposure)	Test substance	Critical effect	NOAEL	LOAEL	Cross reference
			ppm and was not secondary to bodyweight effects. No subsequent effect on oestrus cycling, mating performance or fertility and no effect on ano-genital distance	(276.6 mg/kg bw/day)  Females 450 ppm (31.6 mg/kg bw/day)	Females : 1500 ppm (116 mg/kg bw/day)	
<u>Rat</u>  Han Wistar Crl: WI (Han)	2 year chronic toxicity/ carcinogenicity OECD 453 GLP 64/sex (52/sex/group main group, 12/sex/group interim kill after 12 months)	SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w) Males 0, 200, 1000 & 6000 ppm; Females 0, 150, 450 & 1500 ppm Actual doses 9.9, 51.0 and 319 mg/kg/day (males); 10.2, 31.0 and 102 mg/kg/day (females). Continuous in the diet for 104 weeks	<u>1000 ppm</u> (males): Lower body weight and body weight gain, food utilization, hepatocyte hypertrophy and increased liver weight. <u>1500 ppm</u> (females): Lower body weight and body weight gain, slight liver increase associated with minimal hepatocellular hypertrophy <u>6000 ppm</u> (males): Lower body weight and body weight gain, food consumption and food utilization, increased severity of liver findings (grossly prominent liver lobular architecture at 52 and 104 weeks and hepatocyte cytoplasmic eosinophilic inclusions at 104 week)  No treatment related neoplastic findings.	<u>Males</u> 200 ppm (9.9 mg/kg bw/day);  <u>Females</u> 450 ppm (31 mg/kg bw/day)	<u>Males</u> 1000 ppm (51 mg/kg bw/day)  <u>Females</u> 1500 ppm (102 mg/kg bw/day)	Robertson (2015)
<u>Mouse</u>  CD-1 (ICR)	Carcinogenicity OECD 451 GLP Acceptable 50/sex /group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5% w/w) 0, 75, 375 & 2250 ppm Actual doses 0, 9.2, 45.4 and 287.9 mg/kg/day for males and 0, 9.7, 48.4 and 306.2 mg/kg/day for females Continuous in the diet for 80 weeks	<u>375 ppm</u> (males): increase incidences of hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas at $\geq 375$ ppm, eosinophilic foci of cellular alteration and centrolobular hypertrophy in males only. <u>2250ppm</u> (males): decrease in body weight and body weight gain and food utilization during the early stages of the study. Hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas correlating to liver masses observed at necropsy, eosinophilic foci of cellular alteration, centrilobular hypertrophy and liver weight increase. <u>2250 ppm</u> (females): Decrease in body weight and body weight gain and liver weight increase.  Not oncogenic in female mice.	<u>Males</u> 75 ppm (9.2 mg/kg bw/day)  <u>Females</u> 375 ppm (48.4 mg/kg bw/day)	<u>Males</u> 375 ppm (45.4 mg/kg bw/day)  <u>Females</u> 2250 ppm (306.2 mg/kg bw/day)	Robertson (2015a)
<u>Rat</u>  Han-Wistar (RccHan™ WIST)	Acute oral neurotoxicity study OECD Guideline 424 GLP 10/ sex/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 100 (females only), 300 (males only), 1000 or 2000 mg/kg Single oral (gavage) dose Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	<b>General toxicity</b> <u><math>\geq 1000</math> mg/kg:</u> <u>Males:</u> slight body weight loss on day 1. No further effect on body weight/body weight gain during the course of the study. <u>Females:</u> clinical signs of toxicity on day 1 (recumbency, hunched posture, piloerection, reduced activity, abnormal gait, skin cold to touch and mydriasis 3 to 6 hours post dose). Marked clinical signs ~3.5 hours post-dose in one female at 1000 mg/kg which was euthanized. No dose response in	<b>General toxicity and neurotoxicity:</b> 2000 mg/kg (males) 100 mg/kg (females)	<b>General toxicity and neurotoxicity:</b> > 2000 mg/kg (males) 1000 mg/kg (females)	Broich (2015)

Species	Study (method/type, length, route of exposure)	Test substance	Critical effect	NOAEL	LOAEL	Cross reference
			<p>number of clinical signs or severity.</p> <p><b>Neurotoxicity</b>  <math>\geq 1000</math> mg/kg:  Males: no effect  Females: Lower mean body temperature and decrease in locomotor activity (mean distance travelled and number of rearings).  No clinical or behavioural signs of toxicity evident in any rats after day 1.</p>			
<i>Rat</i> Han Wistar (RccHan™ WIST)	Acute oral neurotoxicity study (modified females only) OECD Guideline 424 10/ females/group	SYN545974 (purity 98.5%) 0, 100, 300 or 1000 mg/kg Single oral gavage dose Vehicle: 1% CMC (w/v)	<p><b>General toxicity</b>  <math>\geq 100</math> mg/kg: clinical signs of toxicity on day 1 (recumbency, piloerection, reduced activity, decreased rearing, skin cold to touch and impaired extensor thrust reflex). However, no dose response evident for clinical signs presence or severity.</p> <p><b>Neurotoxicity</b>  <math>\geq 300</math> mg/kg: Lower mean body temperature and decreased locomotor activity (distance travelled and number of rears) was observed at <math>\geq 300</math> mg/kg. 2/10 animals were also affected at 100 mg/kg.  No clinical or behavioural signs of toxicity were evident in any rats after day 1.</p>	<p><b>General toxicity and neurotoxicity:</b>  100 mg/kg (females)</p>	<p><b>General toxicity and neurotoxicity:</b>  300 mg/kg (females)</p>	Broich (2015a)

### ***2.6.10.1 Toxicological end point for assessment of risk following long-term dietary exposure – ADI (acceptable daily intake)***

The acceptable daily intake (ADI) is typically derived from the NOAEL in the most susceptible species in long term toxicity and multi-generation reproduction toxicity studies with the application of an appropriate uncertainty factor. The dietary route of exposure is considered the most relevant for derivation of this end-point. Table 67 summarizes the relevant no effect levels to be considered for the purpose of deriving the ADI for SYN545974.

The lowest NOAEL in the long-term studies was 9.2 mg/kg bw/day from the 80 week mouse carcinogenicity study. An uncertainty factor of 100 is proposed for derivation of the ADI:

$$\text{ADI} = 9.2 \text{ mg/kg/day} / 100 = \underline{\underline{0.092 \text{ mg/kg/day}}}$$

Liver tumours were observed in the male mouse only in long-term study performed with SYN545974. However, data conclude the CAR MOA has no relevance to humans and in the absence of a relevant genotoxic, carcinogenic, teratogenic, neurotoxic and immunotoxic potential of SYN545974 the use of an uncertainty factor of 100 (10x for intra- and interspecies variation each) is considered justified and sufficiently protective.

#### **RMS consideration regarding the carcinogenic potential of 2,4,6 TCP and the dose level selection for SYN545974 toxicity studies:**

As previously mentioned, the RMS had some reservations regarding the dose level selection which has been proposed by the applicant based on pharmacokinetic data (see section 2.6.1 and B.6.1 in volume 3). The RMS questioned on the possibility that the doses of SYN545974 selected by the applicant for the long-term studies might be not sufficiently high to cover the carcinogenic potential of 2,4,6 TCP (the major circulating metabolite), especially in rat. Indeed, no tumors were observed in rat following a 2-year administration of SYN545974 in male rats at dose up to 300 mg/kg/day whereas leukemias were observed from the dose of 250 mg/kg/day of 2,4,6 TCP in male rat in a long-term toxicity study from the NTP (NCI, 1979). However, the MTD was reached in the 2-year study in rat with SYN545974, as the top dose in the male (300 mg/kg/d) and the top dose in the female (100 mg/kg/d) resulted in a 18% and 9% reduction of body weight, respectively. It can be thus reasonably considered that the carcinogenic potential of SYN545974 was appropriately assessed.

To consolidate this conclusion, a rationale was also proposed by the RMS based on margins of safety. Thus, systemic exposure of 2,4,6 TCP (and related compound) have been estimated for higher doses of SYN545974 (>300 and up to 1000mg/kg bw/day) to verify whether these non-experimentally tested doses would have actually covered the carcinogenic potential of 2,4,6 TCP. Taking into consideration the dose-limited oral absorption, the systemic exposure of 2,4,6 TCP estimated following an oral administration of SYN545974 in rats at 1000 mg/kg b.w./day, would give a value close to the dose of TCP which causes 25% leukemia in the rat (T25) in the NTP study (see detailed calculations in volume 3 B.6). If we consider this T25 value as the estimated LOAEL where a carcinogenic potential might be observed after 2-year exposure in rat, a margin of safety of 100 (1000/9.9) and of 10000 (1000/0.092) against, respectively, the NOAEL of the study and the ADI, can be calculated. These Margins of safety are considered sufficient as no indication of a genotoxic mode of action for SYN545974 or 2,4,6 TCP have been highlighted.

### ***2.6.10.2 Toxicological end point for assessment of risk following acute dietary exposure - ARfD (acute reference dose)***

Calculation of the ARfD, in the absence of a specific study designed to determine this endpoint, is based on a consideration of the NOAELs for “acute effects” observed in studies ranging from acute to sub-chronic exposure durations. Relevant NOAELs may be derived from studies involving administration of a single dose or from repeat dose studies in which effects are noted during the initial days of dosing. The studies of relevance to establishing an ARfD for SYN545974 are summarised in Table 67.

Looking across the studies summarised in Table 67, SYN545974 caused effects after a single high dose in the acute neurotoxicity studies. Transient clinical signs and effect on body temperature and locomotor activity (LMA) were observed in female rats after single gavage dose of 1000 mg/kg bw and above in the main study. In the modified study (females only) the same effects were observed at  $\geq 300$  mg/kg bw. All signs of toxicity were

resolved by day 2.

For the prenatal developmental toxicity study in the rabbit, a marginally increased incidence of rib cartilage variant (one or more costal cartilage interrupted) was observed at 100 and 500 mg/kg/day but without a clear dose response. The absence of available historical control data leads difficult the interpretation of this finding. However in a conservative approach, the NOAEL for embryo-fetal development was considered to be 10 mg/kg/day.

It is proposed thus that the ARfD should be based on the NOAEL of 10 mg/kg/day from the prenatal developmental toxicity study in rabbit with an uncertainty factor of 100.

$$\text{ARfD} = 10 \text{ mg/kg/day} / 100 = \underline{\underline{0.1 \text{ mg/kg/day}}}$$

*Remark: The applicant considered the functional effects (decrease motor activity) observed from 100 mg/kg as general toxicity, only transient (observed only after day 1) and consequently non-adverse. Moreover, he considered that no treatment related adverse effects were observed in the developmental toxicity studies. On this basis, he proposed an ARfD of 1 mg/kg/day based on the rat developmental toxicity study with an uncertainty factor of 100.*

### **2.6.10.3 Toxicological end point for assessment of occupational, bystander and residents risks – AOEL (acceptable operator exposure level)**

Considering all available sub-chronic toxicity studies available with SYN545974 (Table 67), the male mouse seems to be the most sensitive species with a NOAEL of 17.5 mg/kg bw/day in the 90-day mouse studies although the 90-day study in rat gave similar NOAELs of 18.6-21.6 mg/kg bw/day. However, as a marginally increased incidence of rib cartilage variant (one or more costal cartilage interrupted) was observed at 100 and 500 mg/kg/day (no clear dose-response, no historical control data available) in the prenatal developmental toxicity in rabbit, it is thus proposed that the AOEL should be based on the NOAEL of 10 mg/kg/day from this study in rabbit with an uncertainty factor of 100. As demonstrated by the comparative intravenous and oral absorption study, the oral bioavailability value was 85-90%. No correction is therefore required for the extent of oral absorption.

$$\text{AOEL} = 10 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} / 100 = \underline{\underline{0.1 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}}$$

*Remark: The Applicant proposed a higher AOEL of 0.46 mg/kg bw/d based on the NOAEL derived in the 2-generation study, taking into account of the differences in dose spacing between the 2-generation and 90-day studies in rat since he considered that the NOAEL in the 90-day study in male mouse was 81 mg/kg bw/d instead of 17.5 mg/kg bw/d (as proposed by the RMS).*

### **2.6.10.4 Toxicological end point for assessment of occupational, bystander and residents risks – AAOEL (acute acceptable operator exposure level)**

An acute AOEL of 0.1 mg/kg bw/day is proposed. This value is derived on the same basis as the ARfD and taking into account that no correction for the extent of oral absorption is required.

$$\text{AAOEL} = 10 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} / 100 = \underline{\underline{0.1 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}}$$

## **2.6.11 Summary of product exposure and risk assessment**

### **Operators**

Applications in grape and tomato have been presented as the worst case for high and low crops respectively to estimate exposure to operators applying A19649B using a vehicle-mounted sprayer. According to the EFSA model the total systemic exposure to SYN545974 for operators applying A19649B to high crops is 3.1% of the AOEL and 8.8% of the AAOEL and for application in low crops the exposure is 0.65% of the AOEL and 3.2%

of the AAOEL. Therefore it can be concluded that the risk for the operator using A19649B during high and low crop tractor application is acceptable without requiring the use of PPE (standard workwear considered) .

**Bystander and resident**

For the worst case scenario (i.e. application in grapes) the predicted levels of exposure based on the EFSA model for residents are all within the AOEL of SYN545974 (2.09% and 0.71% of the AOEL for child and adult resident respectively, sum of all pathways). For bystander, the worst case scenario is also an application of A19649B on grapes (high crops) and the predicted levels of exposure based on the EFSA model are below the AAOEL (1.47% and 0.73% for child and adult bystander exposed to spray drift pathway (worst exposure)) Therefore it can be concluded that there is no undue risk to the resident or bystander after incidental exposure to A19649B.

**Worker**

According to the EFSA model worker, exposure amounts to 1.3% of the AOEL for hand harvesting in low crops, 14% of the AOEL for re-entry activities in grapes and 2.3 % of the AOEL for re-entry activities in tree crops. Using the measured DFR data in grape and pome for A19649B, the re-entry worker exposure is 7.4% and 0.4% of the AOEL for grape and pome respectively. Therefore there is no unacceptable risk anticipated for the worker wearing adequate clothing (but no PPE), when re-entering crops treated with A19649B.

**2.7 RESIDUE**

**2.7.1 Summary of storage stability of residues**

Storage stability of SYN545974 has been investigated in plant commodities. In livestock tissues, storage stability of parent SYN545974 and metabolites SYN508272, SYN548264, SYN547897, SYN548263 and conjugated 2,4,6-TCP have been investigated. Summary of storage stability data submitted are reported in Table 2.7.1-1 and **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable..**

**Table 2.7.1-1 Summary of storage stability data in plants**

Compound	Category	Commodity	Stability up to (months)
SYN545974	High water	Lettuce head	23
	High oil	Rape seed	23
	High protein	Adzuki bean	23
	High starch	Wheat grain	23
		Potato tuber	23
	High acid	Orange fruit	23

**Table 2.7.1-2 Summary of storage stability data in livestock tissues**

Compound	Commodity	Stability up to (months)
SYN545974	Bovine muscle	12
	Bovine liver	12
	Bovine milk	12
	Bovine fat	12
	Chicken eggs	12

Compound	Commodity	Stability up to (months)
SYN508272	Bovine milk	12
SYN548264	Bovine milk	12
SYN547897	Bovine liver	6
	Bovine kidney	12
SYN548263	Bovine kidney	12
conjugated 2,4,6-TCP	Bovine muscle	12
	Bovine liver	12
	Bovine kidney	12
	Bovine milk	12
	Bovine fat	12
	Chicken eggs	12

Storage stability studies were conducted on at least one commodity from each of the five matrices groups “high water”, “high starch”, “high acid”, “high protein” and “high oil” content for plant commodities. In addition, Syngenta submitted studies on animal matrices. Then, according to OECD guideline on stability, it can be concluded that SYN545974 was shown to be stable in all plant matrices for at least 23 months and up to 12 months in muscle, liver, milk, fat and eggs.

Metabolites SYN508272 and SYN548264 were shown to be stable for 12 months in milk when stored at -18°C. Both metabolites SYN548263 and SYN547897 are considered to be stable in kidney for 12 months. However, storage stability data on metabolite SYN547897 in bovine liver has shown decline after a freezer storage period of 6 months.

Residues of conjugated 2,4,6-trichlorophenol are expected to be stable in animal commodities when stored deep frozen at typically  $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 12 months.

**Storage period of samples analysed in residue trials, field rotational crops and feeding studies are covered by storage stability data.**

#### Stability of residues in sample extract

No study was conducted. However, control samples fortified with the test substance were always extracted and analysed concurrently with the untreated and treated samples of the studies. The satisfactory recovery rates obtained from the fortified samples demonstrated the stability of the residues in the sample extracts throughout the analytical procedure, from extraction until chromatographic determination.

### **2.7.2 Summary of metabolism, distribution and expression of residues in plants, poultry, lactating ruminants, pigs and fish**

The primary metabolism in plants was evaluated on cereals (wheat), fruits and fruiting vegetables (tomato) and pulses and oilseeds (oilseed rape) group. Studies were conducted using [phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974. The characteristics of these studies are summarized in **Table 2.7.2-1**.

**Table 2.7.2-1 : Summary of available metabolism studies in plants**

Group	Crop	Label	Application and sampling details				
			Method, F or G <sup>(a)</sup>	Application rate	BBCH growth stage at application	Number	Sampling (DAT)
Cereals	Wheat	[phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974	Foliar spray application, F	125 g as/ha	BBCH 32-34 and 58	2	Forage : 10d after application 1 Hay : 29d after application 2 Straw and grain: 50d after application 2
Fruits and fruiting vegetables	Tomato	[phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974	Foliar spray application, G	200 g as/ha	BBCH 83 and 86	2	1 and 14 days (fruits only)
			Soil application, G	20 mg as/plant	transplanting stage	1	103 days (fruit only)
Oilseed group	Oilseed rape	[phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974	Foliar spray application, F	150 g as/ha	BBCH 65	1	62 days (seed and trash)

a: Field or Glasshouse

Metabolism studies conducted with crops representative of three different crop groups based on the commercially recommended use pattern, i.e. post emergence foliar treatment or a single soil application, have provided a detailed understanding of the metabolism of SYN545974 in food and feed commodities (see Table 2.7.2-2). The metabolic pathways in the three studies are similar. In all cases, unchanged parent SYN545974 was reported to be the major compound.

Levels of SYN545974 were greatest in foliar treated commodities: tomato fruit (91.7% to 96.6% TRR), wheat (70.5% to 91.0% TRR), and oil seed rape (30.0% to 62.6% TRR). Following a single soil application 4.1% TRR was detected as SYN545974 in tomato fruit.

Metabolism was limited and the principal metabolic transformations of SYN545974 in all commodities occurred via reduction of the parent molecule to produce SYN545547 and via demethylation of the pyrazole ring to produce SYN547891. Residues of SYN545547 and SYN547891 accounted for a maximum of 6.1% TRR and 8.3% TRR, respectively, across all commodities. All metabolites identified were found in their free non-conjugated form.

The level of metabolism in foliar applied tomato fruit was the least extensive of the three crop metabolism studies with significant radioactive residue remaining on the surface of the fruit up to 14 days after application (1DAA: 96.8% to 98.4% TRR; 14DAA: 88.9% to 95.0% TRR). Mature tomato fruit grown in soil treated with SYN545974 showed minimal up-take from the soil resulting in low residues ( $\leq 0.013$  mg/kg).

Following foliar application, the level of metabolism of SYN545974 was most extensive in oil seed rape. The principal metabolic transformation of SYN545974 in oil seed commodities occurred via reduction of the parent molecule to produce SYN545547 (trash: 2.8-3.7% TRR; seed:  $\leq 6.1\%$  TRR) and via demethylation of the pyrazole ring to produce SYN547891 (trash: 3.3-5.1% TRR; seed:  $\leq 2.7\%$  TRR). Absolute residues of metabolites did not exceed 0.003 mg/kg. Multiple unidentified polar components were detected in oil seed rape commodities with none individually exceeding 8.4% TRR. Unextracted residues accounted for  $\leq 7.1\%$  TRR ( $\leq 0.004$  mg/kg) in trash, and  $\leq 28.2\%$  TRR ( $\leq 0.005$  mg/kg) in grain.

The extent of metabolism in all wheat commodities was less than that observed in oil seed rape with levels of individual metabolites identified always  $\leq 8.3\%$  TRR.

Metabolites via reduction of the parent molecule to produce SYN545547 and via demethylation of the pyrazole ring to produce SYN547891 were the only metabolites identified. Residues levels of SYN545547 accounted for 1.4% to 3.9% TRR with the largest absolute residues detected in wheat straw (0.059 mg/kg, 3.9% TRR). Residue levels of SYN547891 accounted for 1.2% to 8.3% TRR with maximum levels detected in wheat grain. The largest absolute residue was detected in straw (0.065 mg/kg, 4.3% TRR). Multiple unidentified polar components were detected in wheat forage, hay and grain with none individually exceeding 3.3% TRR. Unextracted residues accounted for  $\leq 6.1\%$  TRR ( $\leq 0.093$  mg/kg) in feed items, and  $\leq 15.2\%$  TRR ( $\leq 0.009$  mg/kg) in grain.

The level of metabolism in tomato fruit was the least extensive of the three crop metabolism studies with individual identified metabolite levels always  $\leq 3.6\%$  TRR.


The surface of the foliar applied tomato fruit samples were washed by immersion into acetonitrile and significant radioactivity was quantified in the surface wash solution. Following analysis of the surface wash solution and extraction of the fruit tissue, metabolite SYN545547 was detected in all fruit samples analysed, up to a maximum level of 3.6% TRR. The metabolite SYN547891 was detected in foliar treated tomato fruit only up to a maximum of 1.6% TRR. Fruit harvested from plants grown in soil treated with SYN545974 resulted in minimal translocation of the radioactivity from the soil and demonstrated extensive metabolism of the residue into multiple unidentified low level metabolites, with no individual component exceeding 11.9% TRR (0.002 mg/kg).

Table 2.7.2-2 : Summarized results of available plant metabolism studies of SYN545974

Study	Spring Wheat (cereals group)								Tomatoes (fruiting and vegetables group)						Oilseed rape (oilseed group)			
	foliar spray								foliar spray			soil application			foliar spray			
GAP	2x125 g as/ha, BBCH 32-34 and BBCH 58								2x200 g as/ha, 7d interval, BBCH 83 and BBCH 86 (at least 1N rate compared to intended cGAP)						1x20mg as/plant			
Matrix	forage		hay		straw		grain		fruit				fruit		seed		trash	
PHI (days)	10d after app.1		29d after app.2		50 d after app.2		50 d after app.2		1 d		14 d		103 d		62 d		62 d	
BBCH	BBCH39		BBCH 77		BBCH 89		BBCH 89		BBCH 89				BBCH 89		BBCH 89 (maturity)		BBCH 89 (maturity)	
Radiolabels	<i>phenyl</i> 14C	<i>pyrazole</i> 14C	<i>phenyl</i> 14C	<i>pyrazole</i> 14C	<i>phenyl</i> 14C	<i>pyrazole</i> 14C	<i>phenyl</i> 14C	<i>pyrazole</i> 14C	<i>phenyl</i> 14C	<i>pyrazole</i> 14C	<i>phenyl</i> 14C	<i>pyrazole</i> 14C	<i>phenyl</i> 14C	<i>pyrazole</i> 14C	<i>phenyl</i> 14C	<i>pyrazole</i> 14C	<i>phenyl</i> 14C	<i>pyrazole</i> 14C
extractable TRR % (mg/kg)	96,5 (0,327)	95,6 (0,445)	94,2 (0,92)	94,2 (1,311)	95,8 (1,232)	94,5 (1,443)	90,4 (0,033)	84,9 (0,048)	100 (0,520)	98,4 (0,473)	99,7 (0,640)	100 (0,632)	NC	97,5 (0,013)	74,5 (0,015)	71,8 (0,014)	81,3 (0,051)	75,6 (0,046)
SYN545974	91 (0,307)	84,3 (0,392)	84,1 (0,821)	70,5 (0,981)	83,6 (1,075)	76,4 (1,167)	81,5 (0,030)	81,6 (0,046)	91,7 (0,477)	95,9 (0,461)	92,2 (0,592)	96,6 (0,611)		4,1 (0,001)	62,6 (0,012)	39,2 (0,007)	50,9 (0,032)	30,0 (0,018)
SYN545547	1,4 (0,005)	2,7 (0,012)	2,4 (0,023)	2,4 (0,034)	2,8 (0,036)	3,9 (0,059)	2,9 (0,001)	2,6 (0,001)	3,6 (0,019)	1,8 (0,009)	3,3 (0,021)	1,4 (0,009)		0,4 (<0,001)	ND	6,1 (0,001)	3,7 (0,002)	2,8 (0,002)
SYN547891	1,2 (0,004)	2,4 (0,011)	3,0 (0,029)	3,6 (0,049)	2,4 (0,032)	4,3 (0,065)	8,3 (0,003)	7,8 (0,004)	1,4 (0,007)	0,6 (0,003)	1,6 (0,011)	1,0 (0,006)		ND	2,7 (0,001)	ND	5,1 (0,003)	3,3 (0,002)
Unassigned % (mg/kg)	NA	2,5 (0,012)	4,2 (0,041)	13,8 (0,189)			NA	3,3 (0,002)	2,1 (0,010)	ND	2,5 (0,015)	1,0 (0,006)		88,9 (0,008)	6,0 (0,001)	8,6 (0,002)	6,2 (0,004)	34,9 (0,022)
Uncharacterised Extract					1,9 (0,024)	1,5 (0,023)								2,6 (<0,001)				
total identified %	93,6	89,4	89,5	76,5	88,8	84,6	92,7	92	96,7	98,3	97,1	99						
non extracted TRR %	3,5 (0,012)	4,4 (0,02)	5,8 (0,057)	5,7 (0,079)	4,6 (0,059)	6,1 (0,093)	9,6 (0,004)	15,2 (0,009)	0,1 (0,001)	1,6 (0,008)	0,3 (0,002)	0,1 (0,001)	NC	2,6 (<0,001)	25,5 (0,005)	28,2 (0,005)	6,5 (0,004)	7,1 (0,004)
Total TRR	100	100	100	99,9	100	101	100	100	100 (0,521)	100 (0,481)	100 (0,642)	100 (0,633)		100 (0,013)	100 (0,02)	100 (0,019)	100 (0,062)	100 (0,061)

According to the results of metabolism in primary crops, reduction of the parent molecule and demethylation of the pyrazole represent the principle metabolic transformations (Figure 2.7.2-1) observed in all three crops with additional metabolism into multiple polar low residue components detected at levels at which identification was not required.

Figure 2.7.2-1 : Proposed metabolic pathway of SYN545974 in crops



The metabolism in livestock was evaluated using data on laying hen and lactating goat. Studies were conducted using [phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974. The characteristics of these studies are summarized in Table 2.7.2-3.

Table 2.7.2-3: Summary of available metabolism studies in animals

Group	Species	Label position	No of animal	Application details		Sample details	
				Rate (mg/kg bw per d)	Duration (days)	Commodity	Time
Laying poultry	Hens	[phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974	6 per radiolabel	3.3 - 3.6 <i>(876 N compared to layer hen dietary burden intake)</i>	14	Urine and faeces	Once daily
						Egg yolk	
						Egg white	
						Liver	At sacrifice (11 hours after final dose)
Muscle							
						Skin and fat	
Lactating ruminants	Goats	[phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974	1 per radiolabel	4.6 <i>( 472 N compared to dairy cattle dietary burden intake)</i>	7	Urine and faeces	Once daily
						Milk	
						liver	At sacrifice (11 hours after final dose)
						kidneys	
						fat	
						Muscle	

The nature of SYN545974 residues in commodities of animal origin was investigated in 2 studies in lactating goats and laying hens using [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974 and [phenyl-U-14C]-SYN545974.

Following the repeated oral administration of radiolabeled SYN545974 to goats and laying hens (for 7 and 14 days respectively, at a rate of 4.6 and 3.6 mg a.s. /kg bodyweight), a high proportion of the dose was eliminated in the excreta. There was no evidence of any significant accumulation of radioactivity in eggs or edible tissues in laying hen. In lactating goats, 0.4% of the radioactivity was recovered in liver and no accumulation was detected in milk and other edible tissues.

In metabolism studies in hens and goat, the following compounds have been identified: SYN545974, SYN545547, SYN547948, SYN547897, SYN547891, 2,4,6-TCP, SYN508272 and NOA449410. Metabolites [SYN545974]-OH, SYN548263, SYN548264 were identified in goat metabolism only.

In laying hens, a high proportion of the dose was eliminated in the excreta (84.3-99.1%). The major residues in egg whites were unchanged parent (0.014 - 0.025 mg/kg, 26.6 - 46.5 % of the TRR) and SYN508272 (34.3% TRR, 0.018 mg/kg, pyrazole label only). In egg yolk, the same metabolite was observed but at lower level. The major residue in egg yolk were 2,4,6 TCP (0.242 mg/kg, 67.8% of the TRR - phenyl label only) and parent compound (0.011-0.012 mg/kg, 3-12% of the TRR).

2,4,6 TCP and SYN508272 were also the main metabolites identified in muscle with 0.013 mg/kg (48.4% of the TRR for phenyl label) and 0.01 mg/kg (46.3% of the TRR for pyrazole label) respectively. Parent compound was detected below 0.01 mg/kg. The same compounds were identified in skin and fat. 2,4,6 TCP accounted for 0.03 mg/kg (29.3% of the TRR for phenyl label only) and parent compound was identified at 0.01-0.017 mg/kg (30.6-16.6% of the TRR). SYN508272 accounted for less than 0.01 mg/kg in skin and fat.

In liver, almost 50% of the TRR was non-extracted following solvent extraction. After further characterization (sodium dodecyl sulphate solution and protease enzyme hydrolysis), these residues were shown to be a complex mixture of unassigned and highly polar metabolites. Unchanged parent compound was the main recovered compound with 0.021 mg/kg (5.3% of the TRR).

In lactating goat, a high proportion of the dose was eliminated in the excreta too (76.3-84.2%). There was no accumulation of radioactivity in edible tissues except in liver (0.4% of the administered dose i.e. 0.0184 mg/kg). Liver was also the tissue with the highest non extracted radioactivity level (up to 52.6%). After a combination of sodium dodecyl sulphate, and protease enzyme digestion these residues were shown to be a complex mixture of unassigned and highly polar metabolites no single one of which accounting for >7.4% TRR (>0.517 mg/kg).

The major residues in liver were unchanged parent compound (0.179 mg/kg – 0.57 mg/kg, 2.0-8.2% of the TRR), SYN547897 (up to 0.268 mg/kg, 3.0% of the TRR), SYN545547 (up to 0.239 mg/kg, 3.4% of the TRR), NOA449410 (0.248 mg/kg, 2.9 % of the TRR for pyrazole label only), SYN547948 (up to 0.180 mg/kg, 2.6 % of the TRR). Metabolite 2,4,6 TCP was also found at 0.037 mg/kg (0.5% of the TRR for phenyl label only).

In kidney, both metabolites SYN548263 and NOA449410 were detected with levels above 10% of the TRR (0.389 mg/kg and 0.275 mg/kg respectively) for pyrazole label only. All other compounds accounted for less than 10 % of the TRR. SYN545547 were detected in phenyl label at 0.128 mg/kg (7.4% of the TRR)

In milk, 2,4,6 TCP was the main metabolite with 0.052 mg/kg (43.2% of the TRR for phenyl label only). Metabolites SYN548264, SYN548263 and SYN508272 were identified in pyrazole label only at levels ranging from 0.014 to 0.038 mg/kg (>10% TRR).

The major component in muscle was the unchanged parent compound (up to 0.025 mg/kg, 24.4 % of the TRR) followed by metabolite SYN508272 with 0.024 mg/kg (17.7 % of the TRR for pyrazole label only). Other metabolites were detected below 0.01 mg/kg.

In fat, parent SYN545974 was the main identified compound (up to 0.206 mg/kg, 73.8% of the TRR)

The metabolism of SYN545974 in the rat was consistent with that of the lactating goat (ruminant) and laying hen.

**Table 2.7.2-4: Summarized results of available livestock metabolism studies of SYN545974**

time after administration	laying hen (6 per radiolabelled)										ruminant-lactating goats										
	liver		egg yolk		egg white		muscle		skin&fat		milk		liver		kidney		muscle		fat		
	11h										11h										
	<i>phenyl 14C</i>	<i>pyrazol e 14C</i>	<i>phenyl 14C</i>	<i>pyrazol e 14C</i>	<i>phenyl 14C</i>	<i>pyrazol e 14C</i>	<i>phenyl 14C</i>	<i>pyrazol e 14C</i>	<i>phenyl 14C</i>	<i>pyrazol e 14C</i>	<i>phenyl 14C</i>	<i>pyrazol e 14C</i>	<i>phenyl 14C</i>	<i>pyrazol e 14C</i>	<i>phenyl 14C</i>	<i>pyrazol e 14C</i>	<i>phenyl 14C</i>	<i>pyrazol e 14C</i>	<i>phenyl 14C</i>	<i>pyrazol e 14C</i>	
TRR % (mg/kg)	51,7 (0,209)	52,5 (0,111)	87 (0,311)	81,2 (0,087)	97,7 (0,052)	98,8 (0,051)	84,2 (0,023)	90,1 (0,018)	95,8 (0,096)	91,5 (0,029)	92,3 (0,112)	93,9 (0,124)	50,4 (3,520)	47,4 (4,184)	83,4 (1,443)	90,7 (2,123)	86 (0,087)	94,3 (0,130)	98,8 (0,219)	97,6 (0,272)	
<b>SYN545974</b>	5,3 (0,021)	0,5 (0,001)	3,0 (0,011)	11 (0,012)	46,5 (0,025)	26,6 (0,014)	8,7 (0,002)	4,7 (0,001)	16,6 (0,017)	30,6 (0,01)	15,7 (0,019)	8,7 (0,011)	8,2 (0,57)	2,0 (0,179)	0,8 (0,014)	0,5 (0,011)	24,4 (0,025)	13,4 (0,018)	67,2 (0,149)	73,8 (0,206)	
SYN545547	1,2 (0,005)	3,3 (0,007)	nd	3,9 (0,004)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	3,4 (0,239)	1,8 (0,160)	7,4 (0,128)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
SYN547948	0,7 (0,003)	3,2 (0,007)	nd	1,3 (0,001)	7,1 (0,004)	5,5 (0,003)	3,4 (0,001)	1,6 (<0,001)	3 (0,003)	4,1 (0,001)	2,2 (0,003)	0,7 (0,001)	2,6 (0,180)	1,9 (0,170)	0,9 (0,016)	0,7 (0,016)	3,8 (0,004)	1,1 (0,002)	5,3 (0,012)	3,3 (0,009)	
SYN547897	2,4 (0,009)	0,9 (0,002)	2,3 (0,008)	6,7 (0,007)	nd	nd	nd	1,1 (<0,001)	1,7 (0,002)	2,6 (0,001)	nd	nd	1,9 (0,136)	3,0 (0,268)	2,9 (0,050)	2,7 (0,063)	1,8 (0,002)	1,2 (0,002)	nd	nd	
SYN547891	0,2 (0,001)	nd	nd	2,5 (0,003)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1,4 (0,100)	0,4 (0,038)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	
[SYN545974]-OH											nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	8,6 (0,019)	10,2 (0,028)
SYN548263												14,2 (0,019)		nd		16,6 (0,389)		4,9 (0,007)		4,3 (0,012)	
SYN548264												28,7 (0,038)		nd		0,8 (0,019)		0,6 (0,001)		nd	
2,4,6-TCP	nd		67,8 (0,242)		14,5 (0,008)		48,4 (0,013)		29,3 (0,03)		43,2 (0,052)		0,5 (0,037)		1,2 (0,021)		9,0 (0,009)		nd		
SYN508272		2,4 (0,005)		7,2 (0,008)		34,3 (0,018)		46,3 (0,01)		9,6 (0,003)		11,0 (0,014)		nd		1,5 (0,036)		17,7 (0,024)		1,0 (0,003)	
NOA449410		nd		6,6 (0,007)		15,4 (0,008)		nd		3,1 (0,001)		2,6 (0,003)		2,9 (0,248)		11,7 (0,275)		3,6 (0,005)		nd	
non extracted TRR %	48,3 (0,195)	47,5 (0,100)	13,0 (0,047)	18,7 (0,02)	2,3 (0,001)	1,2 (0,001)	15,8 (0,004)	9,9 (0,002)	4,3 (0,004)	8,4 (0,003)	7,7 (0,009)	6,1 (0,008)	49,7 (3,471)	52,6 (4,643)	16,6 (0,287)	9,2 (0,215)	14 (0,014)	5,7 (0,008)	1,1 (0,002)	2,4 (0,007)	
Total TRR	100	100	100	99,9	100	100	100	100	100,1	99,9	100	100	100,1	100	100	99,9	100	100	99,9	100	

Figure 2.7.2-2 : Proposed metabolic pathway for SYN545974 in laying hens





Figure 2.7.2-3: Proposed metabolic pathway of SYN545974 in ruminants



### 2.7.3 Definition of the residue

#### Residue definition in plants

Proposed residue definition is based on results from primary crop metabolism studies. Results from rat metabolism study as well as toxicology studies were also considered for residue definition proposal.

According to metabolism studies conducted on wheat, tomato and oilseed rape, the parent SYN545974 is the major component: 71-91% TRR in wheat commodities, 92 – 97% TRR in tomato fruit and 30-63% TRR in oilseed rape.

It is therefore considered that SYN545974 is a good marker and it is proposed that the residue definition for enforcement in plant commodities is SYN545974 only.

Metabolism was limited in plant commodities and the metabolites identified were intact molecules. The principal metabolic transformations of SYN545974 in all commodities occurred via reduction of the parent molecule to produce SYN545547 and via demethylation of the pyrazole ring to produce SYN547891.

Both metabolites SYN545547 and SYN547891 (glucuronide only) were present in rat metabolism studies. Considering toxicological properties of these metabolites and the amounts recovered in plants commodities, it is not considered necessary to include them in the residue definitions.

In summary, the only compound present at significant levels in crops that is considered relevant to human health risk assessment is unchanged SYN545974.

**In addition, metabolism of SYN545974 in succeeding crops was investigated on leafy vegetable (lettuce), root and tuber vegetables (turnip) and cereals (wheat). The results confirm the very limited metabolism and the high proportion of parent compound.**

Therefore the proposed residue definitions in commodities of plant origin for risk assessment and monitoring purposes are parent SYN545974 only.

Definition of Residue for MRL Setting and Enforcement	SYN545974
Definition of Residue for Risk Assessment	SYN545974

### Residue definition in animal commodities

In livestock metabolism, numerous metabolites were identified.

- SYN548264

SYN548264 was a significant component in goat milk at 28.7% TRR (0.038mg/kg, parent equivalents). As a result, bovine whole milk, cream and skimmed milk samples from the dairy cow feeding study were analysed for SYN548264, residue levels were <0.01-0.01 mg/kg in samples from animals dosed at the highest (150 mg/kg DM per day) feeding rate. This metabolite was not recovered in the poultry metabolism study. This compound was found in the rat metabolisms study.

- SYN547891

SYN547891, formed by demethylation of the pyrazole ring in parent, was present at low levels in animal commodities with maximum levels of 2.5% TRR (0.003 mg/kg) in egg yolk and 1.4% of the TRR (0.100 mg/kg) in ruminant liver.

- SYN547948

SYN547948 was present at low levels in most commodities with maximum levels in egg white at 7.1% TRR (0.004 mg/kg) and in goat liver at 2.6% TRR (0.180 mg/kg).

- [SYN545974]-OH

Another hydroxylated form of parent, where the location of hydroxy functionality is uncertain, [SYN545974]-OH was present only in bovine fat up to 10.2% TRR (0.028 mg/kg).

**Metabolites SYN548264, SYN547891, SYN547948 and [SYN545974]-OH were found or are precursors of compounds (glucuronide) found in the rat metabolism studies. Their levels are expected to be below the LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg in livestock commodities considering the dietary burdens calculated in framework of this monograph. Thus, these metabolites were not included in the residue definition.**

- NOA449410

NOA449410 is a metabolite common to isopyrazam, bixafen, fluxapyroxad and benzovindiflupry and show a very similar structure as the x-fluoromethyl pyrazole structured metabolites of penflufen, penthiopyrad and sedaxane. NOA449410 was present in several commodities with maximum levels in egg white (15.4% TRR, 0.008 mg/kg), goat kidney (11.7% TRR, 0.275 mg/kg) and goat liver (2.9% TRR, 0.248 mg/kg). NOA449410 was not present in the rat metabolism study. A number of toxicological studies were carried out on this compound (see vol3 B6) showing it to be of low toxicological concern. This metabolite was not sought in the feeding studies. Considering toxicological properties of this metabolite and its amounts in livestock commodities (lowered to the dietary burden intake calculated), it is not considered necessary to include NOA449410 in the residue definitions.

- SYN545547

SYN545547, formed by methoxylation of parent, was present in hen liver, egg yolk, goat liver and kidney only, with maximum levels of 7.4% TRR (0.128 mg/kg) and 3.4% (0.239 mg/kg) in the kidney and liver, respectively,

of phenyl labelled goat. SYN545547 was not seen in the kidney of the corresponding pyrazole labelled goat. SYN545547 was found in egg yolk at low levels and was not present in meat, fat, egg white or milk. This metabolite was recovered in the rat metabolism studies. However its appearance was not investigated in the feeding studies. Considering toxicological properties of this metabolite and its amounts in livestock commodities (lowered to the dietary burden intake calculated), it is not considered necessary to include it in the residue definitions.

- SYN547897

SYN547897 was present as the conjugated metabolite in many animal commodities with maximum levels of 3.0% TRR (0.268 mg/kg) and 2.9% TRR (0.05 mg/kg) in goat liver and goat kidney, respectively.

Liver and kidney samples from the dairy cow feeding study were analysed for SYN547897 (sum of free and conjugated), highest residue levels were 0.06 mg/kg (liver and kidney) in samples from animals dosed at 15 mg/kg DM per day (41.1N compared to the dietary burden intake calculated for dairy cow). Then, SYN547897 level is not expected to be above the LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg in livestock commodities considering the dietary burdens calculated in framework of this monograph. SYN547897 was present in the rat metabolism study but below 10% in urine and blood.

**Considering that:**

- **it is found at higher levels (max. 0.06/0.06 mg/kg, 0.24/0.36 mg/kg and 0.58/0.59 mg/kg for each dosing level) than parent compound (<LOQ/0.02, <LOQ/0.05, max.0.03/0.12 mg/kg for each dosing level) in the feeding study respectively in kidney/liver,**
- **no toxicological data are available for this compound and it is not sufficiently covered by parent compound (although its structure is very similar),**

**RMS considers relevant to include SYN547897 in the residue definition for consumer risk assessment, separately. As SYN547897 is ascribed to ‘Cramer Class III’ and does not trigger in-silico alerts for genotoxicity or neurotoxicity, a TTC of 1.5 µg/kg bw/d can be used for the assessment of chronic and acute consumer risk in relation to this metabolite species.**

- 2,4,6-trichlorophenol

In metabolism studies, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (predominantly present in conjugated form) was identified in most commodities, being the majority compound in egg yolk with 67.8% TRR (0.242 mg/kg), poultry muscle with 48.4% TRR (0.013mg/kg), fat (29.3% TRR, 0.03 mg/kg) and in milk with 43.2% TRR (0.05 mg/kg). This metabolite was also measured in feeding studies where residue levels of 0.01 mg/kg and 0.02 mg/kg were recovered in bovine kidney and cream at 41.1N dose rate and up to 0.013 mg/kg in egg yolk at 38.9 N dose rate. Conjugated 2,4,6-trichlorophenol was the major metabolite in rats, accounting for 44% of circulating radioactivity at the 300 mg/kg dose level therefore the toxicity of conjugated 2,4,6-trichlorophenol can be considered to have been tested within the parent toxicity studies. Based on these results, this metabolite was included in both residue definitions for monitoring and risk assessment for all livestock commodities.

- SYN508272

SYN508272 is a metabolite common to isopyrazam, bixafen, fluxapyroxad and benzovindiflupry and show a very similar structure as the x-fluoromethyl pyrazole structured metabolites of penflufen, penthiopyrad and sedaxane.

SYN508272 was a significant component in egg white (34.3% TRR, 0.018 mg/kg parent equivalents), poultry muscle (46.3% TRR, 0.01 mg/kg), bovine muscle (17.7, 0.024 mg/kg) and milk (11.0% TRR, 0.014 mg/kg).

In the feeding study, this metabolite was only sought in milk where it was detected (<0.01 mg/kg) in animal dosed at the highest feeding rate (443N).

In the ruminant and hen feeding studies, no parent was recovered at any of the tested doses in muscle. Since the TTRs of SYN508272 were below the TRR of parent compound in metabolism studies, this metabolite is not likely to be found in ruminant or hen muscle in the feeding studies. However, parent compound was recovered above the LOQ (maximum 0.015 mg/kg and 0.038 mg/kg at 3X and 10X dose rate, respectively 121 and 389N considering the dietary burdens calculated) in egg white, then, considering TRRs levels measured in the metabolism study, SYN508272 might have been recovered in the feeding study. Nevertheless, in framework of this monograph this metabolite is not a point of concern given the dietary burden calculations.

- SYN548263

SYN548263, present as the free and conjugated metabolite, was the largest component in goat kidney at 16.6% TRR (0.389 mg/kg, parent equivalents), it was also present in milk at 14.2% (0.019 mg/kg, parent equivalents).

Kidney samples from the dairy cow feeding study were analysed for SYN548263 (sum of free and conjugated), maximal residue levels were 0.02 mg/kg and 0.10 mg/kg in samples from animals dosed at 45 mg/kg DM (111.9N) per day and at the highest (150 mg/kg DM per day – 443.4N) feeding rate respectively. The toxicity of metabolite SYN548263 is covered by parent compound toxicity. This metabolite was included in residue definition for risk assessment for ruminant kidney matrice. In feeding study, no parent compound was detected in milk at 1X dose (41.1N). Then, no residue of SYN548263 is expected in milk.

<p><b>Definition of Residue for MRL Setting and Enforcement</b></p>	<p>Sum of SYN545974 and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) expressed as SYN545974</p>
<p><b>Definition of Residue for Risk Assessment</b></p>	<p><b>All matrices:</b> Sum of SYN545974 and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) expressed as SYN545974</p> <p><b>Ruminant liver:</b> Sum of SYN545974, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) expressed as SYN545974 and separately SYN547897</p> <p><b>ruminant kidney:</b> Sum of SYN545974, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) and SYN548263 expressed as SYN545974 and separately SYN547897</p>

**A common problematic with other active substances**

Both pyrazole compounds SYN508272 and NOA449410 are metabolites common to isopyrazam, bixafen, fluxapyroxad and benzovindiflupry and show a very similar structure as the x-fluoromethyl pyrazole structured metabolites of penflufen, penthiopyrad and sedaxane.

In the framework of this monograph, these two metabolites were not recovered in plants. However, they can be found in different animal tissues. Nevertheless, their levels are expected to be below the LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg in livestock commodities considering the dietary burdens calculated in framework of this monograph.

**2.7.4 Summary of residue trials in plants and identification of critical GAP**

Critical GAPs for representative uses of **SYN545974** are presented in Table 2.7.4-1.

Table 2.7.4-1: Critical GAP for representative uses of SYN545974

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Use No.	Member state (s)	Crop and/or situation (crop destination/ purpose of crop)		F G O R I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. safener/synergist per ha
						Method / Kind	Timing/Growth stage of crop & season	Max. Number a) per use b) per crop/season	Minimum interval between applications (days)	L A19649B / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g SYN545974 / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min/max		
1	EU	Pome fruit	Apple	F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Podosphaera leucotricha</i> ) + scab ( <i>Venturia inaequalis</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 56-79	a) 3 b) 3	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.75	a) 50 b) 150	400-1500	65	0.14l/Ha LWA in 18000m <sup>2</sup> LWA/ha = 0.25 l/ha (17ml/hl)
2	EU		Pear	F	scab ( <i>Venturia pyrina</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 56-79	a) 3 b) 3	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.75	a) 50 b) 150	400-1500	65	0.14l/Ha LWA in 18000m <sup>2</sup> LWA/ha = 0.25 l/ha (17ml/hl)
3	EU	Grapes (wine & table)		F	Grey mould ( <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 67-89	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 1 b) 2	a) 200 b) 400	500-1400	21	
4	EU	Grapes (wine & table)		F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Uncinula necator</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 13-77	a) 2 b) 2	10	a) 0.2 b) 0.4	a) 40 b) 80	150-1000	21	
5	EU	Potato		F	Early blight ( <i>Alternaria solani</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 31-89	a) 3 b) 3	14	a) 0.20 b) 0.60	a) 40 b) 120	200-500	7	
6	EU	Fruiting vegetables	Tomato	F	Early blight ( <i>Alternaria solani</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 51-89	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	300-1000	1	

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Use No.	Member state (s)	Crop and/or situation (crop destination/ purpose of crop)		F G O R I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. safener/synergist per ha
						Method / Kind	Timing/Growth stage of crop & season	Max. Number a) per use b) per crop/season	Minimum interval between applications (days)	L A19649B / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g SYN54597 4 / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min/max		
7	EU	Edible cucurbit	Cucumber	F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Sphaerotheca fuliginea</i> and <i>Erysiphe sp</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 20-89	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.50	a) 50 b) 100	300-1000	1	Equivalent to 25 mL/hL
8	EU		Courgette/ zucchini	F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Sphaerotheca fuliginea</i> and <i>Erysiphe sp</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 20-89	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.50	a) 50 b) 100	300-1000	1	Equivalent to 25 mL/hL
9	EU	Inedible cucurbit	Melon	F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Sphaerotheca fuliginea</i> and <i>Erysiphe sp</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 20-89	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.50	a) 50 b) 100	300-1000	1	Equivalent to 25 mL/hL
10	EU		Watermelon	F	Powdery mildew ( <i>Sphaerotheca fuliginea</i> and <i>Erysiphe sp</i> )	Foliar	BBCH 20-89	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0.25 b) 0.50	a) 50 b) 100	300-1000	1	Equivalent to 25 mL/hL
11	EU	Flowering brassica	Broccoli	F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14	
12	EU		Cauliflower	F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14	

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Use No.	Member state (s)	Crop and/or situation (crop destination/ purpose of crop)		F G O R I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. safener/synergist per ha
						Method / Kind	Timing/Growth stage of crop & season	Max. Number a) per use b) per crop/season	Minimum interval between applications (days)	L A19649B / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g SYN54597 4 / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min/max		
13	EU	Leafy brassica	Kale	F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14	
14	N-EU	Head brassica	Brussels sprouts	F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14	
15	EU		Cabbage	F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14	
16	N-EU	Kohlrabi		F	<i>Alternaria sp.</i> and <i>Mycosphaerella sp.</i>	Foliar	BBCH 21-49	a) 2 b) 2	14	a) 0.35 b) 0.70	a) 70 b) 140	200-600	14	

### 2.7.4.1 *Apple and pear*

9 Northern and 9 Southern trials set up in Europe on apple were submitted in order to support the critical EU Good Agricultural Practice (GAP) for SYN545974 on pome-fruit.

One trial was conducted with a total application rate below the range of -25%, therefore it was considered as not compliant with intended GAP. Since dataset was sufficient to propose an MRL, proportionality approach was not applied and this residue trial was not taken into account.

One Northern and one Southern trials were conducted with less critical applications rate (ranging from 37,1 to 40.5 g a.s./ha) but still comprised in the -25% interval when considering the total application rate. Thus, these trials were taken into account.

Residue levels of trials complying with the GAPs are reported in Table 2.7.4-2.

Apple is a major crop both in Northern and Southern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). 8 trials from Northern Europe and 9 trials from Southern Europe conducted according to the intended GAP are currently available. **Sufficient residue trial data are therefore available for apple and the calculations result in the proposal of an MRL of 0.2 mg/kg.** According to the EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2, an extrapolation from apple to pear is possible.

### 2.7.4.2 *Grape*

12 Northern and 12 Southern trials set up in Europe on grape were submitted by the applicant to support its proposed GAPs. 8 Northern and 8 Southern trials were conducted according to the intended critical GAP (2 application of 200 g a.s./ha  $\pm$ 25%, PHI 21 d) and their residue levels are reported in Table 2.7.4-2.

Grape is a major crop both in Northern and Southern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). **Sufficient residue trial data are available for grape and the calculations result in the proposal of an MRL of 2 mg/kg.**

### 2.7.4.3 *Potato*

4 Northern and 3 Southern trials set up in Europe were submitted in order to support the critical EU GAP for SYN545974 on potato.

All the submitted trials were conducted with a more critical dose (70 g a.s./ha instead of 40 g a.s./ha) which is not included in the  $\pm$  25% range. However, since residue levels were all below the LOQ, these trials were considered as acceptable to support the intended GAP. Residue levels of trials complying with the GAPs are reported in **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.**

Potato is a major crop both in Northern and Southern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). No metabolism study was conducted on potato. According to metabolism studies conducted on tomato, it is not possible to conclude on a zero residue situation. Then, the number of trials shall not be below the minimum of four per zone. One additional residue trials conducted in the South of Europe is required to confirm the non-residue situation.

One additional potato trial in South of Europe is planned in 2017 to confirm the non-residue situation. The additional trial will be provided to RMS once the final report is available (December 2017).

**Based on Northern trials, a MRL of 0.01\*mg/kg was proposed.**

### 2.7.4.4 *Tomato*

9 Northern and 8 Southern residue trials set up in Europe were submitted in order to support the critical EU GAP for SYN545974 on tomato. All submitted trials were conducted according to the intended GAP (2x70 ga.s./ha, BBCH 51-89, PHI 1 d).

Tomato is a major crop both in Northern and Southern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). **Sufficient residue trial data are available for tomato and the calculations result in the proposal of an MRL of 0.15 mg/kg.**

### 2.7.4.5 *Cucumber, courgette, zucchini*

9 Northern and 8 Southern residue trials on cucumber set up in Europe were submitted in order to support the critical EU GAP for SYN545974 on cucurbits with edible peel. All submitted trials were conducted according to the intended GAP (2x50 ga.s./ha, BBCH 20-89, PHI 1 d).

Cucumber is a major crop in Northern Europe and courgette is a major crop in Southern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). **Sufficient residue trial data are available for cucurbits with edible peel and the calculations result in the proposal of an MRL of 0.15 mg/kg.** According to the EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2, an extrapolation from cucumber to courgette and zucchini is possible when the active substance is used up to or close to harvest. As the intended GAPs on these crops are identical, extrapolation can be made to courgette and zucchini and the same MRL that proposed on cucumber can be proposed.

#### **2.7.4.6 Melon and watermelon**

9 Northern and 8 Southern residue trials on melon set up in Europe were submitted in order to support the critical EU GAP for SYN545974 on melon and watermelon. All submitted trials were conducted according to the intended GAP (2x50 ga.s./ha, BBCH 20-89, PHI 1 d).

Melon is a major crop in Southern Europe and watermelon is a major crop both in Southern and Northern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). **Sufficient residue trial data are available for melon and the calculations result in the proposal of an MRL of 0.1 mg/kg.** According to the EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2, an extrapolation from melon to watermelon is possible when the active substance is used up to or close to harvest. As the intended GAPs on these crops are identical, extrapolation can be made and the same MRL that proposed on melon can be proposed for watermelon.

#### **2.7.4.7 Flowering brassica – Broccoli**

8 Northern and 8 Southern residue trials set up in Europe were submitted in order to support the critical EU GAP for SYN545974 on broccoli. All submitted trials were conducted according to the intended GAP (2x70 ga.s./ha, BBCH 21-49, PHI 14 d).

Broccoli is a minor crop both in Southern and Northern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). **Sufficient residue trial data are available for broccoli and the calculations result in the proposal of an MRL of 0.15 mg/kg.**

#### **2.7.4.8 Flowering brassica – cauliflower**

8 Northern and 8 Southern residue trials set up in Europe were submitted in order to support the critical EU GAP for SYN545974 on cauliflower. All submitted trials were conducted according to the intended GAP (2x70 ga.s./ha, BBCH 21-49, PHI 14 d).

Cauliflower is a major crop both in Southern and Northern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). **Sufficient residue trial data are available for cauliflower and the calculations result in the proposal of an MRL of 0.07 mg/kg.**

#### **2.7.4.9 Leafy brassica – Kale**

8 Northern and 8 Southern residue trials set up in Europe were submitted in order to support the critical EU GAP for SYN545974 on kale. All submitted trials were conducted according to the intended GAP (2x70 ga.s./ha, BBCH 21-49, PHI 14 d).

Kale is a minor crop both in Southern and Northern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). **Sufficient residue trial data are available for kale and the calculations result in the proposal of an MRL of 4 mg/kg.** However, an acute risk has been identified for kale (138.6% ARfD).

#### **2.7.4.10 Head brassica – Brussel sprouts**

4 Northern trials set up in Europe were submitted in order to support the critical Northern EU GAP for SYN545974 on Brussel sprouts. All submitted trials were conducted according to the intended GAP (2x70 ga.s./ha, BBCH 21-49, PHI 14 d).

Brussel sprout is a minor crop in Northern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). **Sufficient residue trial data are available for Brussel sprouts and the calculations result in the proposal of a MRL of 0.3 mg/kg for the Northern of Europe.**

#### **2.7.4.11 Head brassica – cabbage**

8 Northern trials and 4 Southern trials set up in Europe were submitted in order to support the critical EU GAP for SYN545974 on head cabbage. All submitted trials were conducted according to the intended GAP (2x70 ga.s./ha, BBCH 21-49, PHI 14 d).

Head cabbage is a major crop in Northern Europe and a minor crop in Southern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). **Sufficient residue trial data are available for head cabbage and the calculations result in the proposal of an MRL of 0.2 mg/kg.**

#### **2.7.4.12 Kohlrabi**

4 Northern trials set up in Europe were submitted in order to support the critical Northern EU GAP for SYN545974 on kohlrabi. All submitted trials were conducted according to the intended GAP (2x70 ga.s./ha, BBCH 21-49, PHI 14 d).

Kohlrabi is a minor crop in Northern Europe (EU Guideline Document SANCO 7525/VI/95 Rev. 10.2). **Sufficient residue trial data are available for Kohlrabi and the calculations result in the proposal of an MRL of 0.2 mg/kg for the Northern of Europe.**

Table 2.7.4-2: Summary of residue data from the supervised residue trials

Crop	Region/ Indoor (a)	Residue levels (mg/kg) observed in the supervised residue trials relevant to the supported GAPs (b)	Recommendations/comments (OECD calculations)	MRL proposals (mg/kg)	HR (mg/kg) (c)	STMR (mg/kg) (d)
<b>Representative uses :</b>						
<b>Residue definition for monitoring (M): SYN545974</b>						
<b>Residue definition for risk assessment (RA): SYN545974</b>						
Apple and pear	NEU (8)	<0.01, 4x0.02, 0.03, 0.05, 0.14	According to the Student test, 5% and Mann-Withney U-test ( $\alpha=5\%$ ), residue levels in southern trials are not different from the northern ones. MRL, HR and STMR derived from the merged dataset.	0.2	M: 0.14 RA: 0.14	M: 0.02 RA: 0.02
	SEU (9)	<0.01, 3x0.01, 2x0.02, 2x0.04, 0.08				
Grape	NEU (8)	2x0.1, 0.17, 0.21, 0.26, 0.28, 0.48, 1.19	According to the Student test, 5% and Mann-Withney U-test ( $\alpha=5\%$ ), residue levels in southern trials are not different from the northern ones. MRL, HR and STMR derived from the merged dataset.	2	M: 1.19 RA: 1.19	M: 0.265 RA: 0.265
	SEU (8)	0.15, 0.19, 0.23, 0.27, 2x0.28, 0.40, 1.17				
Potato	NEU (4)	4x<0.01		0.01*	M: <0.01 RA: <0.01	M: <0.01 RA: <0.01
	SEU (3)	3x<0.01	Insufficient data to derive an MRL	-	-	-
Tomato	NEU (9)	0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 2x0.04, 2x0.05, 0.06, 0.07	According to the Student test, 5% and Mann-Withney U-test ( $\alpha=5\%$ ), residue levels in southern trials are not different from the northern ones. MRL, HR and STMR derived from the merged dataset.	0.15	M: 0.07 RA: 0.07	M:0.04 RA: 0.04
	SEU (8)	2x0.03, 3x0.04, 3x0.05				
Cucumber → courgette,	NEU (9)	3<0.01, 2x0.02, 0.03, 2x0.04, 0.05	According to the Mann-Withney U-test ( $\alpha=5\%$ ), residue levels in southern trials are not different from the northern ones. MRL, HR and STMR derived from the merged dataset.	0.15	M: 0.07 RA: 0.07	M: 0.03 RA: 0.03
	SEU (8)	2x0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 2x0.06, 0.07				

Crop	Region/ Indoor (a)	Residue levels (mg/kg) observed in the supervised residue trials relevant to the supported GAPs (b)	Recommendations/comments (OECD calculations)	MRL proposals (mg/kg)	HR (mg/kg) (c)	STMR (mg/kg) (d)
Melon → watermelon	NEU (9)	3x0.02, 2x0.03, 2x0.04, 2x0.06	According to the Student test, 5% and Mann-Withney U-test ( $\alpha=5\%$ ), residue levels in southern trials are not different from the northern ones. MRL, HR and STMR derived from the merged dataset.	0.1	M: 0.06 RA: 0.06	M: 0.03 RA: 0.03
	SEU (8)	0.01, 3x0.02, 2x0.03, 2x0.04				
Broccoli	NEU (8)	<0.01, 0.01, 3x0.02, 2x0.03, 0.07	According to the Student test, 5% and Mann-Withney U-test ( $\alpha=5\%$ ), residue levels in southern trials are not different from the northern ones. MRL, HR and STMR derived from the merged dataset.	0.15	M: 0.120 RA: 0.120	M: 0.02 RA: 0.02
	SEU (8)	3x<0.01, 0.01, 2x0.03, 0.07, 0.12				
Cauliflower	NEU	3x<0.01, 3x0.02, 0.03, 0.04	According to the Student test, 5% and Mann-Withney U-test ( $\alpha=5\%$ ), residue levels in southern trials are different from the northern ones. MRL, HR and STMR derived from each dataset.	0.07	M: 0.04 RA: 0.04	M: 0.02 RA: 0.02
	SEU	7x<0.01, 0.01		0.015	M: 0.01 RA: 0.01	M: 0.01 RA: 0.01
Kale	NEU	0.16, 0.24, 0.72, 0.90, 1.22, 1.51, 1.87, 2.05	According to the Student test, 5% and Mann-Withney U-test ( $\alpha=5\%$ ), residue levels in southern trials are different from the northern ones. MRL, HR and STMR derived from each dataset.	4	M: 2.05 RA: 2.05	M: 1.06 RA: 1.06
	SEU	0.1, 0.11, 0.13, 0.18, 2x0.22, 0.26, 0.32		0.6	M: 0.320 RA: 0.320	M: 0.2 RA: 0.2
Head brassica, Brussels sprouts	NEU	0.05, 0.10, 0.12, 0.13	MRL <sub>OECD</sub> = 0.3	0.3	M: 0.13 RA: 0.13	M: 0.11 RA: 0.11
Head brassica, cabbage	NEU	7x<0.01, 0.16	According to the Student test, 5% and Mann-Withney U-test ( $\alpha=5\%$ ), residue levels in southern trials are not different from the northern ones. MRL, HR and STMR derived from the merged dataset.	0.2	M: 0.16 RA: 0.16	M: 0.01 RA: 0.01
	SEU	3x<0.01, 0.04				
Kohlrabi	NEU	0.02, 0.05, 2x0.08	MRL <sub>OECD</sub> = 0.173	0.2	M: 0.04 RA: 0.04	M: 0.065 RA: 0.065

Crop	Region/ Indoor (a)	Residue levels (mg/kg) observed in the supervised residue trials relevant to the supported GAPs (b)	Recommendations/comments (OECD calculations)	MRL proposals (mg/kg)	HR (mg/kg) (c)	STMR (mg/kg) (d)
<b>Summary of the data on formulation equivalence <a href="#">OECD Guideline 509</a></b>						
Crop	Region	Residue data (mg/kg)	Recommendations/comments			
No information provided and not requested						
<b>Summary of data on residues in pollen and bee products</b> (Regulation (EU) No 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.10.1)						
Product(s)	Region	Residue data (mg/kg)	Recommendations/comments			
Winter oilseed rape	Indoor (3)	Analyses in honey: 3x<0.01	See 2.7.8	<b>In honey:</b> 0.01*	<0.01	<0.01

**2.7.5 Summary of feeding studies in poultry, ruminants, pigs and fish**

Dietary burden calculation

Among the representative uses intended for the inscription of SYN545974, products from apples pomace, potatoes (as culls, process waste and dried pulp), cabbage leaves and kale leaves might be fed to livestock. As an acute risk has been identified for kale, it was not considered for the dietary burden calculation. The median and maximum dietary burdens were therefore calculated for different groups of livestock using the OECD Guidance documents n° 64/32 and 73. The input values for all relevant commodities are summarised in Table 2.7.5-1.

**Table 2.7.5-1: Input values for the dietary burden calculation for SYN545974**

Commodity	Median dietary burden		Maximum dietary burden	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
<b>Risk assessment residue definition: SYN545974</b>				
<b>EU representative uses</b>				
Apple pomace	0.08 (0.02x 3.77)	STMR <sub>p</sub> (STMR <sub>x</sub> PF)	0.08 (0.02x 3.77)	STMR <sub>p</sub> (STMR <sub>x</sub> PF)
Potato culls	0.01	STMR	0.01	HR
Potato, process waste <sup>1</sup>	0.01	STMR	0.01	STMR
Potato, dried pulp <sup>1</sup>	0.01	STMR	0.01	STMR
Cabbage leaves	0.01	STMR	0.16	HR
Cereal straw <sup>2</sup>	0.09	HR from field rotational crops	0.09	HR from field rotational crops

<sup>1</sup> No default processing factor was used for these potato by-products since residue level in the raw product was below the LOQ.

<sup>2</sup> Since significant residue levels cannot be excluded in barley straw from field rotational crops, highest residue levels from barley straw was taken into account in the dietary burden calculation. Extrapolation was made to all cereal straw (except rice) as a worst case.

Remark: as a worst case, transfer factor on apple pomace (excluding pear pomace) was used for dietary burden calculation.

The results of the calculation are reported in Table 2.7.5-2. The calculated dietary burdens were found to be below the trigger value of 0.004 mg/kg bw for turkey and at the trigger value for poultry layer. For ruminants (except finishing swine), the calculated dietary burdens were found to exceed the trigger value.

**Table 2.7.5-2: Results of the dietary burden calculation**

Animals	Median burden	Maximum burden	Above 0.004 mg /kg bw	Maximum burden	Highest contributing commodities	
	(mg/kg bw)	(mg/kg bw)		(mg/kg DM)		
Beef cattle	0,002	0,006	Yes	0,27	Cabbage, heads	leaves
Dairy cattle	0,002	0,010	Yes	0,25	Cabbage, heads	leaves
Ram/Ewe	0,002	0,005	Yes	0,16	Cabbage, heads	leaves
Lamb	0,002	0,006	Yes	0,14	Cabbage, heads	leaves
Pig (breeding)	0,001	0,003	No	0,15	Cabbage, heads	leaves
Pig (finishing)	0,001	0,001	No	0,03	Potato	culls
Poultry broiler	0,001	0,001	No	0,01	Potato	culls
Poultry layer	0,001	0,004	Yes	0,06	Cabbage, heads	leaves
Turkey	0,001	0,001	No	0,01	Potato	culls

Feeding studies

The magnitude of residue in egg and tissue samples from poultry was investigated in a feeding study with laying hens. Laying hens were fed diets containing SYN545974 at three feeding levels: 0.16, 0.5 and 1.6 mg/kg bw/day. The samples were analyzed for SYN545974 and 2,4,6 TCP and residues for both compounds were found to be below the LOQs in all tissues and eggs (except eggs yolk) at the lower tested dose. For the setting of MRLs, residue levels found in feeding study were calculated as the sum of SYN545974 and 2,4,6 TCP expressed in SYN545974. At the level of exposure calculated with representative uses which is below the lowest dose rate of the feeding study, no residues are expected in any poultry commodity. Therefore proposed MRL in these commodities are set at the LOQ.

**Table 2.7.5-3: Calculated MRLs for poultry (sum of SYN545974 and 2,4,6 TCP expressed in SYN545974)**

Animal	Residues at closest feeding level (mg/kg)		Estimated value at 1N level		MRL proposal (mg/kg)
			STMR (mg/kg)	HR (mg/kg)	
	Mean	Highest			
<b>Poultry</b>	Closest feeding level		0,16 mg/kg bw		
	38,9	N Layer	224,0	N Turkey	
Meat	-	-	0,000	0,001	-
Muscle	0,032	0,032	0,000	0,001	<b>0,02*</b>
Fat	0,032	0,032	0,000	0,001	<b>0,02*</b>
Liver	0,032	0,032	0,000	0,001	<b>0,02*</b>
Kidney	0,032	0,032	0,000	0,001	<b>0,02*</b>
Eggs	0,032	0,032	0,000	0,001	<b>0,02*</b>

(\*): Indicates that the MRL is set at the limit of analytical quantification.

The magnitude of residue in milk and tissue samples from ruminants was investigated in a feeding study with lactating cows. Lactating cows were fed diets containing SYN545974 at three feeding levels: 0.40, 1.09 and 4.32 mg/kg bw/day. Samples of milk and tissues were analysed for residues of SYN545974 (parent) and the 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (TCP) metabolite. At the level of exposure calculated with representative uses which is below the lowest dose rate of the feeding study, no residues are expected in any ruminant tissue or milk.

As SYN545974 in pig is expected to have a similar metabolic pathway to the one in ruminant, the feeding study on ruminant (representing 116.9, 318 and 1262 N of pig maximum dietary burden) is used to derive STMR, HR and MRL.

**Table 2.7.5-4: Calculated MRLs for poultry (sum of SYN545974 and 2,4,6 TCP expressed in SYN545974)**

Animal	Residues at closest feeding level (mg/kg)		Estimated value at 1N level		MRL proposal (mg/kg)
			STMR <sup>(b)</sup> (mg/kg)	HR (mg/kg)	
	Mean	Highest			
<b>Bovine</b>	Closest feeding level <sup>(a)</sup> :		0,4	mg/kg bw	
	41,1	N Dairy c.	62,6	N Beef c.	
Meat	-	-	0,000	0,001	-
Muscle	0,032	0,032	0,000	0,001	<b>0,02*</b>
Fat	0,013	0,020	0,000	0,000	<b>0,02*</b>
Liver	0,035	0,042	0,000	0,001	<b>0,02*</b>
Kidney	0,022	0,022	0,000	0,001	<b>0,02*</b>
Milk <sup>(c)</sup>	0,022	0,022	0,000	0,001	<b>0,02*</b>
<b>Sheep</b>	Closest feeding level <sup>(a)</sup> :		0,4	mg/kg bw	
	69,5	N Lamb	77,4	N Ram/Ewe	
Meat	-	-	0,000	0,000	-
Muscle	0,032	0,032	0,000	0,000	<b>0,02*</b>

Fat	0,013	0,020	0,000	0,000	<b>0,02*</b>
Liver	0,035	0,042	0,000	0,001	<b>0,02*</b>
Kidney	0,022	0,022	0,000	0,000	<b>0,02*</b>
Milk <sup>(c)</sup>	0,022	0,022	0,000	0,000	<b>0,02*</b>
<b>Swine</b>	Closest feeding level <sup>(a)</sup> :		0,4	mg/kg bw	
	116,9	N Breeding	488,9	N Finishing	
Meat	-	-	0,000	0,000	-
Muscle	0,032	0,032	0,000	0,000	<b>0,02*</b>
Fat	0,013	0,020	0,000	0,000	<b>0,02*</b>
Liver	0,035	0,042	0,000	0,000	<b>0,02*</b>
Kidney	0,022	0,022	0,000	0,000	<b>0,02*</b>

(\*): Indicates that the MRL is set at the limit of analytical quantification.

### 2.7.6 Summary of effects of processing

#### Nature of residue

A study was conducted with [pyrazole-5-<sup>14</sup>C]-SYN545974 simulating representative hydrolytic conditions for pasteurisation (20 minutes at 90°C, pH 4), boiling/brewing/baking (60 minutes at 100°C, pH 5) and sterilisation (20 minutes at 120°C, pH 6).

No hydrolysis of SYN545974 was observed under any of the processing conditions. SYN545974 is therefore considered to be hydrolytically stable under conditions representative of pasteurisation, baking, brewing, boiling and sterilisation. According to the OCDE guidelines 507, separate studies should have been performed reflecting labelling of each ring. However, since more than 95% of the recovered radioactivity was identified as parent, no cleavage of the molecule is anticipated, additional study with phenyl ring is not required.

#### Distribution of residue in peel and pulp

Data on distribution of residues in peel/pulp are available for melon and are detailed in the table hereafter.

commodity	Individual transfer factor peel/pulp <sup>1</sup>	Median TF
<b>SYN545974</b>		
Melon	2x<0.17 4x <0.25, 4x<0.33, 6x<0.5, 1	<0.33

<sup>1</sup>Transfer factor = residue in pulp (mg/kg)/ residue whole fruit (mg/kg).

#### Magnitude of residue

Several studies with data on processing are available on grape, tomato, pome-fruits and kale.

**Table 2.7.6-1: Crops and commodities obtained from industrial or domestic processing**

Raw agricultural commodity	Industrial or household processed products
Grape, fruit	Wet and dry pomace, juice, raisins, grapeseed oil, red and white wine
Tomato, fruit	Paste, puree, washed and peeled fruit, canned fruit, sun-dried fruit, juice, wet and dried pomace
Pome fruit, fruit	Dried fruit, canned fruit, juice, wet pomace and apple sauce
Kale, leaves	Washed leaves and cooked leaves

Based on these studies, processing factors can be calculated and are presented in Table 2.7.6-2.

**Table 2.7.6-2: Overview of processing studies**

Processed commodity	Individual PF	Median PF
<b>SYN545974</b>		
Grape, pasteurized juice	0.02, 0.02, 0.05, 0.07	0.035
Grape, white aged wine	0.08, 0.11, 0.52, 0.60	0.32
Grape, red wine	0.10, 0.17, 0.20, 0.24	0.19

<b>Grape, raisin</b>	1.71, 2.37, 2.48, 4.75	2.43
<b>Grape, refined seed oil</b>	0.71, 1.02, 1.08, 1.12, 0.71	1.05
Tomato, paste	0.55, 0.82	0.69
Tomato, puree	0.26, 0.41	0.33
Tomato, washed and peel	<0.05, <0.08	n.a.
Tomato, canned	<0.05, <0.08	n.a.
<b>Tomato, sun-dried</b>	9.9, 10.7	10
Tomato, juice	<0.05, <0.08	n.a.
Apple/pear, canned	0.03 (apple) , 0.09 (pear)	0.06
<b>Apple/pear, wet pomace</b>	2.99 (pear), 3.77 (apple)	3.38
Apple/pear, Juice	0.06 (apple), 0.11 (pear)	0.09
Apple, sauce	0.06	0.06 (single value)
Apple/pear, dried	0.41 (apple), 0.62 (pear)	0.52
Kale, washed	1.08, 1.18, 1.58, 1.60	1.38
Kale, cooked	1.2, 1.21, 1.27, 1.73	1.24

<sup>1</sup> Results which were calculated from the LOQ value are not taken into account in the median transfer factor calculation due to high uncertainty.

Results show that SYN545974 residues are generally concentrated in dried commodities, apple wet pomace, washed and cooked kale and oil, but diluted in other processed commodities. As regards kales, the domestic preparation employed in the kale processing study (CEMS-6542) involved removing stems and ribs immediately prior to washing. The pre-processed sample comprised leaves with rib and stem attached (raw agricultural commodity) whereas the washed leaves were trimmed kale leaves only (rib and stem removed). It is likely that lower residue levels are present on the stems and ribs compared to the edible part of leaves, resulting in an increased concentration in the edible portion of the leaves that were subsequently washed.

It should be noted that for several processed matrices, the analytical method is not validated (see B.5.1.2).

### 2.7.7 Summary of residues in rotational crops

Metabolism of SYN545974 in soil has been investigated (see B.8). DT50 value of SYN545974 was estimated to be 4170 days (based on residues including harsh extracts) (please refer to vol3 B8). As the substance is very persistent in soil, further investigation of residues in rotational crops is required.

#### Nature of the residue

The metabolism in succeeding crops was evaluated on leafy vegetable (lettuce), root and tuber vegetables (turnip) and cereals (wheat). Studies were conducted using [phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974. The characteristics of these studies are summarized in **Table 2.7.7-1** **Table 2.7.2-1**.

**Table 2.7.7-1: Summary of available metabolism studies on rotational crops**

Crop group	Crop	Label position	Application and sampling details			
			Method, F or G <sup>a</sup>	Rate (kg a.s./ha)	Sowing intervals (days)	Harvest time
Leafy vegetables	Lettuce	[phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974	Bare soil, F	1 x 0.4	30, 120, 270	growth stage (BBCH 41-43, BBCH 45 for 120DAA lettuce) and at maturity (BBCH 49).
Root and tuber vegetables	Turnip	[phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974	Bare soil, F	1 x 0.4	30, 120, 270	BBCH 49
Cereals	Wheat	[phenyl-U-14C] & [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974	Bare soil, F	1 x 0.4	30, 120, 270	Forage (BBCH 15-30), hay (BBCH 49-60) and maturity (BBCH 89) growth stage

The crops were sown 30, 120 and 270 days after application (DAA) of the test substance to a sandy loam soil. The actual application rates achieved were 387.8 g a.s./ha for the [phenyl-U-14C]-SYN545974 labelled treatment and 408.6 g a.i./ha for the [pyrazole-5-14C]-SYN545974 labelled treatment.

Since TRR observed in wheat grain, mature lettuce and turnip tubers were below 0.01 mg/kg, no further analysis was conducted. The metabolic pathways in the three crops are similar. In all cases, unchanged parent SYN545974 was reported to be the major compound with a maximum of 77.8% TRR (0.024 mg/kg) in wheat forage. Maximum residues of SYN545974 were detected in 120 DAA wheat straw (0.063 mg/kg for the pyrazole label). Other metabolites identified were SYN547891 and SYN545547 detected respectively with a maximum of 0.012 (5.5% TRR) and 0.005 mg/kg (2.2% TRR) in wheat straw. There were both present at lower levels than parent compound in all commodities at all rotational intervals. Metabolism pathway for SYN545974 in primary crop and rotational crops is similar. Then, the same residue definition applies for rotational crops as for primary crops.

Table 2.7.7-2: Summarized results of available rotational metabolism studies of SYN545974

PBI (d)	Wheat																		immature lettuce		turnip	
	forage						hay						straw						foliage			
	30		120		270		30		120		270		30		120		270		30		30	
	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>	<i>ph</i>	<i>py</i>
TRR % (mg/kg)	96,8 (0,03)	96,8 (0,027)	89,2 (0,01)	91,1 (0,025)	96,3 (0,013)	95,5 (0,013)	91,9 (0,06)	90 (0,082)	85,2 (0,05)	84,5 (0,091)	94,7 (0,037)	93,5 (0,033)	86,9 (0,151)	88,2 (0,187)	85,9 (0,131)	85,9 (0,188)	87,3 (0,1)	83,2 (0,138)	85,5 (0,010)	86,1 (0,016)	93,6 (0,010)	89,6 (0,012)
<b>SYN545974</b>	<b>77,8</b> <b>(0,024)</b>	<b>59,1</b> <b>(0,016)</b>	37,3 (0,004)	22,5 (0,006)	59,7 (0,008)	21,9 (0,003)	<b>50,1</b> <b>(0,032)</b>	<b>23,8</b> <b>(0,022)</b>	<b>42,9</b> <b>(0,025)</b>	<b>52,2</b> <b>(0,056)</b>	<b>76,1</b> <b>(0,03)</b>	<b>67,9</b> <b>(0,024)</b>	<b>30</b> <b>(0,052)</b>	<b>26</b> <b>(0,055)</b>	<b>33,7</b> <b>(0,051)</b>	<b>28,7</b> <b>(0,063)</b>	<b>32,2</b> <b>(0,037)</b>	<b>18,6</b> <b>(0,030)</b>	69,3 (0,009)	<b>76,7</b> <b>(0,015)</b>	77,2 (0,008)	44,4 (0,005)
SYN547891	12,0 (0,004)	13,3 (0,004)	4,9 ( $<0,001$ )	3,0 (0,001)	7,5 (0,001)	4,6 (0,001)	6,2 (0,004)	3,1 (0,003)	4,5 (0,003)	5,5 (0,006)	9,7 (0,004)	12,2 (0,004)	6,1 (0,011)	5,5 (0,012)	5,3 (0,008)	4,4 (0,010)	5,5 (0,006)	4,6 (0,008)	11,6 (0,001)	6,8 (0,001)	3,9 ( $<0,001$ )	4,8 (0,001)
SYN545547	2,2 (0,001)	3,5 (0,001)	2,4 ( $<0,001$ )	2,3 (0,001)	3,1 ( $<0,001$ )	ND	2,5 (0,002)	1,7 (0,002)	1,5 (0,001)	2,3 (0,002)	3,6 (0,001)	5,6 (0,002)	1,8 (0,003)	2,3 (0,005)	1,4 (0,002)	2,2 (0,005)	2,0 (0,002)	1,5 (0,002)	4,0 ( $<0,001$ )	2,3 ( $<0,001$ )	ND	3,9 ( $<0,001$ )
Unassigned % (mg/kg)	10,6 (0,005)	14,3 (0,004)	33,7 (0,001)	63 (0,013) <sup>3</sup>	16,8 (0,001)	62,4 (0,009)	38,9 (0,025) <sup>4</sup>	53,8 (0,051) <sup>5</sup>	28 (0,019) <sup>6</sup>	27,3 (0,029) <sup>7</sup>	7,3 (0,003)	9,3 (0,003)	43,1 (0,076) <sup>8</sup>	54,3 (0,116) <sup>9</sup>	42,8 (0,068) <sup>10</sup>	46,4 (0,103) <sup>11</sup>	44,2 (0,05) <sup>12</sup>	67,0 (0,107) <sup>13</sup>	3,4 ( $<0,001$ )	2,1 ( $<0,001$ )	10,2 (0,001)	24,8 (0,002)
non extracted TRR %	3,2 (0,001)	3,2 (0,001)	10,7 (0,001)	8,9 (0,002)	3,7 ( $<0,001$ )	4,5 (0,001)	8,1 (0,005)	9,9 (0,009)	14,8 (0,009)	15,5 (0,017)	5,3 (0,002)	6,5 (0,002)	12,2 (0,021)	11,3 (0,024)	14,1 (0,02)	14,1 (0,031)	12,7 (0,014) <sup>2</sup>	16,8 (0,028) <sup>2</sup>	14,5 (0,002)	13,9 (0,003)	6,5 (0,001)	10,4 (0,001)
total TRR % (mg/kg)	100 (0,031)	100 (0,028)	99,9 (0,011)	100 (0,027)	100 (0,014)	100 (0,014)	100 (0,065)	99,9 (0,091)	100 (0,059)	100 (0,108)	100 (0,039)	103 (0,035)	99,1 (0,172)	99,5 (0,211)	100 (0,153)	100 (0,219)	100 (0,114)	100 (0,166)	100 (0,012)	100 (0,019)	100 (0,010)	100 (0,012)

1 - mg/kg calculated directly from summation of the radioactivity present in the extracted radioactivity in the debris and specific activity after aqueous acetonitrile extraction.

2 -Straw (270DAA) was extracted further with 1M HCl and a “clean fractionation” technique to assess natural incorporation.

3- at least 29 individual components none individually exceeding >9.2% TRR (>0.002 mg/kg)

4- at least 21 individual components none individually exceeding >5.0% TRR (>0.003 mg/kg)

5- at least 30 individual components none individually exceeding >7.3% TRR(>0.007 mg/kg)

6- at least 20 individual components none individually exceeding >4.7% TRR (>0.003 mg/kg)

7- at least 17 individual components none individually exceeding >4.3% TRR (>0.005 mg/kg)

8- at least 22 individual components none individually exceeding >6.9% TRR (>0.012 mg/kg)

9- at least 38 individual components none individually exceeding >6.7% TRR (>0.014 mg/kg)

10- at least 27 discrete components, no single one of which >6.0% TRR (>0.009 mg/kg)

11- at least 33 discrete components, no single one of which >4.6% TRR (>0.010 mg/kg)

12- at least 17 discrete components, no single one of which >4.6% TRR (>0.005 mg/kg)

13- at least 19 discrete components, no single one of which >5.8% TRR (>0.009 mg/kg)

In this study one application on bare soil is performed at 0.4 kg a.s./ha which is higher than the intended applied doses of the EU representative uses. However, SYN545974 compound is highly persistent in soil (DT 50 of 4170 days), then the accumulation of the active substance was taken into account.

Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) in soil after accumulation was calculated after 20 and 100 years for all EU representative uses (see Table 2.7.7-3 and vol3 B8 for details).

**Table 2.7.7-3: Initial PECsoil and maximum long term PECsoil for SYN545974 according to cGAP (see section B8)**

Use	Initial PEC soil (mg/kg)	Background concentration after 20 years (mg/kg)	PECaccu after 20 years (mg/kg)	Background concentration after 100 years (mg/kg)	PECaccu after 100 years
Vines, 2x200 g a.s./ha	0.2131	2.402 (5cm)	2.6151	3.412	3.6251
Vines, 2x40 g a.s./ha	0.0533	0.6003 (5cm)	0.6536	0.8527	0.9060
Pome-fruits, 3x50 g a.s./ha	0.0799	0.9007 (5cm)	0.9806	1.279	1.3589
Cucurbits, 2x50 g a.s./ha	0.0400	0.1125 (20 cm)	0.1525	0.1598	0.1998
Tomatoes, 2x70 g a.s./ha	0.0373	0.1050 (20 cm)	0.1423	0.1492	0.1865
Potatoes, 3x40 g a.s./ha	0.0639	0.1804 (20 cm)	0.2443	0.2562	0.3201
<b>Brassicac , 2x70 g a.s./ha</b>	<b>0.1119</b>	<b>0.3153 (20 cm)</b>	<b>0.4272</b>	<b>0.4478</b>	<b>0.5597</b>

In the confined rotational crops study, considering that the application is conducted on bare soil, that the soil is not plough up and that SYN545974 has a very limited mobility in soil (Koc of 1706 L/kg), the expected concentration in soil was calculated considering a distribution in the top 5 cm depth of the soil with the following equation :

$$\text{Concentration a.s. in soil (mg/kg)} = \text{dose of application into bare soil (mg)} / [\text{soil depth (cm}^2\text{)} \times \text{soil density (kg/cm}^3\text{)}]$$

With:

- dose of application into bare soil : 0.4 kg as/ha
- soil depth considered: 5 cm
- soil density: 1.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>

$$\text{Concentration a.s. in soil (mg/kg)} = 0.4 \times 10^6 \text{ mg} / [(5 \text{ cm} \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^2) \times 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg/cm}^3]$$

**Concentration a.s. in soil = 0.53 mg/kg**

Then, this calculated concentration of active substance in soil has been compared with the value of PEC accumulation obtained for brassicas in order to see if the PEC accumulation in soil was covered by the soil concentration from the submitted confined rotational crop study.


**Table 2.7.7-4: Comparison of concentration in soil between confined rotational crop study and PEC at the intended GAP**

PECaccu calculated in view of the intended cGAP on brassicas				Concentration in 5 cm of soil calculated based on rotational crop studies (mg/kg)	Ratios			
PECaccu 5 cm after 20 years (mg/kg)	PECaccu 5 cm after 100 years	PECaccu 20 cm after 20 years (mg/kg)	PECaccu 20 cm after 100 years		Ratio concentration 5 cm study/PEC accu 5 cm intended GAP		Ratio concentration 5 cm study/PEC accu 20 cm intended GAP	
				After 20 years	After 100 years	After 20 years	After 100 years	
0.4272	0.5597	0.3433	0.4758	0.53	1.25	0.95	1.55	1.12

Ratios have been calculated by dividing the theoretical concentration of SYN545974 in the top 5 cm of soil from the confined rotation crop study by the values of PEC accumulation in soil. Both PEC accumulation calculated on 5 and 20 cm were used in order to take into consideration all the agricultural practices (whether the soil is plough or not before sowing succeeding crops). According to the above calculations, theoretical concentration in the top 5 cm of the soil from the confined rotational crop study is equivalent to the PEC accumulation in soil calculated in section B8.

Based on these results, no residue above 0.01 mg/kg is expected in wheat grain, mature lettuce and turnip tubers and foliage at all Plant Back Intervals (PBI) tested. Nevertheless, significant residues of parent compound cannot be excluded in wheat forage and immature lettuce with a PBI of 30 days and in wheat hay and straw for all PBI.

**Figure 2.7.7-1: biotransformation pathway for SYN545974 in confined rotational crops**



**Magnitude of residues in rotational crops**

Three representative rotated crops (spinach, carrot and barley) were planted back into the treated plots, where SYN545974 was applied at 500 g a.s./ha to bare soil, at nominal intervals of 30, 60 and 365 days. Results are summarized in Table 2.7.7-5.

**Table 2.7.7-5: residue levels of SYN545974 measured in rotational crops**

Plant-back Interval (nominal)	Timing and/or Growth Stage (BBCH)	Crop Part	SYN545974 Residue Found (mg/kg)			
			Trial S13-01023-01	Trial S13-01023-02	Trial S13-01022-01	Trial S13-01022-02
30	43	Immature spinach	<b>0.01</b>	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
60	43	Immature spinach	<b>0.02</b>	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
365	43	Immature spinach	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
30	49 (NCH)	Spinach leaves	<0.01	<0.01	Not sampled	<0.01
60	49 (NCH)	Spinach leaves	<0.01	<0.01	Not sampled	<0.01
365	49 (NCH)	Spinach leaves	<0.01	<0.01	Not sampled	<0.01
30	49 (NCH)	Carrot roots	<b>0.02</b>	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
30	49 (NCH)	Carrot leaves	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
60	49 (NCH)	Carrot roots	<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<0.01	<0.01
60	49 (NCH)	Carrot leaves	<b>0.01</b>	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
365	49 (NCH)	Carrot roots	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
365	49 (NCH)	Carrot leaves	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

Plant-back Interval (nominal)	Timing and/or Growth Stage (BBCH)	Crop Part	SYN545974 Residue Found (mg/kg)			
			Trial S13-01023-01	Trial S13-01023-02	Trial S13-01022-01	Trial S13-01022-02
30	41	Barley whole plant	<0.01	0.02	<0.01	<0.01
60	41	Barley whole plant	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
365	41	Barley whole plant	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
30	89 (NCH)	Barley grain	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
30	89 (NCH)	Barley straw	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<0.01
60	89 (NCH)	Barley grain	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
60	89 (NCH)	Barley straw	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<0.01
365	89 (NCH)	Barley grain	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
365	89 (NCH)	Barley straw	<b>0.01</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<0.01

NCH – normal commercial harvest; No correction of results for either control residues or recovery values has been performed.

The same approach as described above was applied for field rotation crops.

**Table 2.7.7-6: Comparison of concentration in soil between rotational crop studies and PEC at the intended GAP**

PEC <sub>accu</sub> calculated in view of the intended cGAP on brassicas				Concentration in 5 cm of soil calculated based on rotational crop studies (mg/kg)	Ratios			
PEC <sub>accu</sub> 5 cm after 20 years (mg/kg)	PEC <sub>accu</sub> 5 cm after 100 years	PEC <sub>accu</sub> 20 cm after 20 years (mg/kg)	PEC <sub>accu</sub> 20 cm after 100 years		Rotational crops	Ratio concentration 5 cm study/PEC <sub>accu</sub> 5 cm intended GAP		Ratio concentration 5 cm study/PEC <sub>accu</sub> 20 cm intended GAP
				After 20 years		After 100 years	After 20 years	After 100 years
0.4272	0.5597	0.3433	0.4758	0.67	1.56	1.19	1.94	1.4

According to the above calculations, theoretical concentration in the top 5 cm of the soil from the rotational crop studies covers the PEC accumulation in soil calculated in section B8.

In barley grain, residues of SYN545974 were below the LOQ at all plant-back intervals. In these conditions, no residue above the LOQ is awaited in cereal grains for human or livestock consumption. However, according to the results, foliar crops (immature spinach and carrot leaves), root crops and cereal straws present a high probability of residues being present at measurable level at plant back interval of 30 and 60 days.

Residue levels measured in rotational crops were taken into account in livestock dietary burden calculation and in risk assessment for human consumer by the applicant. This option does not modify proposed MRL in animal commodities. However, RMS has chosen to propose restrictions instead. Indeed, residue levels measured in roots and tuber crops (carrots, 0.02 mg/kg) raise the need for setting MRLs in succeeding crops. Since no harmonized guidance is available at European level at the present time, RMS is of the opinion that restrictions on succeeding crops are the best option. Nevertheless, since no MRL has to be set on feedstuffs, residue levels measured on straw in the field rotational crops were taken into account in the dietary burden calculation.

**Since residue levels above the LOQ cannot be excluded in carrot roots and immature spinach leaves with a PBI of 60 days, a plant back interval of 365 days should be respected for roots and leafy crops.**

Certain crop types which could reasonably be rotated with some of the representative uses were not included. Considering the above results in rotational crops and the persistence of the active substance in soil, additional data on an bulb vegetable, a fruiting or a legume vegetable and pulses could be requested. As no data is available, rotation with these groups of crops are not recommended.

It is also noted that no data have been provided to demonstrate the levels of residues found in any of the tested crops at plant back intervals of between 60 and 365 days. Given the lack of data for intermediate plant back intervals and the possibility of accumulation in succeeding crops, further data are considered necessary.

It should be highlighted that no information on whether the soil has been turned before sowing succeeding crops (as it would be the case under usual agricultural practices) is mentioned. Based on the characteristics of the active substance, it is not possible to affirm that the active substance has been uniformly distributed in the top 20 cm depth of the soil where the crops roots will grow and have the opportunity to take up the soil residues.

### 2.7.8 Summary of other studies

#### Residue in pollen and bee products

Many of the proposed uses could result in application during flowering (pome fruit, vines, fruiting vegetables) and as a result there is potential for transfer of residues into bee products.

Trials conducted on oilseed rape to assess the potential for the transfer of pydiflumetofen residues to honey were submitted.

Three residue trials, under tunnels, were conducted on winter oilseed rape in Germany in 2016 where honey was sampled following the exposure of bees to treated crop. One application of pydiflumetofen, 62.5 g/L EC as A21857B at a rate of 200 g a.s./ha was made as a tank mix at BBCH 63 (flowering stage) to oilseed rape. A bee hive was placed in each tunnel in the evening after the application and the bees were allowed to forage freely on the treated crop. Honey was sampled at maturity (from 20 to 27 days after the first exposure of bees) from each of the treatment and control tunnels in the trials, with the exception of trial -02, in which the water content in honey was >20 % at the time of sampling for both treated and control samples.

The residues of pydiflumetofen in all treated honey samples were below the limit of quantification (LOQ, 0.01 mg/kg). No residues of pydiflumetofen at or above the limit of quantification (LOQ, 0.01 mg/kg) were found in the untreated honey samples.

**Table 2.7.8-1: Overview of the available residue trials data on honey and MRL proposal**

<b>Crop</b>	<b>Region/ Indoor (a)</b>	<b>Residue levels (mg/kg) observed in the supervised residue trials relevant to the supported GAPs (b)</b>	<b>Recommendations/comments (OECD calculations)</b>	<b>MRL proposals (mg/kg)</b>	<b>HR (mg/kg) (c)</b>	<b>STMR (mg/kg) (d)</b>
<b>Residue definition for monitoring and enforcement : SYN545974</b> <b>Residue definition for risk assessment (RA): SYN545974</b>						
Winter oilseed rape	Indoor (3)	3x<0.01		In honey: 0.01*	<0.01	<0.01

## 2.7.9 Estimation of the potential and actual exposure through diet and other sources

End-Point	Value	Study	Safety Factor	Reference
<b>SYN545974-</b>				
Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)	0.092 mg/kg bw/d	Mouse, 80 week	100	-
Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)	0.1 mg/kg bw/d	rabbit, developmental	100	-
<b>SYN547897</b>				
Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) and acute reference dose (ARfD)	0.0015 mg/kgbw/d	TTC value for non-genotoxic Cramer class III substances	-	-

The consumer risk assessment was performed using revision 2 of the EFSA PRIMo (Pesticide Residue Intake Model). For the chronic and acute intake assessment the proposed MRL, STMR and HR derived from residue trials were considered for plant and animal commodities.

For kidney, residue levels for risk assessment were calculated by crossing measured residue levels of parent, 2,4,6 TCP and SYN548263 (sum expressed in parent compound) in feeding studies with estimated residue levels of the dietary burden. Since residue levels for monitoring and risk assessment were below the LOQ, no conversion factor (monitoring to risk assessment) has been proposed for kidney.

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
Residue definition for risk assessment (RA): SYN545974				
<b>EU representative uses</b>				
Apple	0.02	STMR	0.14	HR
Pear	0.02	STMR	0.14	HR
Table grape	0.265	STMR	1.19	HR
Wine grape	0.265	STMR	0.265	STMR
Potato	<0.01	STMR	<0.01	HR
Tomato	0.04	STMR	0.07	HR
Cucumber, courgette	0.03	STMR	0.07	HR
Melon, watermelon	0.03	STMR	0.06	HR
Broccoli	0.02	STMR	0.12	HR
Cauliflower	0.02	STMR	0.04	HR
Kale	1.06	STMR	2.05	HR
Brussels sprouts	0.11	STMR	0.13	HR
Head cabbage	0.01	STMR	0.16	HR

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
kohlrabi	0.065	STMR	0.04	HR
Honey	<0.01	MRL	<0.01	HR
<b>Residue definition for risk assessment (RA): Sum of SYN545974 and 2,4,6 TCP expressed as SYN545974</b>				
Milk	<0.02	MRL	<0.02	HR
Ruminant meat	<0.02	MRL	<0.02	HR
Ruminant fat	<0.02	MRL	<0.02	HR
Poultry meat	<0.02	MRL	<0.02	HR
Poultry liver	<0.02	MRL	<0.02	HR
Poultry kidney	<0.02	MRL	<0.02	HR
Eggs	<0.02	MRL	<0.02	HR
<b>First residue definition for risk assessment (RA): Sum of SYN545974 and 2,4,6 TCP expressed as SYN545974</b>				
Ruminant liver	<0.02	STMR	<0.02	HR
<b>First residue definition for risk assessment (RA): Sum of SYN545974, 2,4,6 TCP and SYN548263 expressed as SYN545974</b>				
Ruminant kidney	<0.03	STMR	<0.03	HR

**Separate consumer risk assessment for metabolite SYN547897**

No toxicological data are available for this compound and it is not sufficiently covered by parent compound based on rat metabolism (although its structure is very similar). As SYN547897 is ascribed to 'Cramer Class III' and does not trigger in-silico alerts for genotoxicity or neurotoxicity, a TTC of respectively 1.5 µg/kg bw/d and 5 µg/kg bw/d can be used for the assessment of chronic and acute consumer risk in relation to this metabolite species.

Residue levels of SYN547897 were obtained by crossing measured residue levels in feeding studies with estimated residue levels of the dietary burden.

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
<b>Second residue definition for risk assessment (RA): SYN547897</b>				
Bovine liver	<0.01	STMR	0.016	HR
Sheep liver	<0.01	STMR	<0.01	HR

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
Swine liver	<0.01	STMTR	<0.01	HR
Second residue definition for risk assessment (RA): <b>SYN547897</b>				
Bovine kidney	<0.01	STMTR	0.016	HR
Sheep kidney	<0.01	STMTR	<0.01	HR
Swine kidney	<0.01	STMTR	<0.01	HR

Table 2.7.9-1: TMDI calculation linked to EU representative uses

Chronic risk assessment - refined calculations							
		TMDI (range) in % of ADI minimum - maximum					
		0 1					
		No of diets exceeding ADI: ---					
Highest calculated TMDI values in % of ADI	MS Diet	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities
1,4	NL child	0,6	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,2	Table grapes	0,2	Kale
1,3	FR all population	1,2	Wine grapes	0,1	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,0	Table grapes
1,1	DE child	0,4	Table grapes	0,3	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,3	Apples
1,0	WHO Cluster diet B	0,5	Wine grapes	0,1	Tomatoes	0,1	Table grapes
0,9	PT General population	0,7	Wine grapes	0,1	Table grapes	0,1	Potatoes
0,8	WHO cluster diet E	0,5	Wine grapes	0,1	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,0	Table grapes
0,8	FR infant	0,6	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,1	Apples	0,0	Potatoes
0,7	IE adult	0,4	Wine grapes	0,1	Table grapes	0,1	Milk and milk products: Cattle
0,7	NL general	0,2	Wine grapes	0,1	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,1	Kale
0,6	WHO cluster diet D	0,1	Kale	0,1	Wine grapes	0,1	Milk and milk products: Cattle
0,5	DK adult	0,4	Wine grapes	0,0	Table grapes	0,0	Tomatoes
0,5	ES child	0,3	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,0	Tomatoes	0,0	Bovine: Meat
0,5	WHO Cluster diet F	0,2	Wine grapes	0,1	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,0	Potatoes
0,5	SE general population 90th percentile	0,3	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,1	Kale	0,0	Potatoes
0,5	WHO regional European diet	0,1	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,1	Wine grapes	0,0	Tomatoes
0,4	ES adult	0,1	Wine grapes	0,1	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,0	Tomatoes
0,4	UK Adult	0,3	Wine grapes	0,0	Tomatoes	0,0	Potatoes
0,3	UK vegetarian	0,2	Wine grapes	0,0	Tomatoes	0,0	Table grapes
0,3	FR toddler	0,1	Table grapes	0,1	Apples	0,1	Potatoes
0,3	LT adult	0,1	Milk and milk products: Cattle	0,0	Apples	0,0	Potatoes
0,2	DK child	0,1	Cucumbers	0,1	Table grapes	0,1	Apples
0,2	PL general population	0,1	Table grapes	0,0	Apples	0,0	Tomatoes
0,2	UK Toddler	0,1	Table grapes	0,0	Potatoes	0,0	Apples
0,1	FI adult	0,1	Wine grapes	0,0	Tomatoes	0,0	Potatoes
0,1	IT kids/toddler	0,1	Tomatoes	0,0	Table grapes	0,0	Apples
0,1	IT adult	0,1	Tomatoes	0,0	Table grapes	0,0	Apples
0,1	UK Infant	0,0	Potatoes	0,0	Apples	0,0	Brussels sprouts

Separate risk assessment for metabolite SYN547897 (TTC approach)

Chronic risk assessment							
		TMDI (range) in % of ADI minimum - maximum					
		No of diets exceeding ADI: ---					
Highest calculated TMDI values in % of ADI	MS Diet	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities
0,2	WHO Cluster diet B	0,1	Bovine: Liver	0,1	Bovine: Liver		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,2	IE adult	0,2	Sheep: Liver		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,2	DK child	0,2	Bovine: Liver		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,2	NL child	0,1	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Swine: Liver	0,0	Bovine: Kidney
0,2	UK Infant	0,1	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Bovine: Kidney		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,1	DK adult	0,1	Bovine: Liver		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,1	ES child	0,0	Swine: Liver	0,0	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Swine: Kidney
0,0	WHO cluster diet D	0,0	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Bovine: Liver		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,0	NL general	0,0	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Swine: Liver		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,0	UK Toddler	0,0	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Bovine: Kidney		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,0	LT adult	0,0	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Swine: Liver		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,0	WHO Cluster diet F	0,0	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Bovine: Liver		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,0	ES adult	0,0	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Swine: Liver	0,0	Swine: Kidney
0,0	WHO cluster diet E	0,0	Bovine: Liver		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,0	UK Adult	0,0	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Bovine: Kidney		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)
0,0	WHO regional European diet	0,0	Bovine: Liver	0,0	Bovine: Kidney		FRUIT (FRESH OR FROZEN)

Table 2.7.9-2: IESTI calculation linked to EU representative uses

Acute risk assessment /children - refined calculations						Acute risk assessment / adults / general population - refined calculations					
The acute risk assessment is based on the ARfD.											
For each commodity the calculation is based on the highest reported MS consumption per kg bw and the corresponding unit weight from the MS with the critical consumption. If no data on the unit weight was available from that MS an average European unit weight was used for the IESTI calculation.											
In the IESTI 1 calculation, the variability factors were 10, 7 or 5 (according to JMPR manual 2002), for lettuce a variability factor of 5 was used.											
In the IESTI 2 calculations, the variability factors of 10 and 7 were replaced by 5. For lettuce the calculation was performed with a variability factor of 3.											
Threshold MRL is the calculated residue level which would leads to an exposure equivalent to 100 % of the ARfD.											
No of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI 1):			No of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI 2):			No of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI 1):			No of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI 2):		
1			---			---			---		
IESTI 1		*)	IESTI 2		*)	IESTI 1		*)	IESTI 2		*)
Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities	pTMRL/ threshold MRL (mg/kg)	Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities	pTMRL/ threshold MRL (mg/kg)	Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities	pTMRL/ threshold MRL (mg/kg)	Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities	pTMRL/ threshold MRL (mg/kg)
138,6	Kale	2,05 / 1,47	99,0	Kale	2,05 / -	41,8	Kale	2,05 / -	37,8	Table grapes	1,19 / -
77,9	Table grapes	1,19 / -	77,9	Table grapes	1,19 / -	37,8	Table grapes	1,19 / -	31,0	Kale	2,05 / -
13,7	Apples	0,14 / -	10,1	Apples	0,14 / -	6,3	Wine grapes	0,265 / -	6,3	Wine grapes	0,265 / -
12,7	Pears	0,14 / -	9,2	Pears	0,14 / -	5,1	Head cabbage	0,16 / -	3,0	Head cabbage	0,16 / -
9,1	Melons	0,06 / -	9,1	Melons	0,06 / -	3,1	Apples	0,14 / -	2,6	Apples	0,14 / -

Processed commodities	No of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded:			No of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded:		
	---			---		
	***)			***)		
Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities	pTMRL/ threshold MRL (mg/kg)	Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities	pTMRL/ threshold MRL (mg/kg)	
0,9	Apple juice	0,018 / -	0,2	Quince jelly	0,2 / -	
0,7	Tomato juice	0,04 / -	0,1	Apple juice	0,018 / -	
0,3	Pear juice	0,018 / -	0,1	Tomato (preserved-	0,04 / -	
0,3	Grape juice	0,009275 / -	0,0	Wine	0,009275 / -	
0,1	Potato puree (flakes)	0,01 / -	0,0	Raisins	0,0486 / -	

Separate risk assessment for metabolite SYN547897 (TTC approach)

Acute risk assessment /children						Acute risk assessment / adults / general population					
The acute risk assessment is based on the ARfD.											
For each commodity the calculation is based on the highest reported MS consumption per kg bw and the corresponding unit weight from the MS with the critical consumption. If no data on the unit weight was available from that MS an average European unit weight was used for the IESTI calculation.											
In the IESTI 1 calculation, the variability factors were 10, 7 or 5 (according to JMPR manual 2002), for lettuce a variability factor of 5 was used.											
In the IESTI 2 calculations, the variability factors of 10 and 7 were replaced by 5. For lettuce the calculation was performed with a variability factor of 3.											
Threshold MRL is the calculated residue level which would leads to an exposure equivalent to 100 % of the ARfD.											
No of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI 1):			No of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI 2):			No of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI 1):			No of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI 2):		
---			---			---			---		
IESTI 1		*	IESTI 2		*	IESTI 1		*	IESTI 2		*
Highest % of ARfD/ADI			Highest % of ARfD/ADI			Highest % of ARfD/ADI			Highest % of ARfD/ADI		
Commodities		pTMRL/ threshold MRL (mg/kg)	Commodities		pTMRL/ threshold MRL (mg/kg)	Commodities		pTMRL/ threshold MRL (mg/kg)	Commodities		pTMRL/ threshold MRL (mg/kg)
2,6	Bovine: Liver	0,016 / -	2,6	Bovine: Liver	0,016 / -	0,9	Bovine: Liver	0,016 / -	0,9	Bovine: Liver	0,016 / -
1,2	Bovine: Kidney	0,016 / -	1,2	Bovine: Kidney	0,016 / -	0,5	Bovine: Kidney	0,016 / -	0,5	Bovine: Kidney	0,016 / -
0,3	Swine: Kidney	0,01 / -	0,3	Swine: Kidney	0,01 / -	0,3	Swine: Kidney	0,01 / -	0,3	Swine: Kidney	0,01 / -
0,2	Swine: Liver	0,01 / -	0,2	Swine: Liver	0,01 / -	0,1	Sheep: Liver	0,01 / -	0,1	Sheep: Liver	0,01 / -
						0,1	Swine: Liver	0,01 / -	0,1	Swine: Liver	0,01 / -

**2.7.10 Proposed MRLs and compliance with existing MRLs**

Considering available residue trials and livestock feeding studies, MRLs related to EU intended uses can be proposed and are summarized below.

**Table 2.7.10-1: proposed MRL for EU representative uses**

Commodities	Proposed MRL	Comments
Apple	0,20 mg/kg	
Pear	0,20 mg/kg	
Table grape	2 mg/kg	
Wine grape	2 mg/kg	
Potatoes	0,01* mg/kg	MRL derived based on Northern trials
Tomato	0,15 mg/kg	
Cucumber	0,15 mg/kg	
Courgette	0,15 mg/kg	
Melon	0,10 mg/kg	
Watermelon	0,10 mg/kg	
Broccoli	0,15 mg/kg	
Cauliflower	0,07 mg/kg	
Head cabbage	0,20 mg/kg	
Brussels sprout	0,30 mg/kg	
Kales	An acute risk has been identified on kales	
Kohlrabi	0,20 mg/kg	
Ruminant muscle	0.02* mg/kg	
Ruminant fat	0.02* mg/kg	
Ruminant liver	0.02* mg/kg	
Ruminant kidney	0.02* mg/kg	
Milk	0.02* mg/kg	
Poultry muscle	0.02* mg/kg	
Poultry fat	0.02* mg/kg	
Poultry liver	0.02* mg/kg	
Poultry kidney	0.02* mg/kg	
Eggs	0.02* mg/kg	

**2.7.11 Proposed import tolerances and compliance with existing import tolerances**

See Appendix to the Volume 3 B7-CA of the DAR.

## 2.8 FATE AND BEHAVIOUR IN THE ENVIRONMENT

### 2.8.1 Summary of fate and behaviour in soil

#### Route of degradation

The fate and behaviour of SYN545974 in soils was investigated using both [<sup>14</sup>C]-phenyl labelled and [<sup>14</sup>C]-pyrazole labelled test substance.

The degradation of SYN545974 under dark, aerobic laboratory soil was investigated in five soils. Degradation was slow and no metabolites were observed at levels  $\geq 5\%$  of applied radioactivity. Levels of evolved carbon dioxide (<sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>) reached 0.2% to 16.5% AR by the end of the aerobic soil incubations at 365 DAT and unextracted residues increased slowly to between 5.2% AR and 14.9% AR at 365 DAT.

The degradation of SYN545974 under anaerobic laboratory soil conditions was also very slow. The study was conducted with four soils, with a preliminary aerobic incubation of 30 days before flooding the test soil samples. No novel metabolites were identified or formed at  $\geq 5\%$  AR during the anaerobic incubation. Mineralisation to carbon dioxide (<sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>) was negligible in all soils, reaching a maximum of  $<1\%$  AR by the end of soil incubations (120 days). Unextracted residues increased slowly to between 4.8% AR and 10.0% AR at 120 DAT.

In a laboratory soil photolysis study SYN545974 degraded relatively slowly in both dry and moist soil. No novel metabolites were identified or formed at  $\geq 5\%$  AR.

The enantiomeric composition of SYN545974 in soils was determined at the end of the aerobic and anaerobic incubations and at the end of the irradiation period in the soil photolysis study compared to the ratio in the SYN545974 application solutions. The SYN545974 enantiomer did not change significantly over the course of these degradation studies.

#### Rate of degradation

The rate of degradation of SYN545974 in standard dark aerobic laboratory studies has been determined in five different soil types at 20°C, pF2. DT<sub>50</sub> values were calculated both based on residues from non-harsh extractions (option supported by the applicant) and based on residues including harsh extractions (following RMS request). Only the latter ones are presented below, however Member States and EFSA are kindly invited to comment on this point (please refer to Vol. 3 B8 (AS) for further details). An expert discussion on the appropriate solvent extraction systems for determining route and rate of degradation in soil is proposed.

SYN545192 does not degrade significantly, and trigger DT<sub>50</sub> values (based on residues including harsh extractions) range from 469 to 4170 days, with DT<sub>90</sub> values ranging from 1560 to  $>10000$  days. Modelling DegT<sub>50</sub> values range from 469 to 4170 days, with a geometric mean of 1440 days.

Field soil studies were performed at six European locations across north and south Europe. SYN545974, as the SC formulation A19649B, was applied at 204 g a.s./ha to bare soil. The treated plots were covered with a thin layer of sand immediately after application to minimise the potential impact of surface processes on dissipation. Soil core samples were taken to a depth of up to 100 cm and analysed for residues of SYN545974. At the end of the sampling period, after approximately two years, total soil residues of SYN545974 at the six trial locations had dissipated by 38% to 76%, based on the nominal application rate. The enantiomeric composition of SYN545974 did not change significantly during the field soil dissipation studies.

Trigger field DT<sub>50</sub> values for SYN545974 range from 29 to 8540 days, with DegT<sub>90</sub> values ranging from 1820 to  $>10000$  days. Although the results of this kinetic analysis indicate that dissipation of SYN545974 from soil was slow it should be noted that these studies were designed according to the guidance of EFSA (2014) and as such losses *via* surface processes such as photolysis and volatilisation were minimised and the dissipation of SYN545974 occurred solely as a result of microbial degradation. The field soil DegT<sub>50matrix</sub> values for SYN545974 corrected to the standard conditions of 20°C and moisture at 10 kPa (pF2) range from 654 to 3210 days, with a geometric mean of 1334 days.

According to EFSA (2014), since geomean laboratory DT<sub>50</sub> is longer than 240 days and since at least 4 field DegT<sub>50matrix</sub> are available, the geomean of field DegT<sub>50matrix</sub> values should be used for environmental exposure modelling.

### Mobility

Adsorption coefficients for SYN545974 were determined in 6 soils using the batch equilibrium method.  $K_{FOC}$  values ranged from 1165 to 2206 mL/g (geomean: 1706 mL/g) and  $1/n$  ranged from 0.84 to 0.90 (arithmetic mean: 0.88). There is no indication of a relationship between soil adsorption of SYN545974 and soil pH. Using the McCall Classification scale, SYN545974 can be classified as having a low to slight potential mobility in soil.

Adsorption coefficients were also determined for the 2 water metabolites SYN545547 and NOA449410 in 5 soils, using the batch equilibrium method.

For SYN545547,  $K_{FOC}$  values ranged from 323 to 759 mL/g (geomean: 608 mL/g) and  $1/n$  ranged from 0.84 to 0.90 (arithmetic mean: 0.86). There is no indication of a relationship between soil adsorption of SYN545547 and soil pH. Using the McCall Classification scale, SYN545547 can be classified as having a low to medium potential mobility in soil.

For NOA449410,  $K_{FOC}$  values ranged from 0.3 to 6.1 mL/g (geomean: 2.1 mL/g) and  $1/n$  ranged from 0.78 to 1.02 (arithmetic mean: 0.90). There is no indication of a relationship between soil adsorption of NOA449410 and soil pH. Using the McCall Classification scale, NOA449410 can be classified as having a very high potential mobility in soil.

Column leaching studies, aged residue column leaching studies and lysimeter studies were not conducted since reliable adsorption coefficient values could be obtained from the adsorption/desorption studies reported. No studies are required.

### **2.8.2 Summary of fate and behaviour in water and sediment [equivalent to section 11.1 of the CLH report template]**

The fate and behaviour of SYN545974 in water was investigated using both [ $^{14}C$ ]-phenyl labelled and [ $^{14}C$ ]-pyrazole labelled test substance, except for hydrolysis which was studied with [ $^{14}C$ ]-pyrazole labelled test substance only.

SYN545974 was stable to hydrolysis under acidic, neutral and alkaline conditions at 50°C. It is therefore expected to be stable at 25°C.

Aqueous photolysis of SYN545974 was studied in pH7 buffer (direct photolysis) and in natural water (indirect photolysis). SYN545974 was degraded, primarily by dechlorination and phenyl ring degradation to produce phenyl-hydroxylated metabolites, carboxylic acid metabolites and carbon dioxide. Estimated DT50 were 93 and 35 days (summer sunlight 30-50°N) in pH 7 buffer and natural water, respectively. No photo-degradates reached levels  $\geq 5\%$  AR via direct photolysis. Photolysis in natural water led to the formation of SYN548261 at  $\geq 5\%$  AR at two consecutive sampling intervals (maximum 7.3% AR after 21 days) and NOA449410 at a maximum level of 5.8% AR by the end of the experimental period (30 days).

SYN545974 was not considered readily biodegradable under the conditions of the available test.

The aerobic mineralisation and degradation of SYN545974 in surface water was determined in the laboratory under dark conditions and light/dark conditions. No significant degradation of SYN545974 was observed throughout the study. Mineralization was low ( $< 1\%$ ) in all systems tested. DT50 were extrapolated beyond the study period in all incubation groups and ranged from 637 to  $>1000$  days for dark incubation and from 402 to 662 days for light/dark incubation.

The rate and route of degradation of [ $^{14}C$ ]-SYN545974 has been investigated in two water-sediment systems under laboratory aerobic and anaerobic conditions in the dark.

In the aerobic systems 70-74% of applied SYN545974 remained in the total systems after 100 days (end of study). Only one metabolite was observed at levels above 5% AR and this was identified as SYN545547. It increased throughout the duration of the study and accounted for up to 12.3% AR in sediment extracts and 12.8% AR in the total system after 100 days.

In the anaerobic systems, 54-64% of applied SYN545974 remained in the total systems after 100 days. As in the aerobic systems, the only metabolite exceeding 5% of applied radioactivity was SYN545547. It increased throughout the duration of the study and accounted for up to 26.5% AR in sediment extracts, 10.8% in water and 32.4% AR in the total system after 100 days.

The rate of degradation of SYN545974 and its metabolite SYN545547 in aquatic systems were assessed from the data from the aerobic water-sediment study according to FOCUS guidance on degradation kinetics (FOCUS 2006,

2011). The persistence endpoints for SYN545974 were DegT<sub>50</sub> 270-299 days (DegT<sub>90</sub> 976-1100 days) for degradation in the whole system and DT<sub>50</sub> 0.74-8.03 days (DegT<sub>90</sub> 33.1-86.9 days) for dissipation in the water column. The modelling endpoints for SYN545974 ranged from 244 to 252 days (geometric mean DegT<sub>50</sub> 248 days) for degradation in the whole system. For the metabolite SYN545547, persistence endpoints were DegT<sub>50</sub> 18.6-455 days (DegT<sub>90</sub> 61.9-1510 days). The modelling whole system degradation endpoints ranged from 18.6 to 455 days (geometric mean DegT<sub>50</sub> 92.0 days).

The enantiomeric composition of SYN545974 in water was determined at the end of the aerobic mineralization study, at the end of the aerobic and anaerobic incubations in water/sediment studies, and at the end of the irradiation period in the water photolysis study compared to the ratio in the SYN545974 application solutions. The SYN545974 enantiomer did not change significantly over the course of these degradation studies.

Satisfactory information was not available to address the effect of water treatment processes on the nature of the residues that might be present in water when it is abstracted for drinking water. A data gap has been identified.

**2.8.2.1 Rapid degradability of organic substances**

Table 53: Summary of relevant information on rapid degradability

Method	Results	Key or Supportive study	Remarks	Reference
OECD 301 F	After 28 days: ThOD <sub>NO3</sub> = 3.4% ThOD <sub>NH3</sub> = 4.7%	Key study	-	Simon, M., (2015)

**2.8.2.1.1 Ready biodegradability**

The ready biodegradability of SYN545974 was determined in Simons 2015 by observing the BOD (biochemical oxygen demand, OECD 301F) using manometric methods over 28 days at 22°C in the dark. An inoculum control and a procedure control as well as toxicity controls were incubated for 28 days in the darkness at 22°C. Aerobic activated sludge from a waste water treatment plant treating predominantly domestic wastewater was used as the inoculum. As a procedure control, the reference item sodium benzoate was tested. The toxicity control contained both test material and the reference item sodium benzoate.

The percentage biodegradation of test material and of the reference item sodium benzoate was calculated based on their biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and theoretical oxygen demand (ThOD). Since the test item contains nitrogen, the % biodegradation was calculated based on the ThOD<sub>NH4</sub> (considering that nitrification is absent) and ThOD<sub>NO3</sub> (considering that nitrification is complete). No significant biological oxygen demand was observed and consequently the effects of nitrification did not need to be considered.

*Biodegradation in sludge exposed to the test item*

The biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) of the test item SYN545974 in the test media was in the range of the inoculum controls throughout the study period of 28 days. Consequently, SYN545974 was not biodegradable under the test conditions within 28 days.

*Biodegradation of the reference item in the procedure controls*

In the procedural controls, the reference item was degraded by an average of 81% by Exposure Day 14, thus confirming suitability of the activated sludge. At the end of the test (Day 28), the reference item was degraded by an average of 84%.

*Biodegradation in the toxicity control*

In the toxicity control containing both the test item SYN545974 and the reference item the course of oxygen consumption over the 28 day exposure period was similar to the two procedure controls, containing only the reference item. Within 14 days of exposure, biodegradation amounted to 58% based on the ThOD<sub>NO3</sub> and to 64% based on the ThOD<sub>NH3</sub>.

Thus, according to the test guidelines, the test item had no inhibitory effect on activated sludge microorganisms at the tested concentration of 44 mg/L because biodegradation in the toxicity control was >25% within 14 days.

**2.8.2.1.2 BOD5/COD**

No data available.

### **2.8.2.2 Other convincing scientific evidence**

#### **2.8.2.2.1 Aquatic simulation tests**

Please refer to 2.8.2.

#### **2.8.2.2.2 Field investigations and monitoring data (if relevant for C&L)**

No data available.

#### **2.8.2.2.3 Inherent and enhanced ready biodegradability tests**

Please refer to 2.8.2.1.1.

#### **2.8.2.2.4 Soil and sediment degradation data**

Please refer to 2.8.1 for soil degradation and to 2.8.2 for sediment degradation (water/sediment systems).

#### **2.8.2.2.5 Hydrolysis**

Please refer to 2.8.2.

#### **2.8.2.2.6 Photochemical degradation**

Please refer to 2.8.2.

#### **2.8.2.2.7 Other / Weight of evidence**

No additional data available.

### **2.8.3 Summary of fate and behaviour in air**

SYN545974 has a vapour pressure of  $1.84 \times 10^{-7}$  Pa at 20°C. According to FOCUS Air guidance criteria, significant volatilisation of SYN545974 is therefore unlikely to occur.

The reaction of SYN545974 in the atmosphere with hydroxyl radicals has been estimated using the method of Atkinson as developed in the Atmospheric Oxidation Program v1.91.

The estimated half-life of SYN545974 in the atmosphere (by hydroxyl radical oxidation) is 5.85 hours, based on OH (12h) concentration of  $1.5 \times 10^6$  radicals/cm<sup>3</sup> as recommended in FOCUS Air guidance document. SYN545974 is therefore not expected to be persistent in air and is unlikely to be subject to significant concerns relating to long range atmospheric transport and atmospheric accumulation.

Based on the available data, SYN545974 is unlikely to undergo significant volatilisation and any residues reaching air will be rapidly degraded. Therefore the compound will not be subject to significant concerns related to long range atmospheric transport and atmospheric accumulation.

#### **2.8.3.1 Hazardous to the ozone layer**

##### **2.8.3.1.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on hazards to the ozone layer**

Based on the available data presented under 2.8.3, there is no evidence that SYN545974 may present a danger to the structure and/or the functioning of the stratospheric ozone layer.

##### **2.8.3.1.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria**

Based on the available data presented under 2.8.3, there is no evidence that SYN545974 may present a danger to the structure and/or the functioning of the stratospheric ozone layer.

##### **2.8.3.1.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for hazardous to the ozone layer**

Based on the available data presented under 2.8.3, there is no evidence that SYN545974 may present a danger to the structure and/or the functioning of the stratospheric ozone layer.

#### **2.8.4 Summary of monitoring data concerning fate and behaviour of the active substance, metabolites, degradation and reaction products**

SYN545974 is a new active substance and therefore monitoring data are not available. No monitoring data relative to metabolites was provided.

#### **2.8.5 Definition of the residues in the environment requiring further assessment**

The following residue definition for risk assessment in environmental compartments is proposed:

**Soil:** SYN545974

**Groundwater:** SYN545974

**Surface water:** SYN545974, SYN548261 and NOA449410

**Sediment:** SYN545974, SYN545547

**Air:** SYN545974

#### **2.8.6 Summary of exposure calculations and product assessment**

Exposure calculations were performed for the intended uses of A19649B, a suspension concentrate (SC) containing 200 g/L SYN545974.

##### Soil

PECsoil were calculated for the formulation A19649B and the active substance SYN545974 according to FOCUS recommendations (FOCUS 1997, FOCUS 2014) for all the intended uses. For SYN545974, the longest laboratory best-fit DT<sub>50</sub> at 20°C was used. Since SYN545974 is persistent in soil, PECplateau values were also calculated.

PECsoil are available in Volume 3 B.8 (PPP) under B.8.2.

##### Groundwater

PECgw were calculated for the intended uses of A19649B for SYN545974 according to FOCUS recommendations (FOCUS 2000, FOCUS 2014, European Commission 2014) at Tier 1 of the tiered assessment scheme proposed by the FOCUS groundwater higher tier working group. The models FOCUS PELMO 5.5.3, FOCUS PEARL 4.4.4 and FOCUS MACRO 5.5.4 were used. Annual applications of A19649B were considered.

PECgw for SYN545974 were calculated using both laboratory and field DT50. However according to EFSA (2014), the geometric mean of field DegT50matrix is suitable for environmental exposure modelling. Only results from the simulations performed with the field DT50 are presented below.

PECgw for SYN545974 are < 0.1 µg/L in all scenarios for all intended uses (please refer to Volume 3 B.8 (PPP) under B.8.3). Therefore the potential for groundwater exposure by SYN545974 above the parametric drinking water limit of 0.1 µg/L from the representative uses is expected to be low in geoclimatic situations that are represented by the relevant FOCUS groundwater scenarios.

##### Surface water and sediment

PECsw and PECsed for the intended uses of A19649B were calculated for SYN545974 and its metabolites according to FOCUS recommendations (FOCUS 2001, FOCUS 2015), considering the entry routes spray drift, drainage and runoff.

FOCUS Step 1-2 calculations were performed for SYN545974 and its metabolites SYN548261, NOA449410 and SYN545547 using the tool STEPS 1-2 in FOCUS version 3.2.

Further calculations were performed in FOCUS Step 3 for SYN545974 using the software package FOCUS SWASH 5.3, including FOCUS MACRO 5.5.4, FOCUS PRZM 4.3.1 and FOCUS TOXSWA 4.4.

No mitigation measures were implemented.

PECsw are available in Volume 3 B.8 (PPP) under B.8.5. It is highlighted that the calculations provided for the use pome fruits cover the application period from BBCH 70. Additional calculations should be provided by the applicant to cover the whole intended application period (BBCH 56-79) for SYN545974 and its metabolites in Step 1-2 and for SYN545974 in Step 3. For potatoes, for multiple applications on potatoes with late application window, the interval between applications was erroneously set to 1 day in Step 3. Updated PECsw calculations should be provided by the applicant for this use.

Air

Based on the available data, SYN545974 is unlikely to undergo significant volatilisation and any residues reaching air will be rapidly degraded. Therefore the compound will not be subject to significant concerns related to long range atmospheric transport and atmospheric accumulation. No PEC calculations are considered necessary.

Other routes of exposure

No other routes of exposure were identified.

**2.9 EFFECTS ON NON-TARGET SPECIES**

**2.9.1 Summary of effects on birds and other terrestrial vertebrates**

Table 54: Summary of SYN545974 and A19649B toxicity endpoints for birds

Test type	Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Value (ppm a.s.)	Value (mg a.s./kg bw/d)	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Acute oral	SYN545974	Bobwhite quail ( <i>Colinus virginianus</i> )	LD <sub>50</sub>	-	>2 000 <sup>a</sup>	Hubbard and Beavers, 2013 SYN545974_10062
		Canary ( <i>Serinus canaria</i> )	LD <sub>50</sub>	-	>2 000 <sup>a</sup>	Hubbard and Beavers, 2013a SYN545974_10065
	A19649B	Bobwhite quail ( <i>Colinus virginianus</i> )	LD <sub>50</sub>	>2 000 <sup>a</sup> (equivalent to >372 mg a.s./kg bw)	Hubbard and Beavers, 2014 A19649B_10017	Bobwhite quail ( <i>Colinus virginianus</i> )
Short-term dietary	SYN545974	Bobwhite quail ( <i>Colinus virginianus</i> )	LC <sub>50</sub>	>5 620	>1 258	Hubbard <i>et al.</i> , 2013 SYN545974_10063
		Mallard duck ( <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> )	LC <sub>50</sub>	>5 620	>2 437	Hubbard <i>et al.</i> , 2013a SYN545974_10064
Sub-chronic and reproduction	SYN545974	Bobwhite quail ( <i>Colinus virginianus</i> )	NOEC	1 000	90.1	Frey <i>et al.</i> , 2015 SYN545974_10130
		Mallard duck ( <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> )	NOEC	1 000	141	Frey <i>et al.</i> , 2014 SYN545974_10134

<sup>a</sup> Conducted following test guideline OECD 223.

Table 55: Summary of SYN545974 and A19649B toxicity endpoints to mammals

Test substance	Test type	Test species	Endpoint	Value	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
SYN545974	Acute oral	Rat	LD <sub>50</sub>	>5 000 mg a.s./kg bw	Petus – Árpásy, 2012 SYN545974_10043
	2 generation	Rat	NOAEL	36 mg a.s./kg bw/d	Penn, 2015 SYN545974_10177
A19649B	Acute oral	Rat	LD <sub>50</sub>	2 958 mg A19649B/kg bw	Zelenàk, 2013 A19649B_10003

**2.9.2 Summary of effects on aquatic organisms [section 11.5 of the CLH report]**

**2.9.2.1 Bioaccumulation [equivalent to section 11.4 of the CLH report template]**

Table 56: Summary of relevant information on bioaccumulation

Method	Results	Remarks	Reference
Bioconcentration in Bluegill sunfish ( <i>Lepomis macrochirus</i> ) Nominal test conc. 4.9 µg/L, flow-through Uptake; 19 days Depuration; 7 days 23°C Test material; [Phenyl-U- <sup>14</sup> C]SYN545974 (purity; 97.5%, radiochemical purity; 99.1%); Non-radiolabelled test material; SYN545974 (purity; 98.5%) Guidelines: OECD 305, OPPTS 850.1730 GLP	BCF <sub>SS</sub> = 27.7 BCF <sub>SS, Lipid Normalised</sub> = 31.1 BCF <sub>k</sub> = 168 BCF <sub>k, lipid normalised</sub> = 189	BCF based on measured test concentrations in water and whole fish tissue using LSC and HPLC/RAM Depuration half-life of accumulated residues was 0.52, 0.44 and 0.41 days for edible, non-edible and whole fish respectively	Kang (2014)

**2.9.2.1.1 Estimated bioaccumulation**

The experimentally derived Log Kow of SYN545974 is 3.8 at 25°C. For classification and labelling purposes a substance with Log Kow <4 may be considered unlikely to bioaccumulate in aquatic organisms.

**2.9.2.1.2 Measured partition coefficient and bioaccumulation test data**

For pesticide registration, a Log Kow >3 triggers the requirement for a bioconcentration study. Since the Log Kow of SYN545974 is >3 a bioconcentration study has been conducted (Kang, 2014).

The bioconcentration factors BCF<sub>SS, lipid-normalized</sub> and BCF<sub>k, lipid-normalized</sub> for whole fish were 31.1 and 189, respectively. According to CLP criteria, a measured BCF ≥ 500 indicates a potential for bioaccumulation. Since both BCF<sub>SS</sub> and BCF<sub>k</sub> are <500, SYN545974 is not considered to be bioaccumulative for the purpose of classification and labelling.

**2.9.2.2 Acute aquatic hazard [equivalent to section 11.5 of the CLH report template]**

Table 57: Summary of relevant information on acute aquatic toxicity

Substance	Species	Test guidelines	Endpoint	Toxicity value	Conditions	Reference
<b>Fish</b>						

SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i> (Bluegill Sunfish)	OECD 203, OPPTS 850.1075	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub>	0.48 mg/L Mean measured	Flow- through Dilution water control used pH 7.1-7.4 21-22°C GLP	Fournier, 2014 SYN545974 _10129
			96 hour NOEC	0.2 mg/L Mean measured		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i> (Common carp)	OECD 203, OPPTS 850.1075	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub>	0.33 mg/L Mean measured	Flow- through Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used. pH 7.2-7.4 22-23°C GLP	Fournier, 2013a SYN545974 _10066
			96 hour NOEC	0.13 mg/L Mean measured		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 99.5%)	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow Trout)	OECD 203, EC L142/446 C.1, OPPTS 850.1075	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub>	0.18 mg/L Mean measured	Flow- through Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used. pH 6.7 – 7.4 14-16°C GLP	Fournier, 2012 SYN545974 _10014
			96 hour NOEC	0.12 mg/L Mean measured		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 99.5%)	<i>Pimephales promelas</i> (Fathead Minnow)	OECD 203, OPPTS 850.1075	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub>	0.35 mg/L Mean measured	Flow- through Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used. pH 6.9 – 7.3 21-23°C GLP	Fournier, 2013 SYN545974 _10068
			96 hour NOEC	0.24 mg/L Mean measured		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i> (Sheepshead Minnow)	Guidelines: OECD 203, OPPTS 850.1075	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub>	0.66 mg/L Mean measured	Flow- through Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used pH 7.7 – 7.8 22-23°C GLP	Fournier, 2013a SYN545974 _10066
			96 hour NOEC	0.48 mg/L Mean measured		
<b>Aquatic invertebrates including sediment</b>						

SYN545974 tech. (purity 99.5%)	<i>Daphnia magna</i> (water flea)	OECD 202, EC L142/456 C.2, OPPTS 850.1010	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub>	0.42 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)	Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used. pH 8.0 – 8.3 20-21°C GLP	Fournier, 2012a SYN545974 _10016
			48 hour NOEC	0.057 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Asellus aquaticus</i>	No specific guideline but OECD 202 was consulted	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub>	4.21 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)	Static Negative and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used. pH 8.03 – 8.29 19.8-20.7°C GLP	Pickering, 2015 SYN545974 _10305
			48 hour NOEC	3.07 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Chaoborus crystallinus</i>	No specific guideline but OECD 202 was consulted	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub>	2.49 mg/L Mean measured (mobility)	Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used pH 7.7 – 8.38 18.4-20.4°C GLP	Joyce, 2015 SYN545974 _10341
			48 hour NOEC	0.333 mg/L Mean measured		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Chironomus riparius</i>	No specific guideline but OECD 202 was consulted	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub>	0.69 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)	Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used pH 7.7 – 8.38 19.5 - 21.6°C GLP	Joyce, 2015a SYN545974 _10316 Pickering, 2015a SYN545974 _10315
			48 hour NOEC	0.351 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)		

<p>SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)</p>	<p><i>Cloeon dipterum</i></p>	<p>No specific guideline but OECD 202 was consulted</p>	<p>48 hour NOEC</p>	<p>5.01 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)</p>	<p>Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used.  No significant dose response therefore an EC50 could not be determined.  pH 7.73 – 8.25  18.1 - 20.6°C GLP</p>	<p>Pickering, 2015b SYN545974 _10306</p>
<p>SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)</p>	<p><i>Crangonx pseudogracilis</i></p>	<p>No specific guideline but OECD 202 was consulted</p>	<p>48 hour EC<sub>50</sub></p>	<p>1.23 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)</p>	<p>Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used.  pH 7.73 – 8.25  18.1 - 20.6°C GLP</p>	<p>Joyce, 2015a SYN545974 _10316</p>
			<p>48 hour NOEC</p>	<p>0.333 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)</p>		
<p>SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)</p>	<p><i>Cyclops agilis speratus</i></p>	<p>No specific guideline but OECD 202 was consulted</p>	<p>48 hour EC<sub>50</sub></p>	<p>4.17 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)</p>	<p>Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used.  pH 7.66 – 8.49  18.4 – 21.9°C GLP</p>	<p>Joyce, 2015b SYN54597 4_10347</p>
			<p>48 hour NOEC</p>	<p>0.822 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)</p>		
<p>SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)</p>	<p><i>Lumbriculus variegatus</i></p>	<p>No specific guideline but OECD 202 was consulted</p>	<p>48 hour EC<sub>50</sub></p>	<p>4.65 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)</p>	<p>Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used.  pH 7.93 – 8.57  16.2 – 23.3°C GLP</p>	<p>Pickering, 2015c SYN54597 4_10304</p>
			<p>48 hour NOEC</p>	<p>3.14 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)</p>		

SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Lymnaea stagnalis</i>	No specific guideline but OECD 202 was consulted	48 hour NOEC	7.3 mg/L Mean measured	Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used.  pH 7.89 – 8.68 19.2 – 20.4°C GLP  Only a single concentration tested therefore an EC50 could not be determined	Pickering, 2015c SYN54597 4_10304
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>	OECD 202, OPPTS 850.1010	48 hour LC <sub>50</sub>	0.12 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)	Static Dilution water control used.  pH 8.2 – 8.5 22.6 – 24.7°C GLP	Brougher <i>et al.</i> 2015 SYN54597 4_10354
			48 hour NOEC	0.009 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 99.5%)	<i>Americamysis bahia</i> (Mysid)	OPPTS 850.1035, OPPTS 850.1000	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub>	0.16 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)	Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used.  pH 7.8 - 8.2 24 - 25°C GLP	Fournier, 2012b SYN545974 _10015
			96 hour NOEC	0.11 mg/L Mean measured (immobility)		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Crassostrea virginica</i> (Eastern Oyster)	OPPTS 850.1025	96 hour EC <sub>50</sub>	0.31 mg/L Mean measured	Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used.  pH 7.5 - 8.1 21 - 23°C GLP	Fournier, 2014a SYN54597 4_10099
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Leptocheirus plumulosus</i> (amphipod)	OPPTS 850.1740	10 day LC <sub>50</sub>	>92 mg/kg Mean measured	Static Negative and solvent (acetone)	Bradley, 2015b SYN54597 4_50120

			10 day NOEC	46 mg/kg Mean measured (mortality)	control used. pH (water) 7.8 - 8.4 24 - 26°C GLP	
<b>Algae and aquatic plants</b>						
SYN545974 tech. (purity 99.5%)	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i> (Freshwater Green Alga)	OECD 201, OPPTS 850.5400, EC 761/2009 C.3	72 hour E <sub>r</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	>5.9 mg/L Mean measured	Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used. pH at start 7.3 – 7.5 pH at end 7.9 – 9.6 23 – 24°C GLP	Kirkwood, 2013 SYN54597 4_10013
			72 hour E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	3.6 mg/L Mean measured		
			72 hour E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	4.3 mg/L Mean measured		
			72 hour NOEC	0.9 mg/L (for all endpoints) Mean measured		
			96 hour NOE <sub>r</sub> C	0.093 mg/L		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Skeletonema costatum</i> (Marine diatom)	OECD 201, OPPTS 850.5400	72 hour E <sub>r</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	2.7 mg/L Mean measured	Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used. pH at start 7.8 – 8.1 pH at end 7.7 – 8.5 20 – 22°C GLP	Soucy, 2014 SYN54597 4_10105)
			72 hour E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	2.7 mg/L Mean measured		
			72 hour E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	2.7 mg/L Mean measured		
			72 hour NOE <sub>r</sub> C	2.4 mg/L (for all endpoints) Mean measured		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Anabaena flos- aquae</i> (Freshwater Blue-Green Alga)	OECD 201, OCSP 850.4550	72 hour E <sub>r</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	3.6 mg/L Mean measured	Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control	Soucy, 2013 SYN54597 4_10091
			72 hour E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	3.5 mg/L Mean measured		

			72 hour E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	3.6 mg/L Mean measured	used. pH at start 7.0 – 7.2	
			72 hour NOE <sub>r</sub> C	2.7 mg/L (for all endpoints) Mean measured	pH at end 7.5 – 9.6 23 – 25°C GLP	
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i> (Freshwater Diatom)	OECD 201, OCSPP 850.4550	72 hour E <sub>r</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	1.6 mg/L Mean measured	Static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used. pH at start 7.3 – 7.6 pH at end 7.3 – 8.7 24 – 26°C GLP	Soucy, 2015 SYN54597 4_10097
			72 hour E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	1.5 mg/L Mean measured		
			72 hour E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	1.5 mg/L Mean measured		
			72 hour NOE <sub>r</sub> C	0.89 mg/L (for all endpoints) Mean measured		
SYN545974 tech. (purity 98.5%)	<i>Lemna gibba</i> (Duckweed)	OECD 221 OPPTS 850.4400	7 day E <sub>r</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	>6.3 mg/L (for all endpoints) Mean measured	Semi-static Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.1 ml/L) control used. pH 7.8 – 8.2 (new solns.) pH 8.4 – 9.0 (aged solns.) 24 – 25°C GLP Results are based on frond no. and dry wt	Soucy, 2015a SYN54597 4_10088
			7 day E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	>6.3 mg/L (for all endpoints) Mean measured		
			7 day NOE <sub>r</sub> C	6.3 mg/L (for all endpoints) Mean measured		

**2.9.2.2.1 Acute (short-term) toxicity to fish**

Five studies on SYN545974 with supporting specific analysis showed short-term (96 hour) acute toxicity to fish across a range of species (see below). 96 hour LC<sub>50</sub> values were within a factor of 4 with the lowest being for *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (LC<sub>50</sub> = 0.18 mg/L).

Table 56: Summary of SYN545974 acute toxicity endpoints for fish

Test type	Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Value (mg/L)	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Acute toxicity	SYN545974	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub> (flow-through)	0.18	Fournier, 2012a SYN545974_10014
		<i>Cyprinus carpio</i> (Common carp)	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub> (flow-through)	0.33	Fournier, 2013a SYN545974_10066
		<i>Pimephales promelas</i> (Fathead minnow)	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub> (flow-through)	0.35	Fournier, 2013b SYN545974_10068
		<i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i> (Sheepshead minnow)	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub> (flow-through)	0.66	Fournier, 2013c SYN545974_10067
		<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i> (Bluegill sunfish)	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub> (flow-through)	0.48	Fournier, 2014a SYN545974_10129

**2.9.2.2.2 Acute (short-term) toxicity to aquatic invertebrates**

Thirteen studies on SYN545974 with supporting specific analysis showed short-term (48 hour) acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates across a range of species, including sediment dwelling species, with EC<sub>50</sub>'s ranging from 0.12 to > 7.3 mg/L (see below). The lowest EC<sub>50</sub> was for the freshwater amphipod *Hyaella Aztec* (48 hour EC<sub>50</sub> = 0.12 mg/L).

Table 57: Summary of SYN545974 acute toxicity endpoints for aquatic invertebrates (including sediment dwelling species)

Test type	Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Value (mg/L)	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Acute toxicity	SYN545974	<i>Daphnia magna</i> (Water flea)	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub> (static)	0.42	Fournier, 2012b SYN545974_10016
		<i>Americamysis bahia</i> (Mysid shrimp)	96 hour LC <sub>50</sub> (static)	0.16	Fournier, 2012c SYN545974_10015
		<i>Asellus aquaticus</i> (Water louse)	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub> (static)	4.21	Pickering, 2015a SYN545974_10305
		<i>Chaoborus crystallinus</i> (Phantom midge)	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub> (static)	2.49	Joyce, 2015a SYN545974_10341
		<i>Chironomus riparius</i> (Non-biting midge / Harlequin fly)	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub> (static)	0.69	Joyce, 2015b SYN545974_10316
		<i>Cloeon dipterum</i> (Mayfly)	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub> (static)	>5.01	Pickering, 2015b SYN545974_10315
		<i>Crangonx pseudogracilis</i> (Freshwater amphipod)	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub> (static)	1.23	Pickering, 2015c SYN545974_10306
		<i>Crassostrea virginica</i> (Eastern oyster)	96 hour EC <sub>50</sub> shell deposition (flow through)	0.31	Fournier, 2014b SYN545974_10099
		<i>Cyclops agilis speratus</i> (Copepod)	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub> (static)	4.17	Joyce, 2015c SYN545974_10347
		<i>Hyaella Azteca</i> (Freshwater amphipod)	48 hour LC <sub>50</sub> (static)	0.12	Brougher <i>et al</i> , 2015 SYN545974_10354

Test type	Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Value (mg/L)	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
		<i>Lumbriculus variegatus</i> (Blackworm)	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub> (static)	4.65	Pickering, 2015d SYN545974_10304
		<i>Lymnaea stagnalis</i> (Great pond snail)	48 hour EC <sub>50</sub> (static)	>7.30	Pickering, 2015e SYN545974_10303
		<i>Leptocheirus plumulosus</i> (Amphipod)	10 day LC <sub>50</sub> (spiked sediment)	>92	Bradley, 2015b SYN545974_50120

### 2.9.2.2.3 Acute (short-term) toxicity to algae or aquatic plants

Four studies on SYN545974 with supporting specific analysis showed short-term (72 h) toxicity to a range of algae species, with 72 h EC<sub>50</sub>'s for growth (E<sub>r</sub>C<sub>50</sub>) ranging from 1.6 to > 5.9 mg/L (see values in bold below). An additional study showed relatively lower short-term toxicity to Duckweed (*Lemna gibba*) (7 day EC<sub>50</sub> > 6.3 mg/L). The lowest E<sub>r</sub>C<sub>50</sub> was for the freshwater diatom *Naviculla pelliculosa* (72 h E<sub>r</sub>C<sub>50</sub> = 1.6 mg/L).

Table 58: Summary of SYN545974 toxicity endpoints for algae and aquatic plants

Test type	Test item	Test species	Endpoint	Value (mg/L)	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Algal toxicity	SYN545974	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i> (Green alga)	72 hour E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	4.3	Kirkwood, 2013 SYN545974_10013
			72 hour E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	3.6	
			<b>72 hour E<sub>r</sub>C<sub>50</sub></b>	<b>&gt; 5.9</b>	
		<i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i> (Blue-green alga)	72 hour E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	3.6	Soucy, 2013 SYN545974_10091
			72 hour E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	3.5	
			<b>72 hour E<sub>r</sub>C<sub>50</sub></b>	<b>3.6</b>	
		<i>Naviculla pelliculosa</i> (Diatom)	72 hour E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	1.5	Soucy, 2015a SYN545974_10097
			72 hour E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	1.5	
			<b>72 hour E<sub>r</sub>C<sub>50</sub></b>	<b>1.6</b>	
	<i>Skeletonema costatum</i> (Diatom)	72 hour E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	2.7	Soucy, 2014 SYN545974_10105	
		72 hour E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	2.7		
		<b>72 hour E<sub>r</sub>C<sub>50</sub></b>	<b>2.7</b>		
Aquatic plant toxicity	SYN545974	<i>Lemna gibba</i> (Duckweed)	7 day EC <sub>50</sub> Fronds	>6.3	Soucy, 2015b SYN545974_10088
			7 day EC <sub>50</sub> Dry weight	>6.3	

### 2.9.2.2.4 Acute (short-term) toxicity to other aquatic organisms

No additional data

### 2.9.2.3 Long-term aquatic hazard [equivalent to section 11.6 of the CLH report template]

Table 59: Summary of relevant information on chronic aquatic toxicity

Substance (purity)	Species	Test guidelines	Endpoint	Toxicity value	Conditions	Reference
<b>Fish</b>						
SYN545974 (purity 99.5%)	<i>Pimephales promelas</i> (Fathead Minnow)	OECD 210, OPPTS 850.1400, EC L.142/603, C.15	32 day NOEC (survival, mean length and mean dry weight)	0.025 mg/L Mean measured	Fish early life stage test 32 day (28 day post hatch) Flow-through Dilution water and solvent (DMF 0.004 ml/L) control used. pH 7.1-7.8 24-27°C GLP A statistically significant reduction in larval hatch at 0.064 mg/L was not biologically significant as it did not lead to significant effects on larval survival compared to control	Sayers, 2015a SYN545974_10080
			32 day NOAEC (survival, mean length and mean dry weight)	0.064 mg/L Mean measured		
			32 day EC <sub>10</sub> 32 day EC <sub>20</sub> (Body length)	0.15 0.32 mg/L Mean measured		
			32 d EC <sub>10</sub> (Body weight)	0.13 mg/L Mean measured		
SYN545974 (purity 98.5%)	<i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i> (Sheepshead Minnow)	OECD 210, OPPTS 850.1400	32 day NOEC (survival, mean length and mean dry weight)	0.17 mg/L Mean measured	Fish early life stage test 34 day (28 day post hatch) Flow-through Dilution water control used. pH 7.2-8.0 25-26°C GLP	Sayers, 2015b SYN545974_10293
			EC <sub>10</sub> (Embryo hatching success)	0.34 mg/L Mean measured		
<b>Aquatic invertebrates including sediment</b>						

SYN545974 (purity 99.5%)	<i>Daphnia Magna</i>	OECD 211, OPPTS 850.1300, EC L.142/674, C.20	21 day NOEC (survival, reproduction, growth)	0.042 mg/L Mean measured	Reproduction test 21 day Static- renewal pH 7.8-9.0 20-21°C Dilution water control used. GLP	Fournier, 2015 SYN545974_10017
			21 day EC <sub>10</sub> 21 day EC <sub>20</sub> (survival)	0.094 >0.31 mg/L Mean measured		
			21 day EC <sub>10</sub> 21 day EC <sub>20</sub> (reproduction)	0.085 0.13 mg/L Mean measured		
			21 day EC <sub>10</sub> 21 day EC <sub>20</sub> (body length)	0.21 >0.31 mg/L Mean measured		
			21 day EC <sub>10</sub> 21 day EC <sub>20</sub> (dry weight)	0.16 0.20 mg/L Mean measured		
SYN545974 (purity 98.5%)	<i>Chironomus dilutus</i>	OPPTS 850.1760, EPA Test method 100.4 (2000)	20 day EC <sub>50</sub> (larval survival & growth)	> 93 mg/kg dry wt Mean measured	Life-Cycle Test 59 d Negative and solvent (acetone) control used. pH (water) 7.3-7.7 21-26°C GLP	Sayers, 2015b SYN545974_10293
			20 day EC <sub>10</sub> 20 day EC <sub>20</sub> (growth)	>93 >93 mg/kg dry wt Mean measured		
			20 day NOEC	15 mg/kg dry wt Mean measured		
			59 day EC <sub>50</sub> (emergence & reproduction)	> 47 mg/kg dry wt Mean measured		
			59 day EC <sub>20</sub> (% emergence)	22 mg/kg dry wt Mean measured		

			59 day EC <sub>10</sub> 59 day EC <sub>20</sub> (male/female emergence rate)	>93 >93 mg/kg dry wt Mean measured		
			59 day EC <sub>10</sub> 59 day EC <sub>20</sub> (male/female days to death)	>93 >93 mg/kg dry wt Mean measured		
			59 day EC <sub>10</sub> 59 day EC <sub>20</sub> (eggs per egg mass)	>93 >93 mg/kg dry wt Mean measured		
			59 day EC <sub>10</sub> 59 day EC <sub>20</sub> (% hatch)	30 49 mg/kg dry wt Mean measured		
			59 day NOEC (emergence)	15 mg/kg dry wt Mean measured		
SYN545974 (purity 98.5%)	<i>Hyalella azteca</i> (Freshwater Amphipods)	OPPTS 850.1770, EPA Test method 100.4	For survival, 28, 35 and 42 days; LC <sub>50</sub> LC <sub>20</sub> 42 day NOEC	>88 mg/kg >88 mg/kg 7.6 mg/kg Mean measured	Life cycle test 42 day Negative and solvent (acetone) control used. pH (water) 7.0-7.4 22-25°C GLP <sup>1</sup> for reproduction a 35 day EC <sub>50</sub> = 76 mg/kg was calculated but deemed unreliable as is was lower than the	Bradley, 2015c SYN545974_10094
			For growth; 28 day EC <sub>50</sub> 28 day EC <sub>20</sub> 28 day EC <sub>10</sub> 28 day NOEC 42 day EC <sub>50</sub> 42 day EC <sub>20</sub> 42 day EC <sub>10</sub> 42 day NOEC	>88mg/kg >88 mg/kg >88 mg/kg 36 mg/kg >88 mg/kg >88 mg/kg >88 mg/kg 36 mg/kg Mean measured		

			For reproduction; 35 day EC <sub>50</sub> 35 day NOEC 42 day EC <sub>50</sub> 42 day NOEC	> 88 mg/kg <sup>1</sup> 88 mg/kg >88 mg/kg 88 mg/kg Mean measured	NOEC All results reported as dry wt	
			For male:female ratio; 42 day NOEC	88 mg/kg Mean measured		
SYN545974 (purity 98.5%)	<i>Americamysis bahia</i> (Mysids)	OCSPP 850.1350	28 day NOEC (survival, reproduction, growth)  * EC <sub>10</sub> and EC <sub>20</sub> values were not able to be calculated.	0.076 mg/L Mean measured	Life-cycle toxicity Dilution water control used. pH 7.6-8.1 25±2°C GLP	Sayers, 2015bc SYN545974_10167

2.9.2.3.1 Chronic toxicity to fish

Two studies on SYN545974 with supporting specific analysis reported long-term chronic NOECs for fish (see below). The lowest NOEC considered for classification purposes was the reported NOEC of 0.025 mg/L.

Table 60: Summary of SYN545974 chronic toxicity endpoints for fish

Test type	Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Value (mg a.s./L)	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Chronic toxicity	SYN545974	<i>Pimephales promelas</i> (Fathead minnow)	Early Life Stage (ELS)		Sayers, 2015a SYN545974_10080
			32 day NOEC	0.025	
			32 day NO(A)EC	0.064	
		28 d Body length			
		EC <sub>10</sub> EC <sub>20</sub>	0.15 0.32		
		28 d Body weight	EC <sub>10</sub>	0.13	
		<i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i> (Sheepshead minnow)	Early Life Stage (ELS)		Sayers, 2015b SYN545974_10293
			32 day NOEC	0.17	
			Embryo hatching success		
			EC <sub>10</sub>	0.34	

**2.9.2.3.2 Chronic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates**

Four studies on SYN545974 with supporting specific analysis reported long-term chronic NOECs for aquatic invertebrates, including sediment dwelling species (see below). The lowest reported NOEC was for the mysid shrimp *Americamysis bahia* (NOEC = 0.037 mg/L).

Table 93: Summary of SYN545974 chronic toxicity endpoints for aquatic invertebrates (includes sediment dwelling species)

Test type	Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Value (mg/L)	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Chronic toxicity	SYN545974	<i>Daphnia magna</i> (Water flea)	(static renewal) 21 day NOEC	0.042 mg/L	Fournier, 2015 SYN545974_10017
			Survival 21 day EC <sub>10</sub> 21 day EC <sub>20</sub>	0.094 mg/L >0.31 mg/L	
			Reproduction 21 day EC <sub>10</sub> 21 day EC <sub>20</sub>	0.085 mg/L 0.13 mg/L	
			Body length 21 day EC <sub>10</sub> 21 day EC <sub>20</sub>	0.21 mg/L >0.31 mg/L	
			Dry weight 21 day EC <sub>10</sub> 21 day EC <sub>20</sub>	0.16 mg/L 0.20 mg/L	
		<i>Americamysis bahia</i> <sup>a</sup> (Mysid shrimp)	28 day NOEC (flow through)	0.076 mg/L	Sayers, 2015c SYN545974_10167
		<i>Chironomus dilutus</i> (Dipteran midge)	56 day NOEC (spiked sediment)	15 mg/kg	Bradley, 2015b SYN545974_10095
			20 d growth EC <sub>10</sub> EC <sub>20</sub>	>93 mg/kg >93 mg/kg	
			59 d % emergence EC <sub>20</sub>	22 mg/kg	
			59 d male/female emergence rate EC <sub>10</sub> EC <sub>20</sub>	>93 mg/kg >93 mg/kg	
			59 d male/female days to death EC <sub>10</sub> EC <sub>20</sub>	>93 mg/kg >93 mg/kg	
			59 d eggs per egg mass EC <sub>10</sub> EC <sub>20</sub>	>93 mg/kg >93 mg/kg	
			59 d % hatch EC <sub>10</sub> EC <sub>20</sub>	30 mg/kg 49 mg/kg	

Test type	Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Value (mg/L)	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
		<i>Hyalella Azteca</i> (Freshwater Amphipods)	42 day NOEC (spiked sediment)	7.6 mg/kg	Bradley, 2015c SYN545974_10094
			28 d survival LC <sub>20</sub>	>88 mg/kg	
			28 d body length EC <sub>10</sub> EC <sub>20</sub>	>88 mg/kg >88 mg/kg	
			42 d body length EC <sub>10</sub> EC <sub>20</sub>	>88 mg/kg >88 g/kg	

<sup>a</sup> EC<sub>10</sub> and EC<sub>20</sub> values were not able to be calculated.

### 2.9.2.3.3 Chronic toxicity to algae or aquatic plants

The aquatic plant studies provide chronic endpoints (NOECs) as summarised below. The 72 NOEC values for growth range from 0.89 to 6.3 mg/L (see values in bold below). The lowest NOEC was for the freshwater diatom *Naviculla pelliculosa* (72 hour NOEC = 0.89 mg/L).

Table 100: Summary of chronic SYN545974 toxicity endpoints for algae and aquatic plants

Test type	Test item	Test species	Endpoint	Value (mg/L)	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Algal toxicity	SYN545974	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i> (Green alga)	72 hour NOEC (biomass)	0.9	Kirkwood, 2013 SYN545974_10013
			72 hour NOEC (yield)	0.9	
			72 hour NOEC (growth)	<b>0.9</b>	
			72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (biomass)	1.0	
			72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (yield)	1.1	
			72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (growth)	2.3	
			72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (biomass)	1.4	
			72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (yield)	1.6	
			72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (growth)	5.7	
		<i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i> (Blue-green alga)	72 hour NOEC (biomass)	2.7	Soucy, 2013 SYN545974_10091
			72 hour NOEC (yield)	2.7	
			72 hour NOEC (growth)	<b>2.7</b>	
			72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (biomass)	2.8	
			72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (yield)	ND	
72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (growth)	2.8				
72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (biomass)	3.0				

Test type	Test item	Test species	Endpoint	Value (mg/L)	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
			72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (yield)	2.8	Soucy, 2015a SYN545974_10097
			72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (growth)	3.0	
		<i>Naviculla pelliculosa</i> (Diatom)	72 hour NOEC (biomass)	0.89	
			72 hour NOEC (yield)	0.89	
			72 hour NOEC (growth)	<b>0.89</b>	
			72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (biomass)	0.71	
			72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (yield)	0.68	
			72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (growth)	0.97	
			72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (biomass)	0.98	
			72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (yield)	0.97	
			72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (growth)	1.1	
			<i>Skeletonema costatum</i> (Diatom)	72 hour NOEC (biomass)	
		72 hour NOEC (yield)		2.4	
		72 hour NOEC (growth)		<b>2.4</b>	
		72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (biomass)		2.5	
		72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (yield)		2.5	
		72 hour EC <sub>10</sub> (growth)		2.5	
		72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (biomass)		2.5	
		72 hour EC <sub>20</sub> (yield)		2.5	
Aquatic plant toxicity	SYN545974	<i>Lemna gibba</i> (Duckweed)	Fronde number 7 day EC <sub>50</sub> 7 day EC <sub>20</sub> 7 day EC <sub>10</sub>	>6.3	Soucy, 2015b SYN545974_10088
			Dry weight 7 day EC <sub>50</sub> 7 day EC <sub>20</sub> 7 day EC <sub>10</sub>	>6.3	

ND = could not be determined

**2.9.2.3.4 Chronic toxicity to other aquatic organisms**

No additional data available.

**2.9.2.4 Comparison with the CLP criteria**

**2.9.2.4.1 Acute aquatic hazard**

Table 58: Acute endpoints relevant to classification

Species group	Species	Lowest representative L/EC50	Reference
Fish	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	0.18 mg/L	Fournier, 2012
Aquatic invertebrates	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>	0.12 mg/L	Brougher <i>et al</i> , 2015
Aquatic plants	<i>Naviculla pelliculosa</i>	1.6 mg/L	Soucy, 2015b

Based on these results the most sensitive species group are aquatic invertebrates with an EC<sub>50</sub> = 0.12 mg/L. On this basis, the following classification and labelling of SYN545974 is proposed:

**Aquatic Acute 1 H400 (Very toxic to aquatic life); as the lowest L(E)C50 is between 0.1 and 1 mg/L the associated M-factor is 1.**

#### 2.9.2.4.2 Long-term aquatic hazard (including bioaccumulation potential and degradation)

Table 59: Summary of information on long-term aquatic toxicity relevant for classification

Species group	Species	Lowest representative NOEC	Reference
Fish	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	0.025 mg/L	Sayers, 2015a
Aquatic invertebrates	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	0.042 mg/L	Fournier, 2015
Aquatic plants	<i>Naviculla pelliculosa</i>	0.89 mg/L	Soucy, 2015a

Based on these results the most sensitive species group to chronic exposure are fish with a NOEC = 0.025 mg/L.

#### 2.9.2.5 Conclusion on classification and labelling for environmental hazards

On the basis of the above information on chronic toxicity, bioaccumulation and rapid degradability, the following classification and labelling of SYN545974 is proposed;

**Aquatic Acute 1 H400 (Very toxic to aquatic life); as the lowest L(E)C50 is between 0.1 and 1 mg/L the associated M-factor is 1.**

**Aquatic Chronic 1 H410 (Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects); as the lowest NOEC is between 0.01 and 0.1 mg/L the associated M-factor is 1.**

### 2.9.3 Summary of effects on arthropods

Table 60: Summary of information on beestoxicity

Test type	Test substance	Endpoint	Value	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Adult acute	SYN545974	48 h oral LD <sub>50</sub> 48 h contact LD <sub>50</sub>	>116 µg a.s./bee >100 µg a.s./bee	Kling, 2012 SYN545974_10010
Adult chronic	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	10 d oral LD <sub>50</sub> 10 d oral NOED	>138.2 µg a.s./bee/day 138.2 µg a.s./bee/day	Ruhland, 2014 A19649B_10055
		10 d oral LD <sub>10</sub> LD <sub>20</sub>	>138.2 µg a.s./bee/day >138.2 µg a.s./bee/day	
Larvae (8 day)	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	8 d oral NOED	55 µg a.s./larva 13.75 µg a.s./larva/day <sup>a</sup>	Kleebaum, 2015 A19649B_10076
Larvae (22 day)	SYN545974 <sup>c</sup>	8 d oral NOED	<0.014 µg a.s./larva <0.0035 µg a.s./larva/day	Deslandes, 2015 SYN545974_10279
		22 d oral NOED	<0.014 µg a.s./larva <0.0035 µg a.s./larva/day	
	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	8 d oral NOED	<b>0.08 µg a.s./larva</b> <b>0.02 µg a.s./larva/day</b>	Deslandes, 2015a A19649B_10184
		8 d oral ED <sub>10</sub>	0.1 µg a.s./larva 0.026 µg a.s./larva/day	
		8 d oral ED <sub>20</sub>	0.872 µg a.s./larva 0.218 µg a.s./larva/day	
		22 d oral ED <sub>10</sub>	0.097 µg a.s./larva 0.024 µg a.s./larva/day	
22 d oral ED <sub>20</sub>	0.165 µg a.s./larva 0.041 µg a.s./larva/day			
Semi field studies	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	63 days 7 days exposed in tunnel 56 days post exposure monitoring of hives	NOAER = 200 g a.s./ha	Kleinhenz (2017) A19649B_10314 Gonsior (2017) A19649B_10312

Two semi-fields studies (tunnel) are provided. The NOAEC is determined as 200 g a.s./ha.

Table 61: Summary of information on Inon target arthropods toxicity

Test type	Test species	Exposed life stage	Endpoint	Value	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Tier I Glass plate	<i>Aphidius rhopalosiphii</i> (Parasitoid wasp)	Adult	48 h LR <sub>50</sub>	> 2 000 mL A19649B/ha (equivalent to > 408 g a.s./ha)	Stevens, 2016 A19649B_10049
			48 h NOER mortality	500 mL A19649B/ha (equivalent to 102 g a.s./ha)	
			13 d ER <sub>50</sub> parasitisation	> 2 000 mL A19649B/ha (equivalent to > 408 g a.s./ha)	
			13 d NOER parasitisation	1 000 mL A19649B/ha (equivalent to 204 g a.s./ha)	
	<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i> (Predatory mite)	Proto-nymphs	7 d LR <sub>50</sub>	> 2 000 mL A19649B/ha (equivalent to > 408 g a.s./ha)	Fallowfield, 2016 A19649B_10032
			7 d NOER mortality	2 000 mL A19649B/ha (equivalent to > 408 g a.s./ha)	
			14 d ER <sub>50</sub> reproduction	> 2 000 mL A19649B/ha (equivalent to > 408 g a.s./ha)	
			14 d NOER reproduction	250 mL A19649B/ha (equivalent to 51 g a.s./ha)	
Tier II Barley seedlings	<i>Aphidius rhopalosiphii</i> (Parasitoid wasp)	Adult	47 h LR <sub>50</sub>	> 4 000 mL A19649B/ha (> 800 g a.s./ha)	Stevens, 2015 A19649B_10103
			47 h NOER mortality	4 000 mL A19649B/ha (800 g a.s./ha)	
			14 d ER <sub>50</sub> parasitisation	> 4 000 mL A19649B/ha (> 800 g a.s./ha)	
			14 d NOER parasitisation	4 000 mL A19649B/ha (800 g a.s./ha)	
Tier II Leaf discs	<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i> (Predatory mite)	Proto-nymphs	7 d LR <sub>50</sub>	> 4 000 mL A19649B/ha (> 800 g a.s./ha)	Fallowfield, 2015 A19649B_10173
			7 d NOER mortality	4 000 mL A19649B/ha (800 g a.s./ha)	
			14 d ER <sub>50</sub> reproduction	> 4 000 mL A19649B/ha (> 800 g a.s./ha)	
			14 d NOER reproduction	4 000 mL A19649B/ha (800 g a.s./ha)	

**2.9.4 Summary of effects on non-target soil meso- and macrofauna**

Table 62: Summary of information on earthworm and non target soil meso- and macro fauna toxicities

Test type	Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Value	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Acute	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	14 day LC <sub>50</sub>	>1 000 mg a.s./kg soil	Friedrich, 2012 SYN545974_10008
Chronic	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	56 day NOEC	171 mg A19649B/kg soil (31.81 mg a.s./kg soil)	Friedrich, 2015 A19649B_10073
			56 day EC <sub>10</sub>	177 mg A19649B/kg soil (32.92 mg a.s./kg soil)	
			56 day EC <sub>20</sub>	270 mg A19649B/kg soil (50.22 mg a.s./kg soil)	
Chronic	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	<i>Folsomia candida</i>	28 d NOEC	1 000 mg A19649B/kg soil (equivalent to 186.0 mg a.s./kg soil)	Friedrich, 2014 A19649B_10046
			28 d EC <sub>10</sub>	>1 000 mg A19649B/kg soil (equivalent to >186.0 mg a.s./kg soil)	
			28 d EC <sub>20</sub>	>1 000 mg A19649B/kg soil (equivalent to >186.0 mg a.s./kg soil)	
	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>	14 d NOEC	1 000 mg A19649B/kg soil (equivalent to 186.0 mg a.s./kg soil)	Schulz, 2014 A19649B_10038

### 2.9.5 Summary of effects on soil nitrogen transformation

Table 63: Summary of information of effect on soil nitrogen transformation

Test type	Test substance	Endpoint	Value	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Nitrogen transformation	SYN545974	28 d NOEC	2.71 mg a.s./kg soil	Schulz, 2015 SYN545974_10275

### 2.9.6 Summary of effects on terrestrial non-target higher plants

Table 64: Summary of information on non target terrestrial plants toxicity

Test type	Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Value	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
Tier 1 Vegetative vigour	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	Monocotyledonae: <i>Zea mays</i> , <i>Allium cepa</i> , <i>Lolium perenne</i> , <i>Triticum aestivum</i>	ER <sub>50</sub>	>200 g a.s./ha	Porch <i>et al.</i> , 2015 A19649B_10077
Tier 1 Seedling emergence	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)		ER <sub>50</sub> (all species)	>200 g a.s./ha	Porch <i>et al.</i> , 2015a A19649B_10105
Tier 2 Seedling emergence	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	Dicotyledonae: <i>Brassica oleracea</i> , <i>Beta vulgaris</i> , <i>Brassica napus</i> , <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> , <i>Lactuca sativa</i> , <i>Glycine max</i>	ER <sub>50</sub>	>400 g a.s./ha	Porch <i>et al.</i> , 2015b A19649B_10178

### 2.9.7 Summary of effects on other terrestrial organisms (flora and fauna)

Not required.

### 2.9.8 Summary of effects on biological methods for sewage treatment

Table 65: Summary of information on effect for sewage treatments

Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Value	Reference (Author, date, Syngenta File No.)
SYN545974	Activated sludge inoculum	3h NOEC	1000 mg a.s./L	Eisner G (2013) SYN545974_10061

### 2.9.9 Summary of product exposure and risk assessment

#### Summary of product exposure and risk assessment for terrestrial vertebrates

The risk assessment for birds and mammals is carried out following the latest guidance document by EFSA (Anonymous 2009: Guidance Document on risk assessment for Birds & Mammals on request from EFSA. EFSA Journal 2009; 7(12):1438. European Food Safety Authority).

Based on a screening step and first tier assessment, TER values for SYN545974 exceed the Commission Regulation (EU) No. 546/2011 trigger value of 10 for acute and 5 for long term and therefore poses no unacceptable risk for birds and mammals..

#### Toxicity/exposure ratios for terrestrial vertebrates (Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013, Part A, Annex point 10.1)

##### Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2

Growth stage	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
Screening Step (Birds)					
All	Small omnivorous bird	Acute	22.87	170	10
All	Small omnivorous bird	Long-term	5.77	16	5
Screening Step (Mammals)					
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Acute	32.74	150	10
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Long-term	3.83	<b>9.4</b>	5

Growth stage	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
<b>Tier 1 (Mammals)</b>					
Vineyard Application crop directed BBCH ≥ 40	Small herbivorous mammal "vole Grass + cereals 100% grass	Long-term	10.73	<b>3.36</b>	5
	Small omnivorous mammal "mouse" Combination (invertebrates without interception) 25% weeds 50% weed seeds 25% ground arthropods	Long-term	0.34	106	5
<b>Risk from bioaccumulation and food chain behaviour</b>					
Indicator or focal species		Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
Earthworm-eating birds		Long-term	5	18.2	5
Earthworm-eating mammals		Long-term	6.08	5.9	5
Fish-eating birds(SYN545974)		Long-term	0.0086 <sup>a</sup>	10000	5
Fish-eating birds(SYN545547)		Long-term	0.023 <sup>b</sup>	390.0	5
Fish-eating mammals (SYN545974)		Long-term	0.0076 <sup>a</sup>	4737	5
Fish-eating mammals (SYN545547)		Long-term	0.021 <sup>b</sup>	171	5
<sup>a</sup> FOCUS Step 3 21 day TWA PEC <sub>sw</sub> <sup>b</sup> Maximum Step 2 PEC <sub>c<sub>sw</sub></sub> <sup>c</sup> Default correction assuming the metabolite is 10 time more toxic Higher tier : none					
<b>Risk from consumption of contaminated water</b>					
<b>Scenarios</b>	<b>Indicator or focal species</b>	<b>Time scale</b>	<b>PEC<sub>dw</sub> xDWR</b>	<b>TER</b>	<b>Trigger</b>
Leaf scenario	Birds	acute	21.62	170	5
<b>Puddle scenario, Screening step</b>					
1) Application rate (g a.s./ha)/relevant endpoint <3000 (koc≥500 L/kg), TER calculation not needed					

**Grapes at 40 g a.s./ha x 2**

Growth stage	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
<b>Screening Step (Birds)</b>					
All	Small omnivorous bird	Acute	8.26	457	10
All	Small omnivorous bird	Long-term	2.06	43.7	5
<b>Screening Step (Mammals)</b>					
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Acute	7.09	705	10
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Long-term	2.03	15.66	5
<b>Risk from bioaccumulation and food chain behaviour</b>					
Indicator or focal species		Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
Earthworm-eating birds		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Earthworm-eating mammals		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Fish-eating birds		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Fish-eating mammals		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Higher tier : none					

Growth stage	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
<b>Risk from consumption of contaminated water</b>					
<b>Scenarios</b>	<b>Indicator or focal species</b>	<b>Time scale</b>	<b>PEC<sub>dw</sub>xDWR</b>	<b>TER</b>	<b>Trigger</b>
Leaf scenario	Birds	acute	21.62	170	5
<b>Puddle scenario, Screening step</b>					
1)Application rate (g a.s./ha)/relevant endpoint <3000 (koc≥500 L/kg), TER calculation not needed					

**Pome fruits at 50 g a.s./ha x 3**

Growth stage	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
<b>Screening Step (Birds)</b>					
	Small insectivorous bird	Acute	3.74	1 000	10
	Small insectivorous bird	Long-term	0.96	94	5
<b>Screening Step (Mammals)</b>					
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Acute	10.91	460	10
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Long-term	3.83	9.4	5
<b>Risk from bioaccumulation and food chain behaviour</b>					
Indicator or focal species		Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
Earthworm-eating birds		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Earthworm-eating mammals		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Fish-eating birds		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Fish-eating mammals		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Higher tier : none					
<b>Risk from consumption of contaminated water</b>					
<b>Scenarios</b>	<b>Indicator or focal species</b>	<b>Time scale</b>	<b>PEC<sub>dw</sub>xDWR</b>	<b>TER</b>	<b>Trigger</b>
Leaf scenario	Birds	acute	21.62	170	5
<b>Puddle scenario, Screening step</b>					
1)Application rate (g a.s./ha)/relevant endpoint <3000 (koc≥500 L/kg), TER calculation not needed					

**Tomatoes at 70 g a.s./ha x 2**

Growth stage	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
<b>Screening Step (Birds)</b>					
All	Small omnivorous bird	Acute	15.56	240	10
All	Small omnivorous bird	Long-term	3.85	23	5
<b>Screening Step (Mammals)</b>					
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Acute	13.37	370	10
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Long-term	4.29	8.4	5
<b>Risk from bioaccumulation and food chain behaviour</b>					

Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger	
Earthworm-eating birds	Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2				
Earthworm-eating mammals	Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2				
Fish-eating birds	Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2				
Fish-eating mammals	Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2				
Higher tier : none					
<b>Risk from consumption of contaminated water</b>					
Scenarios	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	PEC <sub>dw</sub> xDWR	TER	Trigger
Leaf scenario	Birds	acute	21.62	170	5
<b>Puddle scenario, Screening step</b>					
1)Application rate (g a.s./ha)/relevant endpoint <3000 (koc≥500 L/kg), TER calculation not needed					

**Cucurbits at 50 g a.s./ha x 2**

Growth stage	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
Screening Step (Birds)					
	Small omnivorous bird	Acute	11.12	340	10
	Small omnivorous bird	Long-term	2.75	33	5
Screening Step (Mammals)					
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Acute	9.55	520	10
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Long-term	3.07	11.7	5
<b>Risk from bioaccumulation and food chain behaviour</b>					
Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger	
Earthworm-eating birds	Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2				
Earthworm-eating mammals	Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2				
Fish-eating birds	Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2				
Fish-eating mammals	Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2				
Higher tier : none					
<b>Risk from consumption of contaminated water</b>					
Scenarios	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	PEC <sub>dw</sub> xDWR	TER	Trigger
Leaf scenario	Birds	acute	21.62	170	5
<b>Puddle scenario, Screening step</b>					
1)Application rate (g a.s./ha)/relevant endpoint <3000 (koc≥500 L/kg), TER calculation not needed					

**Potatoes at 40 g a.s./ha x 3**

Growth stage	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
Screening Step (Birds)					
All	Small omnivorous bird	Acute	8.26	460	10
	Small omnivorous bird	Long-term	2.06	44	5

Growth stage	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
<b>Screening Step (Mammals)</b>					
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Acute	6.16	810	10
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Long-term	1.54	23.4	5
<b>Risk from bioaccumulation and food chain behaviour</b>					
Indicator or focal species		Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
Earthworm-eating birds		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Earthworm-eating mammals		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Fish-eating birds		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Fish-eating mammals		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Higher tier : none					
<b>Risk from consumption of contaminated water</b>					
Scenarios	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	PEC <sub>dw</sub> xDWR	TER	Trigger
Leaf scenario	Birds	acute	21.62	170	5
<b>Puddle scenario, Screening step</b>					
1)Application rate (g a.s./ha)/relevant endpoint <3000 (koc≥500 L/kg), TER calculation not needed					

**Brassicas at 70 g a.s./ha x 2**

Growth stage	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
<b>Screening Step (Birds)</b>					
All	Small omnivorous bird	Acute	13.34	280	10
	Small omnivorous bird	Long-term	3.37	27	5
<b>Screening Step (Mammals)</b>					
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Acute	11.46	440	10
All	Small herbivorous mammal	Long-term	3.76	9.57	5
<b>Risk from bioaccumulation and food chain behaviour</b>					
Indicator or focal species		Time scale	DDD (mg/kg bw per day)	TER	Trigger
Earthworm-eating birds		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Earthworm-eating mammals		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Fish-eating birds		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Fish-eating mammals		Covered by use on Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2			
Higher tier : none					
<b>Risk from consumption of contaminated water</b>					
Scenarios	Indicator or focal species	Time scale	PEC <sub>dw</sub> xDWR	TER	Trigger
Leaf scenario	Birds	acute	21.62	170	5
<b>Puddle scenario, Screening step</b>					
1)Application rate (g a.s./ha)/relevant endpoint <3000 (koc≥500 L/kg), TER calculation not needed					

**Summary of product exposure and risk assessment for aquatic organisms**

The risk assessment for aquatic organisms is carried out following the latest guidance document by EFSA (. EFSA panel on plant protection products and their residues (PPR). European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy. EFSA Journal 2013;11(7):3290).

Based on a first tier assessment and refined endpoints, TER values for SYN545974 exceed the trigger set by Commission regulation (EU) 546/2011 for STEP 3  $PEC_{sw}$  calculation indicating an acceptable risk to aquatic organisms for these uses.

**Toxicity/exposure ratios for the most sensitive aquatic organisms**

Only the South STEP 2 PEC<sub>sw</sub> are worst-case value and cover the STEP 2 PEC<sub>sw</sub> North.

**FOCUS<sub>sw</sub> step 1-3 - RACs for Pydiflumetofen – Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2 applications**

Scenario	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg L)	fish acute	fish acute	fish chronic	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates rates prolonged	Aquatic invertebrates rates prolonged	Algae	Higher plant	PEC sediment (µg/kg)	Sed. dweller prolonged
		<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	SSD	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>	SSD	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Overall	<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>	<i>Lemna gibba</i>		<i>Hyalella azteca</i>
		LC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	EC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	Geomean	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>		NOEC
		180 µg/L	154.74 µg/L	130 µg/L	120 µg/L	92 µg/L	42 µg/L	56 µg/L	1600 µg/L	>6300 µg/L		7600 µg/L
<b>RAC</b>		1.8 µg/L	17.19 µg/L	13 µg/L	1.2 µg/L	23 µg/L	4.2 µg/L	5.6 µg/L	160 µg/L	>630 µg/L		760 µg/L
<b>FOCUS Step 1</b>												
	51.4	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	1394	No
<b>FOCUS Step 2</b>												
North Europe												
South Europe	8.54	No	Yes	Yes	No	10.8	No	No			242	Yes
<b>FOCUS Step 3</b>												
D6	3.83	No			No		No	Yes				
R1 / pond	0.180	Yes			Yes		Yes	Yes				
R1 / stream	2.44	No			No		Yes	Yes				
R2 / stream	3.37	No			No		Yes	Yes				
R3 / stream	3.54	No			No		Yes	Yes				
R4 / stream	2.51	No			No		Yes	Yes				

**FOCUS<sub>sw</sub> step 1-2 - RACs for Metabolite SYN545547 – Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2 applications as worst case**

Scenario	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg L)	fish acute	Aquatic invertebrate s	Algae	PEC sediment (µg/kg)	Sed. dweller prolonged
		<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>	<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>		<i>Chironomus riparius</i>
		LC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>		NOEC
		1400 µg/L	7300 µg/L	4000 µg/L		7200 µg/kg
<b>FOCUS Step 1</b>						
	28	No	Yes	Yes	160	Yes
<b>FOCUS Step 2</b>						
North Europe						
South Europe						
	4.72	Yes				

**FOCUS<sub>sw</sub> step 1 - RACs for Metabolite SYN548261 – Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2 applications as worst case**

Scenario	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg L)	fish acute	Aquatic invertebrate s	Algae
		<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>
		LC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>
		>100 000 µg/L	>100 000 µg/L	>100 000 µg/L
<b>FOCUS Step 1</b>				
	8.45	Yes	Yes	Yes

**FOCUS<sub>sw</sub> step 1 - RACs for Metabolite NOA449410 – Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2 applications as worst case**

Scenario	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg L)	fish acute	Aquatic invertebrate s	Algae
		<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>Pseudokirchner iella subcapitata</i>
		LC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>
		>100 000 µg/L	>100 000µg/ L	36 310 µg/L
<b>FOCUS Step 1</b>				
	3.44	Yes	Yes	Yes

FOCUS<sub>sw</sub> step 1-3 - RACs for Pydiflumetofen – Pome fruits at 50 g a.s./ha x 3 applications

Scenario	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg L)	fish acute	fish acute	fish chronic	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates prolonged	Aquatic invertebrates prolonged	Algae	Higher plant	PEC sediment (µg/kg)	Sed. dweller prolonged
		<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	SSD	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>	SSD	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Overall	<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>	<i>Lemna gibba</i>		<i>Hyalella azteca</i>
		LC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	EC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	Geomean	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>		NOEC
		180 µg/L	154.74 µg/L	130 µg/L	120 µg/L	92 µg/L	42 µg/L	56 µg/L	1600 µg/L	>6300 µg/L		7600 µg/L
<b>RAC</b>		1.8 µg/L	17.19 µg/L	13 µg/L	1.2 µg/L	23 µg/L	4.2 µg/L	5.6 µg/L	160 µg/L	>630 µg/L		760 µg/L
<b>FOCUS Step 1</b>												
	23.1	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	560	13.6
<b>FOCUS Step 2</b>												
North Europe												
South Europe	3.72	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes				
<b>FOCUS Step 3</b>												
D3	1.84	No	Yes		No	Yes	Yes					
D4	0.207	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes					
D4	1.84	No	Yes		No	Yes	Yes					
D5	0.211	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes					
D5	1.98	No	Yes		No	Yes	Yes					
R1	0.145	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes					
R1	1.41	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes					
R2	1.89	No	Yes		No	Yes	Yes					
R3	1.98	No	Yes		No	Yes	Yes					
R4	1.41	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes					

FOCUS<sub>sw</sub> step 1-3 - RACs for Pydiflumetofen – Tomatoes at 70 g a.s./ha x 2 applications

Scenario	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg L)	fish acute	fish acute	fish chronic	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates prolonged	Aquatic invertebrates prolonged	Algae	Higher plant	PEC sediment (µg/kg)	Sed. dweller prolonged
		<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	SSD	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>	SSD	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Overall	<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>	<i>Lemna gibba</i>		<i>Hyalella azteca</i>
		LC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	EC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	Geomean	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>		NOEC
		180 µg/L	154.74 µg/L	130 µg/L	120 µg/L	92 µg/L	42 µg/L	56 µg/L	1600 µg/L	>6300 µg/L		7600 µg/L
<b>RAC</b>		1.8 µg/L	17.19 µg/L	13 µg/L	1.2 µg/L	23 µg/L	4.2 µg/L	5.6 µg/L	160 µg/L	>630 µg/L		760 µg/L
<b>FOCUS Step 1</b>												
	15.5	No	Yes	7.7	7.7	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	464	Yes
<b>FOCUS Step 2</b>												
North Europe												
South Europe												
	1.72	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes				
<b>FOCUS Step 3</b>												
D6	2.17	No			No							
R2	0.392	Yes			Yes							
R3	0.468	Yes			Yes							
R4	0.719	Yes			Yes							

FOCUS<sub>sw</sub> step 1-3 - RACs for Pydiflumetofen – Cucurbits at 50 g a.s./ha x 2 applications

Scenario	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg L)	fish acute	fish acute	fish chronic	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates prolonged	Aquatic invertebrates prolonged	Algae	Higher plant	PEC sediment (µg/kg)	Sed. dweller prolonged
		<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	SSD	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>	SSD	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Overall	<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>	<i>Lemna gibba</i>		<i>Hyalella azteca</i>
		LC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	EC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	Geomean	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>		NOEC
		180 µg/L	154.74 µg/L	130 µg/L	120 µg/L	92 µg/L	42 µg/L	56 µg/L	1600 µg/L	>6300 µg/L		7600 µg/L
<b>RAC</b>		1.8 µg/L	17.19 µg/L	13 µg/L	1.2 µg/L	23 µg/L	4.2 µg/L	5.6 µg/L	160 µg/L	>630 µg/L		760 µg/L
<b>FOCUS Step 1</b>												
	11.1	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	332	Yes
<b>FOCUS Step 2</b>												
North Europe												
South Europe	3.36	No		Yes	No		Yes	Yes				
<b>FOCUS Step 3</b>												
D6	1.51	Yes			No							
R2	0.28	Yes			Yes							
R3	0.353	Yes			Yes							
R4	0.481	Yes			Yes							

FOCUS<sub>sw</sub> step 1-2 - RACs for Pydiflumetofen – Potatoes at 40 g a.s./ha x 3 applications

Scenario	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg L)	fish acute	fish acute	fish chronic	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates prolonged	Aquatic invertebrates prolonged	Algae	Higher plant	PEC sediment (µg/kg)	Sed. dweller prolonged
		<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	SSD	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>	SSD	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Overall	<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>	<i>Lemna gibba</i>		<i>Hyalella azteca</i>
		LC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	EC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	Geomean	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>		NOEC
		180 µg/L	154.74 µg/L	130 µg/L	120 µg/L	92 µg/L	42 µg/L	56 µg/L	1600 µg/L	>6300 µg/L		7600 µg/L
<b>RAC</b>		1.8 µg/L	17.19 µg/L	13 µg/L	1.2 µg/L	23 µg/L	4.2 µg/L	5.6 µg/L	160 µg/L	>630 µg/L		760 µg/L
<b>FOCUS Step 1</b>												
	13.3	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	399	Yes
<b>FOCUS Step 2</b>												
North Europe												
South Europe	1.4	Yes		Yes	No		Yes	Yes				

**FOCUS<sub>sw</sub> step 1-3 - RACs for Pydiflumetofen – Brassicas at 70 g a.s./ha x 2 applications**

Scenario	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg L)	fish acute	fish acute	fish chronic	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates	Aquatic invertebrates-prolonged	Aquatic invertebrates-prolonged	Algae	Higher plant	PEC sediment (µg/kg)	Sed. dweller prolonged
		<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	SSD	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>	SSD	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Overall	<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>	<i>Lemna gibba</i>		<i>Hyalella azteca</i>
		LC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	EC <sub>50</sub>	HC <sub>5</sub>	NOEC	Geomean	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC <sub>50</sub>		NOEC
		180 µg/L	154.74 µg/L	130 µg/L	120 µg/L	92 µg/L	42 µg/L	56 µg/L	1600 µg/L	>6300 µg/L		7600 µg/L
<b>RAC</b>		1.8 µg/L	17.19 µg/L	13 µg/L	1.2 µg/L	23 µg/L	4.2 µg/L	5.6 µg/L	160 µg/L	>630 µg/L		760 µg/L
<b>FOCUS Step 1</b>												
	15.5	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	464	Yes
<b>FOCUS Step 2</b>												
North Europe												
South Europe												
	4.7	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No				
<b>FOCUS Step 3</b>												

D3 (1 <sup>st</sup> crop)	0.443	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
D3 (2 <sup>nd</sup> crop)	0.44	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
D4 (1 <sup>st</sup> crop)	0.312	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
D4 (1 <sup>st</sup> crop)	0.633	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
D6 (1 <sup>st</sup> crop)	2.37	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	Yes	Yes
R1 (1 <sup>st</sup> crop)	0.256	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R1 (2 <sup>nd</sup> crop)	0.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R1 (1 <sup>st</sup> crop)	0.411	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R1 (2 <sup>nd</sup> crop)	0.378	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R2 (1 <sup>st</sup> crop)	0.392	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R2 (2 <sup>nd</sup> crop)	0.392	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R3 (1 <sup>st</sup> crop)	0.414	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R3 (2 <sup>nd</sup> crop)	0.411	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R4 (1 <sup>st</sup> crop)	0.655	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R4 (2 <sup>nd</sup> crop)	0.642	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

\*1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> crop correspond to the both annual period of sewing during a year (see details in Section 8)

### Summary of product exposure and risk assessment for bees

The risk assessment for bees is carried out following the latest approved guidance document Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology, Commission document SANCO/10329/2002 rev 2 (2002).

Based on a laboratory and semi-field endpoint assessment, HQ values for SYN545974 exceed the trigger set by Commission regulation (EU) 546/2011 except for larval assessment. However, higher tier assessment using two semi field studies demonstrate no effect of the SYN545974 on bee brood and bee colony for the application rate of 200 g a.s./ha. Thus, requested uses present an acceptable risk for bees.

### Effects on bees (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.3.1 and Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.3.1)\*

\* This section does reflect the new EFSA Guidance Document on bees which has not yet been noted by the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed.

Species	Test substance	Risk quotient	HQ/ETR	Trigger
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	SYN545974	HQcontact	<1.7	50
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	SYN545974	HQoral	<2	50
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	A19649B	HQcontact	<0.97	50
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	A19649B	HQoral	<1.1	50
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	A19649B	ETRchronic adult oral	430	1
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	A19649B	ETRLarvae	0.53	1

In addition, semi-field studies have been conducted to support the larval component of the bee risk assessment for the registration of SYN545974 globally (*Gonsior, 2017; Kleinhenz, 2017*). The objective of these studies was to determine potential effects on honeybees from exposure to flowering *Phacelia tanacetifolia* treated once at the start of flowering during daily bee flight with A19649B under semi-field conditions. Test item treatment groups included 75, 125 and 200 g a.s./ha.

Honeybee colonies were placed in the tunnels at the start of flowering. The mortality, foraging activity, behaviour of the bees, development of the bee brood assessed in individually marked cells and condition of the colonies were examined prior to and post application. The colonies were monitored at a remote location for two further brood cycles following the initial detailed brood assessments (first brood cycle). The influence of SYN545974 was evaluated by comparing the assessment data of the three test item groups (75, 125 and 200 g a.s./ha) to the reference item group and the control group, and by comparing the pre-application data to the post-application data.

Samples of forager bees (for preparation of pollen and nectar), leaves, flowers and samples of soil were collected during the exposure phase. Samples of pollen and nectar (in-hive products), pollen (from pollen trap) and dead bees (from dead bee traps and from the hive bottoms) were collected during the monitoring phase of the study. Samples of pollen and nectar (prepared from forager bees), leaves, flowers, samples of in-hive products and pollen from pollen trap were analysed for residues of SYN545974.

There were no detectable residues of SYN545974 in any of the samples taken in the control group throughout the study period or in the samples from the test item treatment groups (75, 125 and 200 g a.s./ha) taken prior to application. During the exposure phase in the tunnels, residues of SYN545974 were found in leaves, flowers and in pollen and nectar samples from forager bees after application at 0DAA in all treatment groups and decreased within 6 days after application.

In both trials, during the post-application period, no effect on honeybee mortality was observed in the test item treatment groups compared to the control. No test item related effects were observed regarding foraging activity. Slight, but not test item related behavioural changes were observed during the post-application period. The brood and compensation indices and termination rates for eggs, young larvae and old larvae were not statistically different from the control on any assessment date. The overall honeybee colony development in the test item treatment groups, measured as mean number of cells covered with the different types of brood (eggs, larvae and pupae) or food (nectar, pollen) per colony were not significantly different when compared to the control (except *Gonsior, 2017* mean amount of nectar, 75 g a.s./ha, DAA9).

Overall, there was no test item related effect on honeybee mortality, foraging activity, behaviour and brood development in both studies. The results support the conclusion of the initial risk assessment that there is an acceptable risk to larval honeybees from application of SYN545974 according to the proposed use pattern (maximum application of 200 g a.s./ha).

### Summary of product exposure and risk assessment for non target arthropods

The risk assessment for arthropods other than bees is carried out according to the Guidance document on regulatory testing and risk assessment procedures for plant protection products with non-target arthropods, ESCORT 2.

Based on a laboratory endpoint assessment, HQ values for SYN545974 exceed the trigger set by Commission regulation (EU) 546/2011 and pose an acceptable risk for non-target arthropods other than bees.

**First tier risk assessment** for – Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2 applications (cover other representative uses)

Test substance	Species	Effect (LR <sub>50</sub> g/ha)	HQ in-field	HQ off-field <sup>1</sup>	Trigger
A19649B	<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	>408	<0.83	<0.33	2
A19649B	<i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i>	>408	<0.83	<0.33	2

### Summary of product exposure and risk assessment for non-target soil meso- and macro fauna

The risk assessment for earthworms and other soil non-target macro-organisms was carried out according to the Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology, Commission document SANCO/10329/2002 rev 2 (2002).

Based on a laboratory endpoint assessment, TER values for SYN545974 exceed the trigger set by Commission regulation (EU) 546/2011 and pose an acceptable risk for non-target soil meso- and macro fauna.

### Toxicity/exposure ratios for soil organisms

Grapes at 200 g a.s./ha x 2 applications

Test organism	Test substance	Time scale	Soil PEC <sup>1</sup>	TER	Trigger
Earthworms					
<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	A19649B	Chronic	3.6251	8.8	5
Other soil macroorganisms					
<i>Folsomia candida</i>	A19649B	Chronic	3.6251	674	5
<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>	A19649B	Chronic	3.6251	674	5

<sup>1</sup>PEC<sub>soil accu</sub> from the active substance

### Summary of product exposure and risk assessment for soil nitrogen transformation

The risk assessment for soil non-target micro-organisms was carried out according to the Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology, Commission document SANCO/10329/2002 rev 2 (2002).

Based on a laboratory endpoint assessment, TER values for SYN545974 exceed the PEC<sub>s accu</sub> and poses an acceptable risk for non-target soil micro-fauna except for use on Grapes (2 x 200 g a.s./ha).

**Risk assessment on soil micro-organisms exposed to SYN545974**

Test substance	NOEC (mg/kg)	Crop and GAP (g a.s./ha)	Maximum PEC <sub>s</sub> (mg/kg)	Acceptable risk (Y/N)
SYN545974	2.71	Grapes (2 x 200)	3.6251	N
		Grapes (2 x 40)	0.906	Y
		Pome fruits (3 x 50)	1.3589	Y
		Cucurbits (2 x 50)	0.1998	Y
		Tomatoes (2 x 70)	0.1865	Y
		Potatoes (3 x 40)	0.3201	Y
		Brassicas (2 x 70)	0.5597	Y

**Summary of product exposure and risk assessment for non target higher plants**

The risk assessment for non-target plants was carried out according to the Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology, Commission document SANCO/10329/2002 rev 2 (2002).

Based on a laboratory endpoint assessment, TER values for SYN545974 exceed the trigger set by Commission regulation (EU) 546/2011 and pose an acceptable risk for non target higher plants.

**Risk assessment on non-target plants exposed to SYN545974**

Species	Test substance	ER <sub>50</sub> (g a.s./ha) vegetative vigour	ER <sub>50</sub> (g a.s./ha) emergence	Exposure (g a.s./ha) <sup>2</sup>	TER	Trigger
Monocotyledonae: <i>Zea mays</i> , <i>Allium cepa</i> , <i>Lolium perenne</i> , <i>Triticum aestivum</i>  Dicotyledonae: <i>Brassica oleracea</i> , <i>Beta vulgaris</i> , <i>Brassica napus</i> , <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> , <i>Lactuca sativa</i> , <i>Glycine max</i>	A19649B (SYN545974 200 SC)	>200	>200	200 (maximum requested application rate)	>12.47	5

**2.10 PROPOSED HARMONISED CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING ACCORDING TO THE CLP CRITERIA [SECTIONS 1-6 OF THE CLH REPORT]****2.10.1 Identity of the substance [section 1 of the CLH report]****2.10.1.1 Name and other identifiers of the substance**

Table 66: Substance identity and information related to molecular and structural formula of the

substance

All of the information in this section is also available under section 1.3.

### 2.10.1.2 Composition of the substance

Table 67: Constituents (non-confidential information)

Constituent (Name and numerical identifier)	Concentration range (% w/w minimum and maximum in multi- constituent substances)	Current CLH in Annex VI Table 3.1 (CLP)	Current self- classification and labelling (CLP)
Pydiflumetofen : N-methoxy- N-[1-methyl-2-(2,4,6- trichlorophenyl)-ethyl]-3- (difluoromethyl)-1- methylpyrazole-4- carboxamide	≥ 98% (w/w)		

Table 68: Impurities (non-confidential information) if relevant for the classification of the substance

Impurity (Name and numerical identifier)	Concentration range (% w/w minimum and maximum)	Current CLH in Annex VI Table 3.1 (CLP)	Current self- classification and labelling (CLP)	The impurity contributes to the classification and labelling
No relevant impurity				

Table 69: Additives (non-confidential information) if relevant for the classification of the substance

Additive (Name and numerical identifier)	Function	Concentration range (% w/w minimum and maximum)	Current CLH in Annex VI Table 3.1 (CLP)	Current self- classification and labelling (CLP)	The additive contributes to the classification and labelling
No relevant additive					

Table 70: Test substances (non-confidential information)

Identification of test substance	Purity	Impurities and additives (identity, %, classification if available)	Other information	The study(ies) in which the test substance is used
Pydiflumetofen; SYN545974 pure substance 99.5%	99.5% w/w			See table 1 of physico-chemical properties
Pydiflumetofen; SYN545974 Technical substance 98.5%	98.5% w/w			See table 1 of physico-chemical properties

## 2.10.2 Proposed harmonized classification and labelling

## 2.10.2.1 Proposed harmonised classification and labelling according to the CLP criteria

Table 71: Proposed harmonised classification and labelling according to the CLP criteria

	Index No	International Chemical Identification	EC No	CAS No	Classification		Labelling			Specific Conc. Limits, M-factors	Notes
					Hazard Class and Category Code(s)	Hazard statement Code(s)	Pictogram, Signal Word Code(s)	Hazard statement Code(s)	Suppl. Hazard statement Code(s)		
Current Annex VI entry	Not applicable										
Dossier submitters proposal					If there is an existing entry use “add”, “remove” or “modify” to indicate proposed changes						
Resulting Annex VI entry if agreed by RAC and COM											

## 2.10.2.2 Additional hazard statements / labelling

Table 72: Reason for not proposing harmonised classification and status under CLH public consultation

Hazard class	Reason for no classification	Within the scope of CLH public consultation
Explosives	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Flammable gases (including chemically unstable gases)	hazard class not applicable	Yes
Oxidising gases	hazard class not applicable	Yes
Gases under pressure	hazard class not applicable	Yes
Flammable liquids	hazard class not applicable	Yes
Flammable solids	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Self-reactive substances	Hazard class not assessed in the dossier	Yes
Pyrophoric liquids	hazard class not applicable	Yes
Pyrophoric solids	Hazard class not assessed in the dossier	Yes
Self-heating substances	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Substances which in contact with water emit flammable gases	Hazard class not assessed in the dossier	Yes
Oxidising liquids	hazard class not applicable	Yes
Oxidising solids	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Organic peroxides	hazard class not applicable	Yes
Corrosive to metals	Hazard class not assessed in the dossier	Yes
Acute toxicity via oral route	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Acute toxicity via dermal route	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Acute toxicity via inhalation route	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Skin corrosion/irritation	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Serious eye damage/eye irritation	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Respiratory sensitisation	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Skin sensitisation	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Germ cell mutagenicity	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Carcinogenicity	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Reproductive toxicity	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Specific target organ toxicity-single exposure	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes
Aspiration hazard	hazard class not applicable	Yes
Hazardous to the aquatic environment	Aquatic acute 1; H400 Aquatic chronic 1; H410	Yes

Hazard class	Reason for no classification	Within the scope of CLH public consultation
Hazardous to the ozone layer	Conclusive but no sufficient for classification	Yes

Please select one of the following reasons for not proposing a harmonised classification for a hazard class or state if harmonised classification is proposed for a hazard class;

- data lacking;
- data inconclusive;
- data conclusive but not sufficient for classification;
- hazard class not assessed in this dossier;
- harmonised classification proposed;
- hazard class not applicable (e.g. if the substance is not in the applicable physical state for the hazard class in question).

### 2.10.3 History of the previous classification and labelling

Not applicable. Pydiflumetofen has no previous classification and labelling.

### 2.10.4 Identified uses

Pydiflumetofen is a new broad-spectrum foliar fungicide used on various crops. For more details, please refer to the GAP table on 1.5.

### 2.10.5 Data sources

Please refer as well to DAR Volumes 3 CA, B1, B2, B6, B8 and B9.

## 2.11 RELEVANCE OF METABOLITES IN GROUNDWATER

There are no metabolites formed in amounts triggering a groundwater risk assessment.

### 2.11.1 STEP 1: Exclusion of degradation products of no concern

Not applicable.

### 2.11.2 STEP 2: Quantification of potential groundwater contamination

Not applicable.

### 2.11.3 STEP 3: Hazard assessment – identification of relevant metabolites

#### 2.11.3.1 STEP 3, Stage 1: screening for biological activity

Not applicable.

#### 2.11.3.2 STEP 3, Stage 2: screening for genotoxicity

Not applicable.

#### 2.11.3.3 STEP 3, Stage 3: screening for toxicity

Not applicable.

### 2.11.4 STEP 4: Exposure assessment – threshold of concern approach

Not applicable.

#### **2.11.5 STEP 5: Refined risk assessment**

Not applicable.

#### **2.11.6 Overall conclusion**

Not applicable.

### **2.12 CONSIDERATION OF ISOMERIC COMPOSITION IN THE RISK ASSESSMENT**

#### **2.12.1 Identity and physical chemical properties**

See section 2.2.1.

#### **2.12.2 Methods of analysis**

Analytical method SA-97/1 (Mink C. 2015a&b) for the determination of pydiflumetofen (enantiomeric ratio) in technical active substance has been provided and validated according to guidance SANCO3030/99/rev.4.

#### **2.12.3 Mammalian toxicity**

The ratio of the SYN545974 enantiomers has been examined in samples from crop metabolism studies (see Section 1.5). The data from all these studies show consistently that the ratio of the SYN545974 did not change significantly over the course of these studies. Given the lack of potential for interconversion of the SYN545974 enantiomers and stability in the enantiomer ratios in all of the samples examined it is concluded that the enantiomers of SYN545974 degrade at similar rates and that there is no preferential metabolism of either enantiomer in plant matrices. Therefore the substance tested in all toxicological studies is a true reflection of the exposure to SYN545974.

#### **2.12.4 Operator, Worker, Bystander and Resident exposure**

The ratio of the SYN545974 enantiomers has been examined in environmental fate compartments and in samples from crop metabolism studies. The data from all these studies show consistently that the ratio of the SYN545974 did not change significantly over the course of these studies. Given the lack of potential for interconversion of the SYN545974 enantiomers and stability in the enantiomer ratios in all of the samples examined it is concluded that the enantiomers of SYN545974 degrade in the environment at similar rates and that there is no preferential metabolism of either enantiomer in plant matrices. These findings confirm that consideration of an enantiomer ratio of 1.0 (i.e. an enantiomer fraction ratio of 50:50) is appropriate for the operator risk assessment of SYN545974. Therefore the substance tested in all operator, worker, bystander and resident exposure studies is a true reflection of the exposure in the environment and the enantiomer ratio has no impact on the risk assessment

#### **2.12.5 Residues and Consumer risk assessment**

The ratio of the SYN545974 enantiomers has been examined in selected samples from the 3 primary crop metabolism studies and from the confined rotational crop study. The data from these studies show consistently that the ratio of the SYN545974 enantiomers did not change significantly over the course of the study in any of the commodities analysed. Given the lack of potential for interconversion of the SYN545974 enantiomers and stability in the enantiomer ratios in all the commodities tested it is concluded that there is no preferential metabolism of either enantiomer in plant matrices. These findings confirm that consideration of an enantiomer ratio of 1.0 (i.e. an enantiomer fraction ratio of 50:50) is appropriate for the dietary risk assessment of SYN545974.

Due to the generally very low levels of parent SYN545974 remaining in the animal tissues from the <sup>14</sup>C goat and hen metabolism studies, compared to that present in crop metabolism studies, no attempt was made to measure the enantiomer ratio in animal commodities.

### 2.12.6 Environmental fate

The enantiomeric composition of SYN545974 in soils was determined at the end of the aerobic and anaerobic incubations in soil, at the end of the irradiation period in the soil photolysis study, at the end of the aerobic mineralisation study, at the end of the aerobic and anaerobic incubations in water/sediment studies, and at the end of the irradiation period in the water photolysis study, compared to the ratio in the SYN545974 application solutions. The SYN545974 enantiomer did not change significantly over the course of these degradation studies. Given the lack of potential for interconversion of the SYN545974 enantiomers, these findings confirm that consideration of an enantiomer ratio of 1 (*i.e.* an enantiomer fraction ratio of 50:50) is appropriate for the assessment of the environmental risk from SYN545974.

### 2.12.7 Ecotoxicology

The ratio of the SYN545974 enantiomers has been examined in environmental fate components and in samples from crop metabolism studies (see **Document N5**). The data from all of these studies show consistently that the ratio of SYN545974 enantiomers did not change significantly over the course of these studies. Given the lack of potential for interconversion of the SYN545974 enantiomers and stability in the enantiomer ratio in all of the samples examined, it is concluded that the enantiomers of SYN545974 degrade in the environment at similar rates and that there is no preferential metabolism of either enantiomer in plant matrices. Therefore the substance tested in all ecotoxicological studies is a true reflection of the exposure in the environment and the enantiomer ratio has no impact on the ecological risk assessment.

## 2.13 RESIDUE DEFINITIONS

### 2.13.1 Definition of residues for exposure/risk assessment

**Food of plant origin:** SYN545974

**Food of animal origin:**

- **All matrices:** Sum of SYN545974 and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) expressed as SYN545974
- **Ruminant liver:** Sum of SYN545974, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) expressed as SYN545974 and separately SYN547897
- **ruminant kidney:** Sum of SYN545974, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) and SYN548263 expressed as SYN545974 and separately SYN547897

**Soil:** SYN545974

**Groundwater:** SYN545974

**Surface water:** SYN545974, SYN548261 and NOA449410

**Sediment:** SYN545974, SYN545547

**Air:** SYN545974

### 2.13.2 Definition of residues for monitoring

**Food of plant origin:** Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974 parent)

**Food of animal origin:** Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974 parent) and its metabolite 2,4,6-TCP (free and conjugates) expressed as SYN545974

**Soil:** Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974 parent)

**Groundwater:** Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974 parent)

**Surface water:** Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974 parent)

**Sediment:** Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974 parent)

**Air:** Pydiflumetofen (SYN545974 parent)

## **Level 3**

# **PYDIFLUMETOFEN**

### 3 PROPOSED DECISION WITH RESPECT TO THE APPLICATION

#### 3.1 BACKGROUND TO THE PROPOSED DECISION

##### 3.1.1 Proposal on acceptability against the decision making criteria – Article 4 and annex II of regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

<b>3.1.1.1 Article 4</b>				
		Yes	No	
i)	It is considered that Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 is complied with. Specifically the RMS considers that authorisation in at least one Member State is expected to be possible for at least one plant protection product containing the active substance for at least one of the representative uses.	X		RMS considers that Pydiflumetofen can be approved under Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 and that authorizations of PPP can be granted in at least one member States.
<b>3.1.1.2 Submission of further information</b>				
		Yes	No	
i)	It is considered that a complete dossier has been submitted	X		RMS considers that a complete dossier was submitted. However, please refer to Table 3.1.4
ii)	It is considered that in the absence of a full dossier the active substance may be approved even though certain information is still to be submitted because: (a) the data requirements have been amended or refined after the submission of the dossier; or (b) the information is considered to be confirmatory in nature, as required to increase confidence in the decision.			
<b>3.1.1.3 Restrictions on approval</b>				
		Yes	No	
	It is considered that in line with Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 approval should be subject to conditions and restrictions.		X	
<b>3.1.1.4 Criteria for the approval of an active substance</b>				
<b>Dossier</b>				
		Yes	No	
	It is considered the dossier contains the information needed to establish, where relevant, Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI), Acceptable Operator Exposure Level (AOEL) and Acute Reference Dose (ARfD).	X		Please refer to Level 2.6
	It is considered that the dossier contains the information necessary to carry out a risk assessment and for enforcement purposes (relevant for	X		Please refer to Level 2.7

	<p>substances for which one or more representative uses includes use on feed or food crops or leads indirectly to residues in food or feed). In particular it is considered that the dossier:</p> <p>(a) permits any residue of concern to be defined;</p> <p>(b) reliably predicts the residues in food and feed, including succeeding crops</p> <p>(c) reliably predicts, where relevant, the corresponding residue level reflecting the effects of processing and/or mixing;</p> <p>(d) permits a maximum residue level to be defined and to be determined by appropriate methods in general use for the commodity and, where appropriate, for products of animal origin where the commodity or parts of it is fed to animals;</p> <p>(e) permits, where relevant, concentration or dilution factors due to processing and/or mixing to be defined.</p>			
	<p>It is considered that the dossier submitted is sufficient to permit, where relevant, an estimate of the fate and distribution of the active substance in the environment, and its impact on non-target species.</p>	<p>X</p>		<p>The information provided is sufficient to describe the fate and behaviour of SYN545974 in soil, water and air, and to estimate the exposure in soil, groundwater, surface water, sediment and air for all intended uses.</p> <p>The information provided is sufficient to evaluate the impact on non-target species</p>
<b>Efficacy</b>				
	<p>Yes</p>	<p>No</p>		
	<p>It is considered that it has been established for one or more representative uses that the plant protection product, consequent on application consistent with good plant protection practice and having regard to realistic conditions of use is sufficiently effective.</p>	<p>X</p>		<p>The field trials data supporting effectiveness of A19649B against these targets comprise 173 trials conducted over 2 years. These trials have shown the interest of A19649B against a broad range of diseases in grapes, pome fruits, potato, Brassicae, Cucurbits and tomato.</p>
<b>Relevance of metabolites</b>				
	<p>Yes</p>	<p>No</p>		
	<p>It is considered that the documentation submitted is sufficient to permit the establishment of the toxicological, ecotoxicological or environmental relevance of metabolites.</p>	<p>X</p>		<p>There are no metabolites formed in amount triggering a groundwater risk assessment.</p> <p>However, additional information is requested to determine the toxicological profile on metabolite SYN547897 in order refine the consumer risk assessment (see below 3.1.4)</p>
<b>Composition</b>				

		Yes	No	
	It is considered that the specification defines the minimum degree of purity, the identity and maximum content of impurities and, where relevant, of isomers/diastereo-isomers and additives, and the content of impurities of toxicological, ecotoxicological or environmental concern within acceptable limits.	X		Pydiflumetofen is manufactured with a minimum purity of 980 g/kg
	It is considered that the specification is in compliance with the relevant Food and Agriculture Organisation specification, where such specification exists.	NA	NA	Pydiflumetofen is a new active substance, therefore, no FAO specification exist.
	It is considered for reasons of protection of human or animal health or the environment, stricter specifications than that provided for by the FAO specification should be adopted	NA	NA	
<b>Methods of analysis</b>				
		Yes	No	
	It is considered that the methods of analysis of the active substance, safener or synergist as manufactured and of determination of impurities of toxicological, ecotoxicological or environmental concern or which are present in quantities greater than 1 g/kg in the active substance, safener or synergist as manufactured, have been validated and shown to be sufficiently specific, correctly calibrated, accurate and precise.	X		Analytical methods for the determination of Pydiflumetofen and its manufacturing impurities, in technical material, were evaluated and considered acceptable and relevant in terms of current standards and test guidelines. Nevertheless, a reagent blank solvent should be provided to validate the specificity of the analytical method. For the significant impurities see Volume 4 of the RAR. See level 2, part 2.5.2.
	It is considered that the methods of residue analysis for the active substance and relevant metabolites in plant, animal and environmental matrices and drinking water, as appropriate, shall have been validated and shown to be sufficiently sensitive with respect to the levels of concern.	X		See level 2, part 2.5.2.
	It is confirmed that the evaluation has been carried out in accordance with the uniform principles for evaluation and authorisation of plant protection products referred to in Article 29(6) of Regulation 1107/2009.	X		
<b>Impact on human health</b>				
<b>Impact on human health - ADI, AOEL, ARfD</b>				
		Yes	No	
	It is confirmed that (where relevant) an ADI, AOEL and ARfD can be established with an appropriate safety margin of at least 100 taking into account the type and severity of effects and the vulnerability of specific groups of the population.	X		The <b>ADI</b> is set at <b>0.092 mg/kg bw per day</b> , based on the 80-week mouse carcinogenicity study and by using a safety factor of 100 (see Level 2.6.10.1).  The <b>AOEL</b> is set at <b>0.1 mg/kg bw per day</b> , based on the rabbit

				<p>developmental toxicity study and by using a safety factor of 100. No correction for the extent of oral absorption is necessary (see Level 2.6.10.3).</p> <p>The <b>ARfD</b> is set at <b>0.1 mg/kg bw</b>, based on the rabbit developmental toxicity study and by using a safety factor of 100 (see Level 2.6.10.2).</p> <p>The <b>AAOEL</b> is set at <b>0.1 mg/kg bw per day</b>, based on the rabbit developmental toxicity study and by using a safety factor of 100. No correction for the extent of oral absorption is necessary (see Level 2.6.10.4).</p>
<b>Impact on human health – proposed genotoxicity classification</b>				
		Yes	No	
	<p>It is considered that, on the basis of assessment of higher tier genotoxicity testing carried out in accordance with the data requirements and other available data and information, including a review of the scientific literature, reviewed by the Authority, <b>the substance SHOULD BE classified or proposed for classification</b>, in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, <b>as mutagen category 1A or 1B.</b></p>		X	<p>Based on the results of <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> genotoxicity studies, pydiflumetofen is considered to be not genotoxic <b>(a confirmatory <i>in vivo</i> genotoxicity assay is required)</b> (see Level 2.6.4).</p>
<b>Impact on human health – proposed carcinogenicity classification</b>				
		Yes	No	
i)	<p>It is considered that, on the basis of assessment of the carcinogenicity testing carried out in accordance with the data requirements for the active substances, safener or synergist and other available data and information, including a review of the scientific literature, reviewed by the Authority, <b>the substance SHOULD BE classified or proposed for classification</b>, in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, <b>as carcinogen category 1A or 1B.</b></p>		X	<p>Pydiflumetofen did not show carcinogenic effect in the rat or female mouse in a 2-year rat study and a 18-month mouse study respectively. In male mice, increased incidences of liver adenomas and carcinomas were observed in a 18-month mouse study. However, due to the demonstrated human non-relevance of these liver tumors, pydiflumetofen did not meet the criteria for classification (see level 2.6.5).</p>
ii)	<p>Linked to above classification proposal.</p> <p>It is considered that exposure of humans to the active substance, safener or synergist in a plant protection product, under realistic proposed conditions of use, is negligible, that is, the product is used in closed systems or in other conditions excluding contact with humans and where residues of the active substance, safener or synergist concerned on food and feed do not exceed the default value set in accordance with Article 18(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005.</p>			
<b>Impact on human health – proposed reproductive toxicity classification</b>				
		Yes	No	

i)	It is considered that, on the basis of assessment of the reproductive toxicity testing carried out in accordance with the data requirements for the active substances, safeners or synergists and other available data and information, including a review of the scientific literature, reviewed by the Authority, <b>the substance SHOULD BE classified or proposed for classification</b> , in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, <b>as toxic for reproduction category 1A or 1B.</b>		X	Pydiflumetofen did not show effects on fertility in a 2-generation rat toxicity study. No severe developmental effects were observed after exposure of pregnant rats or rabbits to pydiflumetofen (see Level 2.6.6).
ii)	Linked to above classification proposal. It is considered that exposure of humans to the active substance, safener or synergist in a plant protection product, under realistic proposed conditions of use, is negligible, that is, the product is used in closed systems or in other conditions excluding contact with humans and where residues of the active substance, safener or synergist concerned on food and feed do not exceed the default value set in accordance with Article 18(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005.			
<b>Impact on human health – proposed endocrine disrupting properties classification</b>				
		Yes	No	
i)	It is considered that <b>the substance SHOULD BE classified or proposed for classification</b> in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, <b>as carcinogenic category 2 and toxic for reproduction category 2 and on that basis shall be considered to have endocrine disrupting properties</b>		X	Pydiflumetofen is not classified or proposed to be classified as carcinogenic category 2 and toxic for reproduction category 2.
ii)	It is considered that <b>the substance SHOULD BE classified or proposed for classification</b> in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, <b>as toxic for reproduction category 2 and</b> in addition the RMS considers the substance <b>has toxic effects on the endocrine organs and on that basis shall be considered to have endocrine disrupting properties</b>		X	Pydiflumetofen is not classified or proposed to be classified as toxic for reproduction category 2. Moreover, pydiflumetofen did not show effects on endocrine organs (see Level 2.6.8).
iii)	Linked to either i) or ii) immediately above. It is considered that exposure of humans to the active substance, safener or synergist in a plant protection product, under realistic proposed conditions of use, is negligible, that is, the product is used in closed systems or in other conditions excluding contact with humans and where residues of the active substance, safener or synergist concerned on food and feed do not exceed the default value set in			

	accordance with Article 18(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005.			
<b>Fate and behaviour in the environment</b>				
<b>Persistent organic pollutant (POP)</b>				
		Yes	No	
	It is considered that the active substance <b>FULFILS</b> the criteria of a persistent organic pollutant (POP) as laid out in Regulation 1107/2009 Annex II Section 3.7.1.		X	The criterion for persistence is fulfilled. The criterion for bioaccumulation is not fulfilled. The criterion for long range transport is not fulfilled.
<b>Persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic substance (PBT)</b>				
		Yes	No	
	It is considered that the active substance <b>FULFILS</b> the criteria of a persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic (PBT) substance as laid out in Regulation 1107/2009 Annex II Section 3.7.2.		X	The criterion for persistence is fulfilled. The criterion for bioaccumulation is not fulfilled. The criterion for toxicity is not fulfilled.
<b>Very persistent and very bioaccumulative substance (vPvB).</b>				
		Yes	No	
	It is considered that the active substance <b>FULFILS</b> the criteria of a very persistent and very bioaccumulative substance (vPvB) as laid out in Regulation 1107/2009 Annex II Section 3.7.3.		X	The criterion for persistence is fulfilled. The criterion for bioaccumulation is not fulfilled.
<b>Ecotoxicology</b>				
		Yes	No	
	It is considered that the risk assessment demonstrates risks to be acceptable in accordance with the criteria laid down in the uniform principles for evaluation and authorisation of plant protection products referred to in Article 29(6) under realistic proposed conditions of use of a plant protection product containing the active substance, safener or synergist. The RMS is content that the assessment takes into account the severity of effects, the uncertainty of the data, and the number of organism groups which the active substance, safener or synergist is expected to affect adversely by the intended use.	X		Please refer to Level 2 section 2.9
	It is considered that, on the basis of the assessment of Community or internationally agreed test guidelines, the substance <b>HAS</b> endocrine disrupting properties that may cause adverse effects on non-target organisms.		X	No relevant effect of endocrine disruption properties of the active substance has been observed.
	Linked to the consideration of the endocrine properties immediately above.			No relevant effect of endocrine disruption properties of the active substance has been observed.

	It is considered that the exposure of non-target organisms to the active substance in a plant protection product under realistic proposed conditions of use is negligible.			
	<p>It is considered that it is established following an appropriate risk assessment on the basis of Community or internationally agreed test guidelines, that the use under the proposed conditions of use of plant protection products containing this active substance, safener or synergist:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>— will result in a negligible exposure of honeybees, or</li> <li>— has no unacceptable acute or chronic effects on colony survival and development, taking into account effects on honeybee larvae and honeybee behaviour.</li> </ul>	X		Based on a laboratory and semi-field endpoint assessment, HQ values for SYN545974 exceed the trigger set by Commission regulation (EU) 546/2011 except for larval assessment. However, hier tier assessment using two semi field studies demonstrate no effect of the SYN545974 on bee brood and bee colony for the application rate of 200 g a.s./ha. Thus, representative uses present an acceptable risk for bees.
<b>Residue definition</b>				
	Yes	No		
	It is considered that, where relevant, a residue definition can be established for the purposes of risk assessment and for enforcement purposes.	X		<p>Residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment in plant commodities : SYN545974</p> <p>Residue definition for monitoring in livestock: Sum of SYN545974 and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) expressed as SYN545974</p> <p>Residue definition for risk assessment in livestock:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All matrices: Sum of SYN545974 and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) expressed as SYN545974</li> <li>- Ruminant liver: Sum of SYN545974, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) expressed as SYN545974 and separately SYN547897</li> <li>- Ruminant kidney: Sum of SYN545974, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (free and conjugated) and SYN548263 expressed as SYN545974 and separately SYN547897</li> </ul>
<b>Fate and behaviour concerning groundwater</b>				
	Yes	No		
	It is considered that it has been established for one or more representative uses, that consequently after application of the plant protection product consistent with realistic conditions on use, the	X		PEC <sub>gw</sub> for SYN545974 are < 0.1 µg/L in all scenarios for all intended uses. Therefore the potential for groundwater exposure by SYN545974 above the parametric drinking water limit of 0.1 µg/L from the representative uses is

	<p>predicted concentration of the active substance or of metabolites, degradation or reaction products in groundwater complies with the respective criteria of the uniform principles for evaluation and authorisation of plant protection products referred to in Article 29(6) of Regulation 1107/2009.</p>			<p>expected to be low in geoclimatic situations that are represented by the relevant FOCUS groundwater scenarios. There are no metabolites formed in amounts triggering a groundwater risk assessment.</p>
--	---	--	--	--

### 3.1.2 Proposal – Candidate for substitution

Candidate for substitution				
	Yes	No		
	<p>It is considered that the active substance shall be approved as a candidate for substitution</p>		<p>X</p>	<p>Toxicology: No (It is to be noted that proposed reference values for pydiflumetofen are not significantly lower than those of the majority of active substances taking into account the threshold mentioned in the Commission document <i>Questions and Answers on Candidates for Substitution</i> Rev. 1, January 2015 in which threshold for ADI is 0.001 mg/kg bw/d, threshold for ARfD is 0.004 mg/kg bw and threshold for AOEL is 0.001 mg/kg bw/d).</p> <p>Fate and behaviour in the environment: No</p> <p>Ecotoxicology: No</p>

3.1.3 Proposal – Low risk active substance

Low-risk active substances			
	Yes	No	
		X	The active substance is persistent in soil.
<p>It is considered that the active substance <b>shall be considered of low risk</b>.</p> <p>In particular it is considered that the substance <b>should NOT be classified or proposed for classification</b> in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 as at least one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>— carcinogenic,</li> <li>— mutagenic,</li> <li>— toxic to reproduction,</li> <li>— sensitising chemicals,</li> <li>— very toxic or toxic,</li> <li>— explosive,</li> <li>— corrosive.</li> </ul> <p>In addition it is considered that <b>the substance is NOT</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>— persistent (half-life in soil more than 60 days),</li> <li>— has a bioconcentration factor higher than 100,</li> <li>— is deemed to be an endocrine disrupter, or</li> <li>— has neurotoxic or immunotoxic effects.</li> </ul>			

## 3.1.4 List of studies to be generated, still ongoing or available but not peer reviewed

Data gap	Relevance in relation to representative use(s)	Study status		
		No confirmation that study available or on-going.	Study on-going and anticipated date of completion	Study available but not peer-reviewed
<b>3.1.4.1 Identity of the active substance or formulation</b>				
Not necessary				
<b>3.1.4.2 Physical and chemical properties of the active substance and physical, chemical and technical properties of the formulation</b>				
Shelf life following storage at ambient temperature <i>Fumeaux J., 2017a (A19649B_10311)</i>			X Finished around 07/2017	
<b>3.1.4.3 Data on uses and efficacy</b>				
Not necessary				
<b>3.1.4.4 Data on handling, storage, transport, packaging and labelling</b>				
Not necessary				
<b>3.1.4.5 Methods of analysis</b>				
Reagent blank solvent chromatograms for the determination of impurities in technical active substance		X		
A cross validation with acetonitrile:water 50:50 should be provided on all plant matrices group to validated the extraction efficiency		X		

The study Richter, S. 2015 (Syngenta File No. SYN545974_10169) should be updated with the determination of pydiflumetofen (SYN545974) in muscle.		X		
<b>3.1.4.6 Toxicology and metabolism</b>				
Comparative <i>in vitro</i> metabolism study with the active substance	Relevant for all representative uses.		X (no anticipated date of completion but expected for the EFSA evaluation)	
Safety data sheets of starting materials according to EU requirements	Relevant for all representative uses.	X		
A confirmatory genotoxicity test like a COMET assay on male mouse (gastrointestinal tract and liver)	Relevant for all representative uses.	X		
<b>3.1.4.7 Residue data</b>				
One additional residue trial on potato conducted in the South of Europe to confirm the non-residue situation	Relevant for all representative uses.		X December 2017	
Additional field rotational crop studies on an allium (onion genus), a legume and a leguminous crop	Relevant for all representative uses.	X		
Additional data for intermediate plant back intervals in field rotational crops	Relevant for all representative uses.	X		
<b>3.1.4.8 Environmental fate and behaviour</b>				
Information to address the effect of water treatment processes on the nature of the residues that might be present in water when it is abstracted for drinking water.	Relevant for all representative uses	X		

PECsw calculations for SYN545974 (Step 1 to 3) and its metabolites (Step 1-2) for the use on pome fruits to cover applications between BBCH 56-69	Relevant for pome fruits	X		
PECsw calculations for SYN545974 in Step 3 for the use on potatoes with correct interval of 14 days (for multiple applications, late application window)	Relevant for potatoes	X		
<b>3.1.4.9 Ecotoxicology</b>				
A new study with higher tested dose for soil-microorganisms	Relevant for use on Grapes (2 x 200 g a.s./ha)			X

### 3.1.5 Issues that could not be finalised

An issue is listed as an issue that could not be finalised where there is not enough information available to perform an assessment, even at the lowest tier level, for the representative uses in line with the Uniform Principles, as laid out in Commission Regulation (EU) No 546/2011, and where the issue is of such importance that it could, when finalised, become a concern (which would also be listed as a critical area of concern if it is of relevance to all representative uses).

Area of the risk assessment that could not be finalised on the basis of the available data	Relevance in relation to representative use(s)
Information to address the effect of water treatment processes on the nature of the residues that might be present in water when it is abstracted for drinking water.	Relevant for all representative uses
Based on available data, the risk assessment cannot always be finalised for soil micro-organisms	Grape (2 x 200 g a.s./ha)

### 3.1.6 Critical areas of concern

An issue is listed as a critical area of concern:

(a) where the substance does not satisfy the criteria set out in points 3.6.3, 3.6.4, 3.6.5 or 3.8.2 of Annex II of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and the applicant has not provided detailed evidence that the active substance is necessary to control a serious danger to plant health which cannot be contained by other available means including non-chemical methods, taking into account risk mitigation measures to ensure that exposure of humans and the environment is minimised, or

(b) where there is enough information available to perform an assessment for the representative uses in line with the Uniform Principles, as laid out in Commission Regulation (EU) 546/2011, and where this assessment does not permit to conclude that for at least one of the representative uses it may be expected that a plant protection product containing the active substance will not have any harmful effect on human or animal health or on groundwater or any unacceptable influence on the environment.

An issue is also listed as a critical area of concern where the assessment at a higher tier level could not be finalised due to a lack of information, and where the assessment performed at the lower tier level does not permit to conclude that for at least one of the representative uses it may be expected that a plant protection product containing the active substance will not have any harmful effect on human or animal health or on groundwater or any unacceptable influence on the environment.

Critical area of concern identified	Relevance in relation to representative use(s)
None	N.A.

### 3.1.7 Overview table of the concerns identified for each representative use considered

(If a particular condition proposed to be taken into account to manage an identified risk, as listed in 3.3.1, has been evaluated as being effective, then 'risk identified' is not indicated in this table.)

All columns are grey as the material tested in the toxicological studies has not been demonstrated to be representative of the technical specification.

<b>Representative use</b>		Pome fruit	Grape	Potato	Tomato	Cucurbits (Cucumber, Zucchini, Melon, Water Melon	Cabbage (Cabbage, Broccoli, Cauliflower, Kale, Brussels sprouts, Kohlrabi)
<b>Operator risk</b>	Risk identified						
	Assessment not finalised						
<b>Worker risk</b>	Risk identified						
	Assessment not finalised						
<b>Bystander risk</b>	Risk identified						
	Assessment not finalised						
<b>Consumer risk</b>	Risk identified						X (acute risk for kale)
	Assessment not finalised						
<b>Risk to wild non target terrestrial vertebrates</b>	Risk identified						
	Assessment not finalised						
<b>Risk to wild non target terrestrial organisms other than vertebrates</b>	Risk identified						
	Assessment not finalised		X (Soil micro-organisms)				
<b>Risk to aquatic organisms</b>	Risk identified						
	Assessment not finalised						
<b>Groundwater exposure active substance</b>	Legal parametric value breached						
	Assessment not finalised						
<b>Groundwater exposure metabolites</b>	Legal parametric value breached						

	Parametric value of 10µg/L <sup>(a)</sup> breached						
	Assessment not finalised						
<b>Comments/Remarks</b>							

The superscript numbers in this table relate to the numbered points indicated within chapter 3.1.5 and 3.1.6. Where there is no superscript number, see level 2 for more explanation.

(a): Value for non relevant metabolites prescribed in SANCO/221/2000-rev 10-final, European Commission, 2003

### 3.1.8 Area(s) where expert consultation is considered necessary

It is recommended to organise a consultation of experts on the following parts of the assessment report:

Area(s) where expert consultation is considered necessary	Justification
Fate and behaviour	Discussion on the appropriate solvent extraction systems for determining route and rate of degradation in soil. This discussion would be relevant for this active substance but also on a more general perspective.

### 3.1.9 Critical issues on which the Co RMS did not agree with the assessment by the RMS

Points on which the co-rapporteur Member State did not agree with the assessment by the rapporteur member state. Only the points relevant for the decision making process should be listed.

Issue on which Co-RMS disagrees with RMS	Opinion of Co-RMS	Opinion of RMS
None		

## 3.2 PROPOSED DECISION

It is proposed that:

### **Pydiflumetofen can be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009**

It is considered that the following is specified in Part A of the Commission Implementing Regulation for the approval of the active substance:

**Not applicable.**

It is considered that the following be specified in Part B of the Commission Implementing Regulation as areas requiring particular attention from Member States when evaluating applications for product authorisation(s):

- Rotation with legume, leguminous crops or allium crops are not recommended
- A plant back interval of 365 days should be respected for roots and leafy crops

It is considered that it should be specified that conditions of use shall include risk mitigation measures, where appropriate.

**Not applicable**

It is proposed that the Member States concerned shall request the submission of confirmatory information:

**Not applicable**

### 3.3 RATIONAL FOR THE CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH THE APPROVAL OR AUTHORISATION(S), AS APPROPRIATE

#### 3.3.1 Particular conditions proposed to be taken into account to manage the risks identified

Proposed condition/risk mitigation measure	Relevance in relation to representative use(s)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rotation with fruiting and legume vegetables, pulses or bulb vegetables are not recommended</li> <li>- A plant back interval of 365 days should be respected for roots and leafy crops</li> </ul>	<i>All uses which can be rotated</i>

### 3.4 APPENDICES

#### GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS USED IN THIS ASSESSEMENT

##### General

Common template to be used for Assessment Reports and proposals for harmonised Classification and Labelling (CLH report). SANCO/12592/2012 –rev. 1

EFSA Guidance on Submission of scientific peer-reviewed open literature for the approval of pesticide active substances under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. EFSA Journal 2011;9(2):2092.

##### Section identity, physical chemical and analytical methods

###### **Section physico chemical properties**

Manual on development and use of FAO and WHO specifications for pesticides, November 2010 - second revision of the First Edition, WHO, Rome 2010

Chemicals Regulation Directorate, DATA REQUIREMENTS HANDBOOK, (Version 2.2, June 2012)  
Technical monograph N°17, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Guidelines for Specifying the Shelf Life of Plant Protection Products, June 2009

Evaluation Manual for the Authorisation of plant protection products and biocides according to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, EU part, Plant Protection Products, Chapter 2 Physical and chemical properties, version 2.0; January 2014, Board

Guidance ST/SG/AC 10/11/Rev.5 for the safety properties

CLP regulation 1272/2008

Regulation (UE) N°283/2013 (1<sup>st</sup> March 2013) setting out data requirements for active substances, in accordance with regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market

Regulation (UE) N°284/2013 (1<sup>st</sup> March 2013) setting out data requirements for plant protection products, in accordance with regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market

#### **Section analytical methods**

SANCO/3030/99 rev.4: Technical Material and preparations: guidance for generating and reporting methods of analysis in support of pre- and post-registration data requirements for Annex II (part A, Section 4) and Annex III (part A, Section 5) of Directive 91/414

SANCO/3029/99 rev .4: Residues: guidance for generating and reporting methods of analysis in support of pre-registration data requirements for Annex II (part A, section 4) and Annex III (part A, Section 5) of directive 91/414

SANCO/825/00 rev.8.1: Guidance document on pesticide residues analytical methods

#### **Section Data on application and efficacy**

SANCO/10054/2013 – rev. 3: Guidance document on data requirements on efficacy for the dossier to be submitted for the approval of new active substances as defined under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 contained in plant protection products

#### **Section Toxicology**

EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR); Guidance on Dermal Absorption. EFSA Journal 2012;10(4):2665. [30 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2665

Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006. OJ L 353, 31.12.2008, 1-1355.

ECHA Guidance to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 on classification, labelling and packaging (CLP) of substances and mixtures, version 4.1 June 2015.

Guidance on the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents and bystanders in risk assessment for plant protection products. EFSA Journal 2014;12(10):3874

OECD 2012: guidance document 116 on the conduct and design of chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity studies, supporting test guidelines 451, 452 and 453. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. ENV/JM/MONO(2011)47.

#### **Section Residue and consumer risk assessment**

FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 2009. Submission and evaluation of pesticide residues data for the estimation of Maximum Residue Levels in food and feed. Pesticide Residues. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 197, 264 pp.

OECD, 2007, OECD Guidelines for the testing of chemicals – Metabolism in crops. No. 501, OECD, Paris 2007.

OECD, 2007, OECD Guidelines for the testing of chemicals – Metabolism in rotational crops. No 502, Paris 2007.

OECD, 2007, OECD Guidelines for the testing of chemicals – Metabolism in livestock, No. 503, OECD, Paris 2007.

OECD, 2007, OECD Guidelines for the testing of chemicals – Residues in rotational crops (limited field studies). No 504, Paris 2007.

OECD, 2007. OECD Guidelines for the testing of chemicals – Stability of pesticide residues in stored commodities. No 506, OECD, Paris 2007.

OECD, 2007. OECD Guidelines for the testing of chemicals – Nature of the pesticide residues in processed commodities, high temperature hydrolysis. No 507, Paris 2007.

OECD, 2008. OECD Guidelines for the testing of chemicals – Magnitude of pesticide residues in processed commodities. No 508, Paris 2008.

OECD, 2009. OECD Guidelines for the testing of chemicals – Crop field trial. No 509, Paris 2009

### **Section fate and behavior in environment**

European Commission (2014) “Assessing Potential for Movement of Active Substances and their Metabolites to Ground Water in the EU” Report of the FOCUS Ground Water Work Group, EC Document Reference Sanco/13144/2010 version 3, 613 pp.

European Food Safety Authority, 2014. EFSA Guidance Document for evaluating laboratory and field dissipation studies to obtain DegT50 values of active substances of plant protection products and transformation products of these active substances in soil. EFSA Journal 2014;12(5):3662, 37 pp., doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3662

FOCUS (1997) Soil persistence models and EU Registration - The Final Report of the Soil Modelling Workgroup of FOCUS (Forum for the Co-ordination of Pesticide Fate Models and their Use) – 29 February 1997.

FOCUS (2000) FOCUS Groundwater Scenarios in the EU Review of Active Substances. Report of the FOCUS Groundwater Scenarios Workgroup. EC Document Reference Sanco/321/2000 rev.2, 202 pp.

FOCUS (2001). “FOCUS Surface Water Scenarios in the EU Evaluation Process under 91/414/EEC”. Report of the FOCUS Working Group on Surface Water Scenarios, EC Document Reference SANCO/4802/2001-rev.1. 221 pp.

FOCUS (2006). Guidance document on estimating persistence and degradation kinetics from environmental fate studies on pesticides in EU registration. Report of the FOCUS Work Group on Degradation Kinetics, EC Document Reference Sanco/10058/2005, version 2.0, 434 pp.

FOCUS (2008) “Pesticides in Air: Considerations for Exposure Assessment”. Report of the FOCUS Working Group on Pesticides in Air, EC Document Reference SANCO/10553/2006 Rev 2 June 2008. 327 pp.

FOCUS (2011). Generic guidance for estimating persistence and degradation kinetics from environmental fate studies on pesticides in EU registration. Version 1.0, 436 pp.

FOCUS (2014) “Generic guidance for Tier 1 FOCUS groundwater assessments”. Version 2.2, May 2014.

FOCUS (2015) Generic guidance for FOCUS surface water Scenarios, Version: 1.4, Date: May 2015

### **Section ecotoxicology**

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2009. Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals on request of EFSA. EFSA Journal 2009; 7(12):1438.

EPPO/OEPP (2001) EPPO Standards PP1/170(3) Test methods for evaluating the side –effects of plant protection products on honey bees. Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 31, 323-330

European Commission, 2002. Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC. SANCO/10329/2002 rev.2 final, 17 October 2002.

European Commission, 2002. Guidance Document on Aquatic Ecotoxicology Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC. SANCO/3268/2001 rev 4 (final), 17 October 2002.

Guidance on tiered risk assessment for plant protection products for aquatic organisms in edge-of-field surface waters” (EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues, 2013, EFSA Journal 2013;11(7):3290

SETAC (Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry), 2001. Guidance Document on Regulatory Testing and Risk Assessment procedures for Plant Protection Products with Non-Target Arthropods. ESCORT 2.

### 3.5 REFERENCE LIST

#### **Section identity, physical chemical and analytical methods**

None

#### **Section Data on application and efficacy**

None

#### **Section Toxicology**

None

#### **Section Residue and consumer risk assessment**

None

#### **Section fate and behavior in environment**

None

#### **Section ecotoxicology**

None